EXHIBIT "D"

Page	Page 3
	1
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	2
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY	3 INDEX
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	4
	5 WITNESS PAGE
Plaintiff,	6 JESSICA L. HOLLOBAUGH
VS.	7 By Mr. Hanamirian 4, 83
13.	8 By Mr. Kunofsky 77
HOVNANIAN, et al.,	9
Defendants.	10 EXHIBITS
Deletidants.	11 Hollobaugh-1 Expert Response Report
	12
Deposition of JESSICA HOLLOBAUGH, taken	13
via Zoom, wherein all parties and participants appeared remotely, beginning at 10:07 a.m., reported	14
stenographically by Lisa Forlano, Certified Court	15
Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Registered	16
Merit Reporter and Notary Public, on Wednesday,	17
December 1, 2021.	18
	19
ZANARAS REPORTING AND VIDEO	20
REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS 1845 WALNUT STREET, SUITE 938	21
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103	22
2112 BAY AVENUE	23
OCEAN CITY, NJ 08226	24
(215) 790-7858 1-877-GO-DEPOS	25
Page	2 Page 4
1 APPEARANCES:	
2	1 JESSICA L. HOLLOBAUGH, having been duly
ARI D. KUNOFSKY, ESQUIRE 3 JOSHUA D. ZIMBERG, ESQUIRE	2 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 3 BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:
B JOSHUA D. ZIMBERG, ESQUIRE US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE - TAX DIVISION	
P.O. BOX 227	3,
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20044 Ari.D.Kunofsky@usdoj.gov	5 A Good morning. I'm good. How are you?6 Q I'm doing well. So today's testimony
joshua.D.Zimberg@usdoj.gov	
(202) 353-9187	-
ATTORNEYS FOR UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
3	9 you prepared in that context and then any of the10 facts and circumstances surrounding your engagement
HANAMIRIAN LAW FIRM, P.C. BY: JOHN M. HANAMIRIAN, ESQUIRE	11 So do you have copies of your report
0 40 EAST MAIN STREET	12 and then also Randall's responsive report?
MOORESTOWN, NEW JERSEY 08057 1 (856) 793-9092	13 A I do. So I have them in two places. I
jmh@hanamirian.com	•
2 ATTORNEYS FOR THE PACHAVA ASSET TRUST	14 have one printed and then I have a PDF up on my15 screen, the ones that you e-mailed the other day.
AND THE VSHPHH TRUST 3	16 Q Okay. So then whichever is easiest for
4 ALSO PRESENT:	17 you, obviously, to access. We were going to have
5 ELZA GRIGORYAN, LAW CLERK WITH HANAMIRIAN	18 somebody do it, a tech, but they are the only two
LAW FIRM, P.C. 6	19 documents in the case, so it seemed kind of silly.
7 RANDALL M. PAULIKENS	20 I guess as much as I hate to do these
8 9	21 things, let's go through some of your background.
9	
11	What's your experience and history, or what's your educational background?
•	
	,
22 23 24 25	24 A So I have a BS in Accounting from 25 Richard Stockton College; graduated in 2003.

	Page 5		Page 7
1	Q And then any advanced education beyon	nđ	practice area that you're describing?
2	that, either formally or through are you a CPA?	2	A So we have you're going to test my
3	A Yes. I was just going to say, outside	3	memory now. I think we're around 40 at the current
4	other licenses and certifications, there's no	4	time.
5	college experience, but I'm a Certified Public	5	Q In that office or overall?
6	Accountant.	6	A Overall through Withum, we're kind of
7	Q Okay. Do you have any other	7	remote largely, but spaced in three offices.
8	certifications, like fraud examiner or anything like	8	Q So that's kind of a collaborative body
9	that?	9	of people for these cases?
10	A Yes, I'm a CFE, Certified Fraud	10	A Correct.
11	Examiner, and also an ABV.	11	Q And then internally, so just
12	Q And what's that one?	12	hypothetically and not necessarily in this instance,
13	A Accredited in Business Valuation.	13	but hypothetically, what's the procedure for you to
14	Q And then what's I mean, how long	14	become engaged? Of course, by counsel or by clien
15	have you been with the firm?	15	or by whomever. And then what's the next step? And
16	A I have been with them since 2003; so m		you internally? Do you form a team? How does a
17	entire career.	17	typical forensic engagement proceed, once you've
18	Q And then what's your what are your	18	engaged a client?
19	practice areas?	19	A Generally we get the referral; it comes
20	A Currently, entirely forensic and	20	in from an attorney. We have an initial call either
21	litigation support work; so white-collar criminal	21	with the client, or without, depending on how the
22	defense, shareholder disputes. Kind of anything	22	attorney wants to handle it, and we get engaged, and
23	that goes through litigation.	23	then from there, we determine, you know, who from
24	Q That's what I do. So where are	24	within our firm would be best to be on the team.
25	what's the forensic piece, from your standpoint, I	25	Q And in this case, when were you
			·
	Page 6		Page 8
1	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have	1	engaged? It's not a trick.
2	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases?	2	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand.
2	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have.	2 3	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in
2 3 4	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for	2 3 4	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion
2 3 4 5	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically	2 3 4 5	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall,
2 3 4 5 6	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do?	2 3 4 5 6	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it?
2 3 4 5 6 7	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on	2 3 4 5 6 7	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well. Q And how did you derive that expertise?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place? A I don't recall. I may have been around
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well. Q And how did you derive that expertise? Do you have any kind of unique experience or did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 iff 7	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place? A I don't recall. I may have been around for some of the later depositions, but I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well. Q And how did you derive that expertise? Do you have any kind of unique experience or did just kind of evolve into it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 iff 7 18	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place? A I don't recall. I may have been around for some of the later depositions, but I don't recall entirely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well. Q And how did you derive that expertise? Do you have any kind of unique experience or did just kind of evolve into it? A I just evolved. I started with them as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 iff 7 18 19	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place? A I don't recall. I may have been around for some of the later depositions, but I don't recall entirely. Q Did you draft any did you help in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well. Q And how did you derive that expertise? Do you have any kind of unique experience or did just kind of evolve into it? A I just evolved. I started with them as a general practitioner, doing, you know, financial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 11 19 20	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place? A I don't recall. I may have been around for some of the later depositions, but I don't recall entirely. Q Did you draft any did you help in the process of preparing questioning for any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well. Q And how did you derive that expertise? Do you have any kind of unique experience or did just kind of evolve into it? A I just evolved. I started with them as a general practitioner, doing, you know, financial statements, tax returns, trust returns, general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 11 18 19 20 21	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place? A I don't recall. I may have been around for some of the later depositions, but I don't recall entirely. Q Did you draft any did you help in the process of preparing questioning for any witnesses or in written form for Interrogatories or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well. Q And how did you derive that expertise? Do you have any kind of unique experience or did just kind of evolve into it? A I just evolved. I started with them as a general practitioner, doing, you know, financial statements, tax returns, trust returns, general consulting. And about, I guess, halfway through m	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 11 19 20 21 12 22	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place? A I don't recall. I may have been around for some of the later depositions, but I don't recall entirely. Q Did you draft any did you help in the process of preparing questioning for any witnesses or in written form for Interrogatories or things like that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well. Q And how did you derive that expertise? Do you have any kind of unique experience or did just kind of evolve into it? A I just evolved. I started with them as a general practitioner, doing, you know, financial statements, tax returns, trust returns, general consulting. And about, I guess, halfway through m career, just transferred into the litigation aspect.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 11 12 21 12 23	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place? A I don't recall. I may have been around for some of the later depositions, but I don't recall entirely. Q Did you draft any did you help in the process of preparing questioning for any witnesses or in written form for Interrogatories or things like that? A No, I did not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well. Q And how did you derive that expertise? Do you have any kind of unique experience or did just kind of evolve into it? A I just evolved. I started with them as a general practitioner, doing, you know, financial statements, tax returns, trust returns, general consulting. And about, I guess, halfway through m	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 11 19 20 21 12 22	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place? A I don't recall. I may have been around for some of the later depositions, but I don't recall entirely. Q Did you draft any did you help in the process of preparing questioning for any witnesses or in written form for Interrogatories or things like that?

	Page 9		Page 11
1	what might be easiest, rather than going back and	1	transactions associated with the trusts that are the
	forth, is to go through Randall's report, since he	2	subject of this case in other entities' bookkeeping.
3	block quotes you, and says, okay, this is where I	3	Did you find that as well?
4	agree or this is where I might disagree. So that's	4	A I'm sorry, the question was rather long
5	obviously you have that document in front of you	5	and I kind of lost you.
	in a few formats. Let me know if you're ready to	6	Q So if it was XYZ corporation that she
	talk about it.	7	was maintaining books for, she also, within XYZ
8	A Yep, I got it ready.	8	corporation books or QuickBooks, maintained or
9	Q I mean, I start with what I think is	9	identified transactions relative to the trusts. Did
10	relevant, page 2, the bottom, beginning with	10	you see that overlay?
11	Background.	11	A Again, I'm not sure I'm following your
12	A Okay.	12	question. I saw the books that she maintained for
13	Q And then within this series of	13	the combined HovSat of the VSHPHH Trust, yes.
14		14	Q And what did you think of that process
15		15	of maintaining them together like that?
16	5 . 5	16	A I didn't think it was proper to
17	page 4, at the bottom with the first full paragraph	17	co-mingle the accounts.
18		18	Q What would typically happen if somebody
19	words, We note that while Nina (daughter).	19	did that during the course of a year? Would you do
20	You see that paragraph?	20	adjusting entities at year end to clear them out?
21	A Yes.	21	What would happen?
22	Q Have you reviewed Randall's report?	22	A So is your question, if there is a
23	A Yes, I have.	23	co-mingled bank account, how should they be broke
24	Q Randall seems to suggest that the facts	24	
25	underlying Karen's tenure as a bookkeeper and Nina'	s25	Q Or co-mingled entries for two or three
			-
	Page 10		Page 12
1	tenure as a trustee suggests what he would identify	1	different entries in a single QuickBooks file.
2	as may create a misleading picture of the individual	2	A In this particular instance, it's
3	and the entities.	3	
			actually two different entities are using one bank
4	Do you agree with that	4	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each
5	characterization?	4 5	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts.
5 6	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on?	4 5 6	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fi
5 6 7	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on? Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning	4 5 6 7	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fithat has two entities using one bank account.
5 6 7 8	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on? Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning with the words, "Therefore, citation of aspects of	4 5 6 7 8	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fithat has two entities using one bank account. Q Why do you think she did that? Do you
5 6 7 8 9	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on? Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning with the words, "Therefore, citation of aspects of their testimony to prove a point." And then	4 5 6 7 8 9	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fithat has two entities using one bank account. Q Why do you think she did that? Do you know?
5 6 7 8 9	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on? Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning with the words, "Therefore, citation of aspects of their testimony to prove a point." And then continues onto the top of page 5 with the words,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fi that has two entities using one bank account. Q Why do you think she did that? Do you know? A I can't speculate as to why she did it,
5 6 7 8 9 10	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on? Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning with the words, "Therefore, citation of aspects of their testimony to prove a point." And then continues onto the top of page 5 with the words, "Given their lack of total knowledge."	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fi that has two entities using one bank account. Q Why do you think she did that? Do you know? A I can't speculate as to why she did it, no.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on? Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning with the words, "Therefore, citation of aspects of their testimony to prove a point." And then continues onto the top of page 5 with the words, "Given their lack of total knowledge." A May create a misleading picture of the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fithat has two entities using one bank account. Q Why do you think she did that? Do you know? A I can't speculate as to why she did it, no. Q Do you think that she was capable?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on? Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning with the words, "Therefore, citation of aspects of their testimony to prove a point." And then continues onto the top of page 5 with the words, "Given their lack of total knowledge." A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fithat has two entities using one bank account. Q Why do you think she did that? Do you know? A I can't speculate as to why she did it, no. Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on? Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning with the words, "Therefore, citation of aspects of their testimony to prove a point." And then continues onto the top of page 5 with the words, "Given their lack of total knowledge." A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fithat has two entities using one bank account. Q Why do you think she did that? Do you know? A I can't speculate as to why she did it, no. Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on? Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning with the words, "Therefore, citation of aspects of their testimony to prove a point." And then continues onto the top of page 5 with the words, "Given their lack of total knowledge." A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fithat has two entities using one bank account. Q Why do you think she did that? Do you know? A I can't speculate as to why she did it, no. Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer. Go ahead.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on? Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning with the words, "Therefore, citation of aspects of their testimony to prove a point." And then continues onto the top of page 5 with the words, "Given their lack of total knowledge." A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no. Q So what did you think of Karen	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fithat has two entities using one bank account. Q Why do you think she did that? Do you know? A I can't speculate as to why she did it, no. Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't know anything of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on? Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning with the words, "Therefore, citation of aspects of their testimony to prove a point." And then continues onto the top of page 5 with the words, "Given their lack of total knowledge." A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no. Q So what did you think of Karen Gandolfo, professionally? What did you think of he	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fithat has two entities using one bank account. Q Why do you think she did that? Do you know? A I can't speculate as to why she did it, no. Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't know anything of her, other than the reports that were
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on? Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning with the words, "Therefore, citation of aspects of their testimony to prove a point." And then continues onto the top of page 5 with the words, "Given their lack of total knowledge." A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no. Q So what did you think of Karen Gandolfo, professionally? What did you think of he work or her skill set?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fithat has two entities using one bank account. Q Why do you think she did that? Do you know? A I can't speculate as to why she did it, no. Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't know anything of her, other than the reports that were generated and her testimony.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on? Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning with the words, "Therefore, citation of aspects of their testimony to prove a point." And then continues onto the top of page 5 with the words, "Given their lack of total knowledge." A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no. Q So what did you think of Karen Gandolfo, professionally? What did you think of he work or her skill set? A As far as her expertise?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fithat has two entities using one bank account. Q Why do you think she did that? Do you know? A I can't speculate as to why she did it, no. Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't know anything of her, other than the reports that were generated and her testimony. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on? Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning with the words, "Therefore, citation of aspects of their testimony to prove a point." And then continues onto the top of page 5 with the words, "Given their lack of total knowledge." A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no. Q So what did you think of Karen Gandolfo, professionally? What did you think of he work or her skill set? A As far as her expertise? Q Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fithat has two entities using one bank account. Q Why do you think she did that? Do you know? A I can't speculate as to why she did it, no. Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't know anything of her, other than the reports that were generated and her testimony. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q You read her deposition testimony?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on? Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning with the words, "Therefore, citation of aspects of their testimony to prove a point." And then continues onto the top of page 5 with the words, "Given their lack of total knowledge." A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no. Q So what did you think of Karen Gandolfo, professionally? What did you think of he work or her skill set? A As far as her expertise? Q Yes. A I thought she was a basic bookkeeper	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fithat has two entities using one bank account. Q Why do you think she did that? Do you know? A I can't speculate as to why she did it, no. Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't know anything of her, other than the reports that were generated and her testimony. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q You read her deposition testimony? A Yes, I did.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on? Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning with the words, "Therefore, citation of aspects of their testimony to prove a point." And then continues onto the top of page 5 with the words, "Given their lack of total knowledge." A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no. Q So what did you think of Karen Gandolfo, professionally? What did you think of he work or her skill set? A As far as her expertise? Q Yes. A I thought she was a basic bookkeeper with very limited knowledge.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fithat has two entities using one bank account. Q Why do you think she did that? Do you know? A I can't speculate as to why she did it, no. Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't know anything of her, other than the reports that were generated and her testimony. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q You read her deposition testimony? A Yes, I did. Q Did you have an opinion about that?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on? Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning with the words, "Therefore, citation of aspects of their testimony to prove a point." And then continues onto the top of page 5 with the words, "Given their lack of total knowledge." A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no. Q So what did you think of Karen Gandolfo, professionally? What did you think of he work or her skill set? A As far as her expertise? Q Yes. A I thought she was a basic bookkeeper with very limited knowledge. Q And then what about her processes? I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fithat has two entities using one bank account. Q Why do you think she did that? Do you know? A I can't speculate as to why she did it, no. Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't know anything of her, other than the reports that were generated and her testimony. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q You read her deposition testimony? A Yes, I did. Q Did you have an opinion about that? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague.
5 6 7 8	characterization? A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on? Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning with the words, "Therefore, citation of aspects of their testimony to prove a point." And then continues onto the top of page 5 with the words, "Given their lack of total knowledge." A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no. Q So what did you think of Karen Gandolfo, professionally? What did you think of he work or her skill set? A As far as her expertise? Q Yes. A I thought she was a basic bookkeeper with very limited knowledge.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each entity should have their individual bank accounts. So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks fithat has two entities using one bank account. Q Why do you think she did that? Do you know? A I can't speculate as to why she did it, no. Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't know anything of her, other than the reports that were generated and her testimony. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q You read her deposition testimony? A Yes, I did. Q Did you have an opinion about that?

	Page 13		Page 15
1	BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:	1	A Yes, I did.
2	Q With respect to her skill set.	2	Q Who worked with you on this process?
3	A I didn't necessarily draw an opinion	3	A It was largely two other individuals
4	with respect to her skill set, no.	4	within my group.
5	Q Appended to your report are a series of	5	Q Who are they?
6	printouts from what appears to be the QuickBooks	6	A Linda Cooke. She is a senior
7	records for whatever company. So obviously and	7	accountant. And Sandy Altavilla, who is a more
8	you identified those documents as documents that ye	0:8	junior accountant.
9	reviewed in order to prepare your report, correct?	9	Q What were their relative roles?
10	A I think you might be confused. The	10	A Sandy assisted with the original
11	reports that are attached to my report are	11	reconstruction of the records, based on the
12	transaction reports that my firm created. They're	12	documents that were provided with the bank
13	B not Karen's reports.	13	statements. And then Linda assisted me in doing
14	Q How were they generated?	14	analysis of those documents.
15	A They were generated by my staff, based	15	Q Do you know how much time was spent i
16	on review of bank statements, other financial	16	the total engagement to date?
17	records, non-financial records.	17	A I would say it's probably about 450
18	B Q But none of the QuickBooks reports are	18	hours.
19	for the entities that we're talking about? Did you	19	Q And then did you write the actual
20	guys go through and reconstruct entirely?	20	report, did you write each portion of the report,
21	A Correct. Yes.	21	drafts and whatever else, or was it a collaborative
22	Q And so somebody concluded what, were	22	effort?
23	<u> </u>	23	A It would have been collaborative.
24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	24	Generally one of my staff would take a first stab at
25	testified that they were only an entry of the checks	25	getting the facts outlined and then I review and
	Page 14		Page 16
1	that she needed to enter in order to generate a	1	adjust and finalize it.
2	check. So they were incomplete.	2	Q Did that happen here?
3		3	A Yes.
4	ledger, then, right? It was just straight out	4	Q Do you have an internal review process
5	disbursements?	5	after it's prepared?
6	A I think she had some deposits and	6	A Yes, we do.
7	transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't	7	Q What's that process?
8	perform bank reconciliations or reconcile them.	8	A One of my other partners, that don't
9	Q She didn't reconcile at all?	9	really have much to do with the case, just take an
10	A Not to my recollection.	10	overall review of it.
11	Q I know you're with a larger firm	11	Q So they can look at it without any kind
12		12	of preconceived notion and say, okay, this makes
13		13	sense or this doesn't make sense?
14	less in gross revenue?	14	A Correct.
15		15	Q Does that process also exist for
16	Q Any family-owned businesses?	16	liability purposes internally that you have a review
17	A Yes.	17	procedure for expert reports, or is it just
18	B Q In general, do you find that they are a	18	something that you just do as good practice?
19	little less formal than companies of a larger size	19	A Yeah, I don't think so. We just do it
20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	20	as good practice, to my knowledge.
21	•	21	Q And did that partner who reviewed
22		22	this report?
23	,	23	A Tom Hoberman.
	Jenssen, who was Shant Hovnanian ex-wife? Did y	024	Q And what's Tom's background?
24		1	
24 25	•	25	A He is the director of our forensic and

_			
	Page 17		Page 19
1	valuation services group.	1	A For which entity are you asking?
2	Q Did he have any changes to the report	2	Q Any.
3	from the draft?	3	A You can look for other evidence of
4	A None other than minor, you know, adjust	4	loans in documentation, outside of financial
5	this sentence, it makes it sound clearer. No	5	statements and tax returns.
l .	substantive adjustments.	6	Q Okay. Did that exist here?
7	Q Was there any debate internally about	7	A It did not.
	what should or should not be included as fact or	8	Q So what did you do?
l .	conclusions in the report?	9	A I looked for the evidence of loans, but
10	A No, there was not.	10	I didn't see any that existed.
11	Q Everybody went with your draft, so to	11	Q So we weren't able to verify those
12	speak? Everybody was okay with your draft?	12	beginning balances as we would normally, right?
13	A Correct.	13	A I'm not sure what beginning balances
14	Q Did you in the context of Randall's	14	which entity you're talking about. I'd have to look
15	report, had you been engaged to respond to that a		at them individually.
16	any level?	16	Q Okay. Did it matter at any entity
17	A No, I was not. I reviewed it.	17	level what the beginning balances were?
18	Q Have you requested anything additional	18	A I don't believe so, no.
19	as a result of any additional documents or any	19	Q Why not?
20	additional information as a result of what Randall's		A Because my analysis was a source and
21	report identified?	21	use of cash within the bank accounts.
22	A No, I haven't.	22	Q I'm trying to figure out in the context
23	Q Back to Randall's report itself and the	23	of this case what forensic analysis is necessary,
24	differentiation.	24	and so I don't want to go on a narrative, but I'm
25	On page 6 of that report, again,	25	trying to give you background so that, potentially,
23	On page of that report, again,	25	trying to give you background so that, potentially,
l			
	Page 18		Page 20
1		1	-
	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this		doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of
2	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying,	2	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method
2	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed	2 3	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it
2 3 4	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for	2 3 4	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to
2 3 4 5	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of careful control of the	2 3 4 855	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most
2 3 4 5 6	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry.	2 3 4 asta 6	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather
2 3 4 5 6 7	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that	2 3 4 asta 6 7	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to	2 3 4 85 6 7 8	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and	2 3 4 85 6 7 8 9	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the	2 3 4 85 6 7 8 9 10	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period.	2 3 4 856 7 8 9 10	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that?	2 3 4 8 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava	2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax	2 3 4 4 8 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there	2 3 4 9 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there were no tax returns or financial statements, other	2 3 4 9 855 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger. Q So in the context of that same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there were no tax returns or financial statements, other than the ones that we pointed to with Karen Gando	2 3 4 4 855 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger. Q So in the context of that same question, what did that reveal to you, the finished
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there were no tax returns or financial statements, other than the ones that we pointed to with Karen Gando earlier.	2 3 4 9 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger. Q So in the context of that same question, what did that reveal to you, the finished product?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there were no tax returns or financial statements, other than the ones that we pointed to with Karen Gando earlier. Q Okay. So in that instance, you didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 19	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger. Q So in the context of that same question, what did that reveal to you, the finished product? A With respect to so what are my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there were no tax returns or financial statements, other than the ones that we pointed to with Karen Gando earlier. Q Okay. So in that instance, you didn't have the ability to tie it back?	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 19 20	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger. Q So in the context of that same question, what did that reveal to you, the finished product? A With respect to so what are my opinions; is that what you're asking me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there were no tax returns or financial statements, other than the ones that we pointed to with Karen Gando earlier. Q Okay. So in that instance, you didn't have the ability to tie it back? A Correct, there was no records.	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger. Q So in the context of that same question, what did that reveal to you, the finished product? A With respect to so what are my opinions; is that what you're asking me? Q Yes. About the in and out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there were no tax returns or financial statements, other than the ones that we pointed to with Karen Gando earlier. Q Okay. So in that instance, you didn't have the ability to tie it back? A Correct, there was no records. Q So what do you do?	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 19 20 21 22	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger. Q So in the context of that same question, what did that reveal to you, the finished product? A With respect to so what are my opinions; is that what you're asking me? Q Yes. About the in and out. A So with respect to Speedus and Zargis,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there were no tax returns or financial statements, other than the ones that we pointed to with Karen Gando earlier. Q Okay. So in that instance, you didn't have the ability to tie it back? A Correct, there was no records. Q So what do you do? A For what?	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger. Q So in the context of that same question, what did that reveal to you, the finished product? A With respect to so what are my opinions; is that what you're asking me? Q Yes. About the in and out. A So with respect to Speedus and Zargis, it revealed that those accounts, regardless of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there were no tax returns or financial statements, other than the ones that we pointed to with Karen Gando earlier. Q Okay. So in that instance, you didn't have the ability to tie it back? A Correct, there was no records. Q So what do you do?	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 19 20 21 22	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger. Q So in the context of that same question, what did that reveal to you, the finished product? A With respect to so what are my opinions; is that what you're asking me? Q Yes. About the in and out. A So with respect to Speedus and Zargis,

1			
	Page 21		Page 23
1	Q And then what was wrong with that?	1	legal conclusion, but you can answer.
2	A In what regard?	2	BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:
3	Q Any regard. Was there anything wron	· .	Q Well, it's bad accounting stuff, so
4	with that?	4	that's why I asked you. Are there indicators that
5	A I can't draw a legal conclusion as to	5	there was fraud?
6	whether something is wrong with that, no.	6	A Well, again, I just mentioned that
7	Q Was there an accounting wrong with	7	there is no there was no tax returns filed. So
8	that?	8	if these bank accounts are legitimate bank accounts are legitimate bank accounts are legitimate bank accounts.
9	A Well, generally, if you have a bank	9	then they should have had tax returns filed.
10 11	account in an entity's name, that entity is filing tax returns. And the entities in this case weren		Q The transactions themselves again,
12	filing tax returns. That's where you would	12	there are hundreds of pages of transactions. Is there fraud in there?
13	determine if there's anything wrong with it.	13	MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection. That calls
14	Q Okay. But if they were, does it	14	for a legal conclusion.
15	matter? Did it matter to your analysis?	15	THE WITNESS: As a forensic account
16	A My analysis was to determine what th		I can't opine whether there's fraud or not
17	sources and uses of funds within that bank acc		
18	were.	18	BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:
19	Q And there are literally hundreds of	19	Q No, but you can opine upon whether
20	pages of sources and uses of funds in the	20	there are indicias of fraud, yeah?
21	transaction report?	21	A I can, correct.
22	A Correct.	22	Q Did you identify indicias of fraud?
23	Q Does that reveal anything? Other that	r23	A I think with respect to totality of our
24	Shant potentially having personal expenses	24	analysis, we identified that there were payments
25	identified as going through the business, is the	r @ 5	relative to the trusts that were being paid through
	Page 77		Page 2/1
	Page 22		Page 24
1	anything to that?	1	these two bank accounts. That plays into our
2	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction.	2	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report.
2	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to	2	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in?
2 3 4	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal	2 3 4	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay
2 3 4 5	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer.	2 3 4 5	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses.
2 3 4 5 6	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari.	2 3 4 5 6	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And?
2 3 4 5 6 7	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin	2 3 4 5 6 g7	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in	2 3 4 5 6 97 8	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens	2 3 4 5 6 g7 8 es	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River	2 3 4 5 6 97 8 es	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens	2 3 4 5 6 g7 8 es	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a	2 3 4 5 6 97 8 es 10	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust.	2 3 4 5 6 g7 8 es 10 11	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 es 10 11 12 13	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 es 10 11 12 13	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or somebody is paying expenses on behalf of the trust.	2 3 4 5 6 9 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 15	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or somebody is paying expenses on behalf of the truand they're reflected in the books of a company.	2 3 4 5 6 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 15	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Well, is there a consequence associated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or somebody is paying expenses on behalf of the truand they're reflected in the books of a company. Does that matter?	2 3 4 5 6 9 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Well, is there a consequence associated with somebody paying, personally, expenses on behalof the trust? A It was an analysis that we were asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or somebody is paying expenses on behalf of the truand they're reflected in the books of a company. Does that matter? A I guess I don't understand what you're	2 3 4 5 6 97 8 es 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Well, is there a consequence associated with somebody paying, personally, expenses on behalof the trust?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or somebody is paying expenses on behalf of the tru and they're reflected in the books of a company. Does that matter? A I guess I don't understand what you're asking. Does it matter in what regard?	2 3 4 5 6 97 8 8 10 11 12 13 14 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Well, is there a consequence associated with somebody paying, personally, expenses on behalof the trust? A It was an analysis that we were asked to perform. Whether there are consequences seems be the legal issue that's at issue in this case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or somebody is paying expenses on behalf of the tru and they're reflected in the books of a company. Does that matter? A I guess I don't understand what you're asking. Does it matter in what regard? Q In what I understand as a typical or	2 3 4 5 6 9 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Well, is there a consequence associated with somebody paying, personally, expenses on behalof the trust? A It was an analysis that we were asked to perform. Whether there are consequences seems be the legal issue that's at issue in this case. Q From an accounting standpoint, does it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or somebody is paying expenses on behalf of the truand they're reflected in the books of a company. Does that matter? A I guess I don't understand what you're asking. Does it matter in what regard? Q In what I understand as a typical or what can be a forensic analysis, as you're looking for what we would identify legally as the the legal term as bad stuff, right? Is there any bad	2 3 4 5 6 97 8 8 10 11 12 13 14 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Well, is there a consequence associated with somebody paying, personally, expenses on behalf the trust? A It was an analysis that we were asked to perform. Whether there are consequences seems be the legal issue that's at issue in this case. Q From an accounting standpoint, does it matter? I mean, it's an expert report in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or somebody is paying expenses on behalf of the truand they're reflected in the books of a company. Does that matter? A I guess I don't understand what you're asking. Does it matter in what regard? Q In what I understand as a typical or what can be a forensic analysis, as you're looking for what we would identify legally as the the legal term as bad stuff, right? Is there any bad stuff in there?	2 3 4 5 6 g7 8 e9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Well, is there a consequence associated with somebody paying, personally, expenses on behalf the trust? A It was an analysis that we were asked to perform. Whether there are consequences seems be the legal issue that's at issue in this case. Q From an accounting standpoint, does it matter? I mean, it's an expert report in the context of that, and there's a tremendous amount of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 6 17 18 19 20 12 22 23	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or somebody is paying expenses on behalf of the truand they're reflected in the books of a company. Does that matter? A I guess I don't understand what you're asking. Does it matter in what regard? Q In what I understand as a typical or what can be a forensic analysis, as you're looking for what we would identify legally as the the legal term as bad stuff, right? Is there any bad	2 3 4 5 6 g7 8 e9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Well, is there a consequence associated with somebody paying, personally, expenses on behalf the trust? A It was an analysis that we were asked to perform. Whether there are consequences seems be the legal issue that's at issue in this case. Q From an accounting standpoint, does it matter? I mean, it's an expert report in the

	Jessica n	OI.	Tobaugii
	Page 25		Page 27
1 do	ocumentation supporting that these transactions	1	opine upon.
	ere paid personally on behalf of the trust. Why?		Q Okay. But in the context of performing
	'hy does that matter?	3	the analysis you had to, did you break it down and
4	MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a	4	say, okay, this is what we need to look at in order
	-		
5	legal conclusion and, potentially, my work	5	to do X or Y or Z?
6	product, but you can answer.	6	A No, I was asked to analyze the sources
7	THE WITNESS: It's my understanding	7	and uses of funds within each account exactly as
8	legally that there is a potential issue of	8	set forth in the report.
9	alter ego, and I was asked to review these	9	Q Do you think that the report goes
10	accounts to determine where the funding for	10	beyond that?
11	certain expenses was coming from. So that's	s11	A I don't understand your question.
12	the analysis that I performed.	12	Q Well, you do identify facts and
13 E	Y MR. HANAMIRIAN:	13	conclusions of law in the report, so I'm asking you
14	Q What's your understanding of what alte		
	go means? I mean, you identified it. That's wh		
	m asking.	16	
17	-	17	A I don't ever opine upon alter ego.
	A I mean it's a legal term. I've had everal cases that have involved it.	18	· · · · ·
19		19	
20	A In general terms, it's when an entity	20	within the report. I'm saying you weren't engaged
	r an individual is one and the same with anothe		for that. Why are they there?
	ntity or individual.	22	MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection. The questi
23	Q And does that appear here?	23	is misleading in it presumes that there's
24	A Again, I didn't opine upon alter ego.	24	<u> </u>
24	A Again, I didn't opine upon alter ego. performed a forensic accounting analysis to ass		findings or conclusions as a defined term. Or as a technical term. And to some extent
24			<u> </u>
24 25 I	performed a forensic accounting analysis to ass Page 26		as a technical term. And to some extent Page 28
24 25 I	performed a forensic accounting analysis to ass Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments.	i 2 5	as a technical term. And to some extent Page 28 vague. But you can answer.
24 25 I 1 co 2	performed a forensic accounting analysis to ass Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis	1 2	as a technical term. And to some extent Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the
24 25 I 1 co 2 3 su	performed a forensic accounting analysis to ass Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis pports an alter ego theory?	1 2 3	as a technical term. And to some extent Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please?
24 25 I 1 co 2 3 su 4	performed a forensic accounting analysis to ass Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis pports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal	1 2 3 4	as a technical term. And to some extent Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:
24 25 I 1 co 2 3 su 4 5 co	performed a forensic accounting analysis to ass Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis pports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion.	1 2 3 4 5	as a technical term. And to some extent Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and
24 25 I 1 co 2 3 su 4 5 co 6	performed a forensic accounting analysis to assemble performed a forensic accounting analysis to assemble performed a forensic accounting analysis unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis performs an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it,	1 2 3 4 5 6	as a technical term. And to some extent Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There
24 25 I 1 co 2 3 su 4 5 co 6 7 an	performed a forensic accounting analysis to assemble performed a forensic accounting analysis to assemble performed a forensic accounting analysis to assemble performed and arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis performed an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	as a technical term. And to some extent Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions
24 25 I 1 co 2 3 su 4 5 co 6 7 an 8 co	Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis pports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel nfers with you and say it's an alter ego thing,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	as a technical term. And to some extent Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We
24 25 I 1 co 2 3 su 4 5 co 6 7 an 8 co 9 ar	Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis pports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel nfers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, en't you then looking for specific items to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	as a technical term. And to some extent Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps.
24 25 I 1 co 2 3 su 4 5 co 6 7 an 8 co 9 an 10 de	Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis ports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel nfers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, en't you then looking for specific items to emonstrate alter ego?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	as a technical term. And to some extent Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful.
1 co 2 3 su 4 5 co 6 7 an 8 co 9 ard 10 de	Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis ports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel nfers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, en't you then looking for specific items to emonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	as a technical term. And to some extent Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of
1 co 2 3 su 4 5 co 6 7 an 8 co 9 ar 10 de 11	Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis pports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel nfers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, en't you then looking for specific items to emonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter go. That's just my understanding of what the issue	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	as a technical term. And to some extent Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading,
1 co 2 3 su 4 5 co 6 7 an 8 co 9 ar 10 de 11	Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis poorts an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel nfers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, en't you then looking for specific items to emonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter go. That's just my understanding of what the issue the case is.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	as a technical term. And to some extent Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's
24 25 I 1 co 2 3 su 4 5 co 6 7 an 8 co 9 ard 11 12 eq 13 in	Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis pports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel nfers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, en't you then looking for specific items to emonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter go. That's just my understanding of what the issue	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	as a technical term. And to some extent Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading,
24 25 I 1 co 2 3 su 4 5 co 6 7 an 8 co 9 ar 10 do 11 12 eq 13 in	Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis poorts an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel nfers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, en't you then looking for specific items to emonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter go. That's just my understanding of what the issue the case is.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	as a technical term. And to some extent Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's
24 25 I 1 co 2 3 su 4 5 co 6 7 an 8 co 9 ar 10 do 11 12 e 13 in 14 15 w	Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis poorts an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel infers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, en't you then looking for specific items to emonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter go. That's just my understanding of what the issue the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	as a technical term. And to some extent Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint,
24 25 I 1 co 2 3 su 4 5 co 6 7 an 10 do 11 12 eq 13 in 14 15 w	Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis ports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel of the you then looking for specific items to emonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter go. That's just my understanding of what the issue the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything rong with an alter ego entity?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38.
1 co 2 su 4 5 co 6 7 an 10 do 11 12 eq 13 in 14 w 15 w	Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis ports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel nfers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, en't you then looking for specific items to emonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter go. That's just my understanding of what the issue the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything rong with an alter ego entity? A In what regard? Q Well, the claims underlying the case,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38. Do you see that? A Yes, I do.
1 co 2 3 su 4 5 co 7 an 8 9 ar 10 do 11 12 eq 13 in 14 15 w 16 17 18 an	Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis poorts an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel nfers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, en't you then looking for specific items to emonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter go. That's just my understanding of what the issue the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything rong with an alter ego entity? A In what regard? Q Well, the claims underlying the case, and the characterization I know it's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38. Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q And then about the third sentence in
24 25 I 1 co 2 3 su 4 5 co 6 7 an 8 co 9 ar 10 de 11 eq 13 in 14 w 16 17 18 al 19 ol	Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis poorts an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel of the you and say it's an alter ego thing, en't you then looking for specific items to emonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter go. That's just my understanding of what the issue the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything rong with an alter ego entity? A In what regard? Q Well, the claims underlying the case, and the characterization I know it's ojectionable, but the claims, yes, it's alter ego,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38. Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q And then about the third sentence in that same paragraph, beginning with the words, Late
24 25 I 1 co 2 3 su 4 5 co 7 an 8 co 9 ar 10 do 11 12 e 13 in 14 15 w 16 17 18 al 19 ol 20 bi	Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis ports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel of the you then looking for specific items to emonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter go. That's just my understanding of what the issue the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything rong with an alter ego entity? A In what regard? Q Well, the claims underlying the case, and the characterization I know it's ojectionable, but the claims, yes, it's alter ego, ut do you know from whom to whom alter ego runs in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 120	Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38. Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q And then about the third sentence in that same paragraph, beginning with the words, Late in paragraph 40, the United States claims that
24 25 I 1 co 2 3 su 4 5 co 6 7 an 8 co 9 ar 10 de 11 eq 13 in 14 w 16 17 an 18 an 19 ol 20 br 21 th	Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis ports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel nfers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, en't you then looking for specific items to emonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter go. That's just my understanding of what the issue the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything rong with an alter ego entity? A In what regard? Q Well, the claims underlying the case, and the characterization I know it's objectionable, but the claims, yes, it's alter ego, at do you know from whom to whom alter ego runs in its case? Is it Speedus to Shant, is it Shant to a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38. Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q And then about the third sentence in that same paragraph, beginning with the words, Late in paragraph 40, the United States claims that because Adelphia Water are now the owners of the
1 co 3 su 4 5 co 6 7 an 6 11 12 ei 11 14 15 w 16 17 18 al 19 ol 20 th 22 tr	Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis ports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel nfers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, en't you then looking for specific items to emonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter go. That's just my understanding of what the issue the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything rong with an alter ego entity? A In what regard? Q Well, the claims underlying the case, and the characterization I know it's objectionable, but the claims, yes, it's alter ego, at do you know from whom to whom alter ego runs in its case? Is it Speedus to Shant, is it Shant to a just, is it Nina to a trust, is it Karen to a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38. Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q And then about the third sentence in that same paragraph, beginning with the words, Late in paragraph 40, the United States claims that because Adelphia Water are now the owners of the corporate assets, including the 572 Wyckoff Mills
1 co 2 su 4 5 co 6 7 an 10 do 11 12 eq 13 in 14 15 w 16 17 18 ai 19 ol 20 bi 21 th 22 tr 23 tr	Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis poorts an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel nfers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, en't you then looking for specific items to emonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter go. That's just my understanding of what the issue the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything rong with an alter ego entity? A In what regard? Q Well, the claims underlying the case, and the characterization I know it's objectionable, but the claims, yes, it's alter ego, but do you know from whom to whom alter ego runs in it case? Is it Speedus to Shant, is it Shant to a just, is it Nina to a trust, is it Karen to a just? Who is the alter ego in this case?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38. Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q And then about the third sentence in that same paragraph, beginning with the words, Late in paragraph 40, the United States claims that because Adelphia Water are now the owners of the corporate assets, including the 572 Wyckoff Mills Road property.
1 co 2 su 4 5 co 7 an 8 9 an 10 do 11 12 eq 13 in 14 15 w 16 17 18 ai 19 ol 20 bi 21 th 22 tr 23 tr 24	Page 26 unsel in their legal arguments. Q Do you think that your analysis ports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal nclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, d you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel nfers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, en't you then looking for specific items to emonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter go. That's just my understanding of what the issue the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything rong with an alter ego entity? A In what regard? Q Well, the claims underlying the case, and the characterization I know it's objectionable, but the claims, yes, it's alter ego, at do you know from whom to whom alter ego runs in its case? Is it Speedus to Shant, is it Shant to a just, is it Nina to a trust, is it Karen to a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 28 vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38. Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q And then about the third sentence in that same paragraph, beginning with the words, Late in paragraph 40, the United States claims that because Adelphia Water are now the owners of the corporate assets, including the 572 Wyckoff Mills

Page 29 Page 31 There's nothing about Adelphia Water in 1 Because there were transactions between 1 2 my report. 2 the bank accounts of Speedus and the bank accounts 3 What about the 572 Wyckoff Mills Road 3 of the trusts, which we were analyzing the trusts. property; anything? So in order to analyze the trust, they needed to 4 4 Not to my recollection, no. 5 look at the analysis of Speedus to determine what 5 Let me ask you just broadly again, in the sources and uses of funds in that account were. 6 7 the context of the preparation of the report, did 7 Okay. And that showed what? you have questions along the way? Did anybody 8 Just what it says here, that the bank prepare a list of questions and open items for the 9 accounts were utilized by Shant as personal bank 10 report that says, okay, well, we can't conclude this accounts and were utilized to fund the expenses of 10 11 engagement unless we know this, unless we know that? the trust. 12 There was never a list, a written list 12 Q Α And in the context of performing 13 of questions, no. 13 forensic analysis where you're going through and you're examining the numbered dead body, it's a dead 14 I mean, unless focused on the verbiage, body of numbers. Again, why does that matter? So 15 were there any questions? 15 Of course there would have been he pays personal expenses through this Speedus 16 17 questions, yes. account at Morgan. And again, I mean respectfully, 17 18 And so again, if there is a privilege just like I told my kids, what I say instead of how 19 or any kind of associated confidentiality with Ari, I say it. But so what? He pays it through Speedus, 20 don't answer, but did you communicate with Ari 20 so what? Does it matter for a forensic analysis? and/or anybody at the United States Department of 21 Does it matter for the conclusions that you might 22 Justice about any of those questions along the way? 22 reach in the report? 23 Yes, I did. 23 Α Yes, it mattered. 24 MR. KUNOFSKY: You can answer that Q 24 Why? 25 25 Α question. Because we analyzed the Pachava Trust, Page 30 Page 32 BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: 1 and like I just mentioned, there were transactions 2 Page 8, Randall identifies, under the where Speedus was paying expenses of the Pachava bolded heading, Speedus. He quotes here, analysis Trust. So we needed to understand if that was 3 4 here, he begins the process of quoting the summary Speedus paying the expenses or whether it was Shant. 4 analysis from your report. This paragraph, rather And what did you find out? 5 Q 6 than read it all, which is just torture for 6 Α That the Speedus account was funded by 7 everyone, me included, these items, can you just 7 Shant as an individual and was utilized to pay those 8 review the items that are block quoted in there 8 expenses. 9 under the -- where you've identified on that page 8 9 Q And do you have any thoughts as to why 10 and from your report? You may just want to review that might have been? 10 11 again. Take a minute. I can't tell you what his thoughts were 11 12 Α Okay. 12 at the time, no. 13 Q And then at the bottom of it in bold, 13 No, what your thoughts are as to why, Q 14 it says, Accordingly, it's your opinion that the in a forensic analysis, you're going through again 15 Speedus accounts, your bank accounts were comprised looking at the dead body, why do you think that that 16 of personal deposits, including little, if any, took place the way it did? Why do you think he used 16 the Speedus account? 17 ongoing business income and were used by Shant to 17 18 fund personal expenses, at \$201,204 satisfaction of 18 Α That would be complete speculation. I don't know. 19 a tax lien against the Village Mall in 2015 and a 19 20 \$319,349 tax lien against 520 NRR in 2017. 20 Q That's all right. Speculation is all That opinion, again, going back to, I 21 21 right. And the expert context is fine. 22 mean it respectfully, what was the point of that, 22 Maybe he didn't have another personal 23 identifying that Shant paid personal -- they're all 23 account. I don't know.

24

25

Did you see any other activity, any

other payments out, other than trust transactions

24 personal expenses through this entity. Why does

25 that matter?

Page 33		Page 35
1 through the Speedus accounts?	1	the Zargis, the paragraph beginning with the title,
2 A Yes.	2	the bold title, Zargis, and then there's a dash, and
3 Q Were they also personal expenses of	3	then it begins on page 27 of the Withum, we reprint
4 Shant?	4	for ease of reading. And again, this is a block
5 A Yes, they all appeared to be.	5	quote from your report. Can you take a minute and
6 Q Were there any business transactions,	6	just go through that page? It runs to the end of
7 if you know?	7	that page 9.
8 A I would have to look back through the	8	A Okay.
9 details. I don't recall there being any.	9	Q Again, there's an identification here
10 Q When you identified business versus		-
-	10	that Shant is the only signatory, that there's no business activity conducted by Zargis, and that
·	11	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
12 payor, without the account holder, how did you		expenses on behalf of the trust, it appears, were
13 discern which ones were personal and which ones w		paid through this Zargis account as well, correct?
14 business?	14	A Correct.
15 A So based on the testimony, there was no	15	Q And so you say in the conclusion in
16 ongoing business operations, so expenses, many of	16	bold at the bottom, Accordingly, it's my opinion
17 the expenses were things such as meals and travel.	17	9
18 Q And were those okay. That would be	18	clearing account for funds of other entities,
19 the testimony, I guess, of obviously, this is why	19	including, but not limited to, monies transferred in
20 attorneys this is a transcript that somebody will	20	from Speedus New York bank accounts for the payment
21 pull and post. It would be the testimony of the	21	of a real estate tax lien on 520 NRR.
22 persons who already provided testimony in this case		What is the basis for your opinion and
23 so it would be Karen, Nina and whomever else, right		that conclusion?
24 A That was some of the basis, yes.	24	A My basis is the sources and use of cash
25 Q And again, the meals and travel and	25	analysis that were performed.
Page 34		Page 36
	1	
1 those kinds of things that you say were related,	1 2	Q And what do you consider a clearing
1 those kinds of things that you say were related,2 were these identified as trust expenses?	2	Q And what do you consider a clearing account?
 1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 	2 3	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so
 1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 4 Q Do you know if Shant had any other 	3 4	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or
 1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 4 Q Do you know if Shant had any other 5 any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 	2 3 4 5	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through.
 1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 4 Q Do you know if Shant had any other 5 any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 6 whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or the 	2 3 4 5 ne6	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit?
 1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 4 Q Do you know if Shant had any other 5 any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 6 whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or th 7 Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm 	2 3 4 5 ne6 7	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term.
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or th Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you 	2 3 4 5 ne6 7 8	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that?
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or th Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you know he had any other accounts from which he cou 	2 3 4 5 ne6 7 8 Id9	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or the Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you know he had any other accounts from which he cou pay expenses? 	2 3 4 5 ne6 7 8 ld9	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed
 1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 4 Q Do you know if Shant had any other 5 any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 6 whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or the transport of the world? You know what I'm 8 saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you 9 know he had any other accounts from which he count 10 pay expenses? 11 A Outside of the accounts outlined in my 	2 3 4 5 ne6 7 8 ld9 10	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There
 1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 4 Q Do you know if Shant had any other 5 any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 6 whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or the transport of the world? You know what I'm 8 saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you 9 know he had any other accounts from which he count 10 pay expenses? 11 A Outside of the accounts outlined in my 12 report, I'm not aware of any others. 	2 3 4 5 ne6 7 8 ld9 10 11	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being
 1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 4 Q Do you know if Shant had any other 5 any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 6 whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or the 7 Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm 8 saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you 9 know he had any other accounts from which he cou 10 pay expenses? 11 A Outside of the accounts outlined in my 12 report, I'm not aware of any others. 13 Q And the accounts outlined in your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Id9 10 11 12	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or the theorem of the world? You know what I'm saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you know he had any other accounts from which he cound pay expenses? A Outside of the accounts outlined in my report, I'm not aware of any others. Q And the accounts outlined in your report are the ones that you reviewed? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 1d9 10 11 12 13	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source.
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or the triangle of the world? You know what I'm saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you know he had any other accounts from which he cound pay expenses? A Outside of the accounts outlined in my report, I'm not aware of any others. Q And the accounts outlined in your report are the ones that you reviewed? A Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 1d 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or the triangle of the world? You know what I'm saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you know he had any other accounts from which he cound pay expenses? A Outside of the accounts outlined in my report, I'm not aware of any others. Q And the accounts outlined in your report are the ones that you reviewed? A Correct. Q Did you have complete statements for 	2 3 4 5 10 6 7 8 10 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in this instance for Zargis was who or whom?
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or tr Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you know he had any other accounts from which he cou pay expenses? A Outside of the accounts outlined in my report, I'm not aware of any others. Q And the accounts outlined in your report are the ones that you reviewed? A Correct. Q Did you have complete statements for all of those for the periods that you analyzed? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 10 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in this instance for Zargis was who or whom? A Well, it flowed through Zargis from
1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 4 Q Do you know if Shant had any other 5 any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 6 whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or th 7 Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm 8 saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you 9 know he had any other accounts from which he cou 10 pay expenses? 11 A Outside of the accounts outlined in my 12 report, I'm not aware of any others. 13 Q And the accounts outlined in your 14 report are the ones that you reviewed? 15 A Correct. 16 Q Did you have complete statements for 17 all of those for the periods that you analyzed? 18 A I believe we did. If we didn't, we	2 3 4 5 7 8 8 8 10 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in this instance for Zargis was who or whom? A Well, it flowed through Zargis from Speedus, which was the personal funds of Shant.
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or the Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you know he had any other accounts from which he cou pay expenses? A Outside of the accounts outlined in my report, I'm not aware of any others. Q And the accounts outlined in your report are the ones that you reviewed? A Correct. Q Did you have complete statements for all of those for the periods that you analyzed? A I believe we did. If we didn't, we would have footnoted it. I'm fairly certain we had 	2 3 4 5 7 8 8 1d9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in this instance for Zargis was who or whom? A Well, it flowed through Zargis from Speedus, which was the personal funds of Shant. Q And do you have any sense as to why
1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 4 Q Do you know if Shant had any other 5 any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 6 whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or th 7 Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm 8 saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you 9 know he had any other accounts from which he cou 10 pay expenses? 11 A Outside of the accounts outlined in my 12 report, I'm not aware of any others. 13 Q And the accounts outlined in your 14 report are the ones that you reviewed? 15 A Correct. 16 Q Did you have complete statements for 17 all of those for the periods that you analyzed? 18 A I believe we did. If we didn't, we 19 would have footnoted it. I'm fairly certain we had 20 complete statements for those periods, yes.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in this instance for Zargis was who or whom? A Well, it flowed through Zargis from Speedus, which was the personal funds of Shant. Q And do you have any sense as to why that took place?
1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 4 Q Do you know if Shant had any other 5 any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 6 whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or th 7 Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm 8 saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you 9 know he had any other accounts from which he cou 10 pay expenses? 11 A Outside of the accounts outlined in my 12 report, I'm not aware of any others. 13 Q And the accounts outlined in your 14 report are the ones that you reviewed? 15 A Correct. 16 Q Did you have complete statements for 17 all of those for the periods that you analyzed? 18 A I believe we did. If we didn't, we 19 would have footnoted it. I'm fairly certain we had 20 complete statements for those periods, yes. 21 Q And then moving to first off, we're	2 3 4 5 7 8 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in this instance for Zargis was who or whom? A Well, it flowed through Zargis from Speedus, which was the personal funds of Shant. Q And do you have any sense as to why that took place? A Again, that would be speculation.
1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 4 Q Do you know if Shant had any other 5 any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 6 whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or tr 7 Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm 8 saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you 9 know he had any other accounts from which he cou 10 pay expenses? 11 A Outside of the accounts outlined in my 12 report, I'm not aware of any others. 13 Q And the accounts outlined in your 14 report are the ones that you reviewed? 15 A Correct. 16 Q Did you have complete statements for 17 all of those for the periods that you analyzed? 18 A I believe we did. If we didn't, we 19 would have footnoted it. I'm fairly certain we had 20 complete statements for those periods, yes. 21 Q And then moving to first off, we're 22 50 minutes in. And do you want to check the dog of	2 3 4 5 7 8 8 8 1d 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in this instance for Zargis was who or whom? A Well, it flowed through Zargis from Speedus, which was the personal funds of Shant. Q And do you have any sense as to why that took place? A Again, that would be speculation. Q I understand, but what's so money
1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 4 Q Do you know if Shant had any other 5 any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 6 whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or th 7 Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm 8 saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you 9 know he had any other accounts from which he cou 10 pay expenses? 11 A Outside of the accounts outlined in my 12 report, I'm not aware of any others. 13 Q And the accounts outlined in your 14 report are the ones that you reviewed? 15 A Correct. 16 Q Did you have complete statements for 17 all of those for the periods that you analyzed? 18 A I believe we did. If we didn't, we 19 would have footnoted it. I'm fairly certain we had 20 complete statements for those periods, yes. 21 Q And then moving to first off, we're 22 50 minutes in. And do you want to check the dog of 23 do you need a break? What do you want to do?	2 3 4 5 8 10 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in this instance for Zargis was who or whom? A Well, it flowed through Zargis from Speedus, which was the personal funds of Shant. Q And do you have any sense as to why that took place? A Again, that would be speculation. Q I understand, but what's so money went through Speedus and Zargis and then out and
1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 4 Q Do you know if Shant had any other 5 any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 6 whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or tr 7 Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm 8 saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you 9 know he had any other accounts from which he cou 10 pay expenses? 11 A Outside of the accounts outlined in my 12 report, I'm not aware of any others. 13 Q And the accounts outlined in your 14 report are the ones that you reviewed? 15 A Correct. 16 Q Did you have complete statements for 17 all of those for the periods that you analyzed? 18 A I believe we did. If we didn't, we 19 would have footnoted it. I'm fairly certain we had 20 complete statements for those periods, yes. 21 Q And then moving to first off, we're 22 50 minutes in. And do you want to check the dog of	2 3 4 5 7 8 8 8 1d 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in this instance for Zargis was who or whom? A Well, it flowed through Zargis from Speedus, which was the personal funds of Shant. Q And do you have any sense as to why that took place? A Again, that would be speculation. Q I understand, but what's so money

Page 37 Page 39 It was part of my analysis of the 1 Sure. The real estate taxes for both 1 Pachava and VSHPHH Trust. 2 the Pachava and VSHPHH Trust were paid through those 2 3 Understood. But does it raise any red entities, which we concluded were controlled and funded by personal funds of Shant. So, in essence, flags for you, as far as the transactions 4 4 when we see the real estate taxes being paid, that 5 themselves, does it matter? 5 Generally business accounts aren't contributes to our conclusion that Shant carried the 6 7 utilized to fund personal expenses. But as we've burden of ownership of those entities by funding the 7 8 talked about before, there's no tax returns that 8 real estate taxes. were filed. 9 Q The entities being the trusts? 9 When would that matter? When would it Α 10 Q 10 Correct. 11 matter if you used the business account to fund 11 Q Okay. And that supports just that? It personal expenses? just supports that he used these monies to pay 12 13 If you deducted those personal expenses 13 expenses on behalf of the trusts through these two 14 on a business tax return. companies? 14 And so then if you don't do that, 15 And I should probably reword, it's the 15 Q Α 16 what's the consequence otherwise? properties versus the entities, but yes. 16 I think that's a legal question. 17 Q Tell me what you mean. 17 18 Q Well, and I very much respect you, 18 Α He funded the expenses of the 19 obviously, and so I want to make sure that I don't 19 properties. 20 say things in the wrong manner, because it's not my20 Q Not the trusts. I'm just trying to 21 style. But in this instance, we're saying that your assure that the flow of funds is accurate. 21 22 conclusion is that it's your opinion, which is an 22 How did things go back and forth in both the Speedus and/or the Zargis accounts, monies 23 expert opinion, they used the account as a clearing 23 24 account for funds; what's the point of that? What's 24 came in from -- do you know the source of the monies 25 that conclusion intended to support? 25 that came in? Page 38 Page 40 1 Α It's intended to support --1 Yeah, they're all in schedules 2 MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection. Sorry, throughout the reports, yes. 3 objection to the extent it calls for her to 3 And then that sourcing is derived from 4 opine on, to provide work product or a legal 4 what, the bank statements? 5 conclusion, but you can answer to the extent 5 The bank statements and the review of 6 you're talking about in the accounting 6 other financial and non-financial documents. 7 7 context. Okay. And what supports that the monies came from Shant personally? What 8 8 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Yeah, I mean if you documentation supports that? 9 want me to --9 10 It would depend on each individual MR. ZIMBERG: Go ahead. 10 MR. HANAMIRIAN: The reason I say what 1 transaction. You'd have to look at them all 11 12 I'm saying and not argumentatively, but when 12 individually. we say it's my opinion that he used the --13 We'll stay on pages 8 and 9, where in 8 13 Shant used the Zargis bank account as a we're talking about the tax lien against Village 14 15 clearing account, the opinion, assumedly Mall for the 319-and-change thousand, that 15 transaction. 16 matters for some reason. 16 THE WITNESS: That opinion is used to 17 17 Give me one second. I'm just trying to support my other opinions with respect to my 18 18 find it in my report here. 19 analysis of the Pachava and VSHPHH Trust. 19 Q Take your time. It's not speed-driven. 20 BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thank you, though. 20 21 Which are what -- take the sequence, On January 19, 2017, 319,000 was paid 21 out of the Zargis bank account for the Middletown 22 then. So from the prior Speedus analysis and 22 23 dialogue we just had, and the Zargis analysis and 23 Township taxes. That was funded from two transfers 24 dialogue that we just had, how do those two plug in 24 from the Speedus account just two days earlier of 25 to your subsequent opinions, as you describe? 25 140,000 and 200,000.

	Page 41		Page 43
1	Q And so it looks like that the property	1	Q Yes.
2	tax was paid through the entity. Do you have any	2	A No, it was not.
3	sense of why that might have been?	3	Q And so how did you come to that
4	A I do not, no.	4	conclusion, or how did you come to that assumption,
5	Q Did it concern you, professionally,	5	or whatever you want to call it?
6	obviously?	6	A The funds came in from Sparebanken,
7	A It was part of my analysis.	7	S-P-A-R-E-B-A-N-K-E-N, one word, Rogaland,
8	Q Did it raise any question in your mind?	8	R-O-G-A-L-A-N-D, and were notated with the term
9	Like, maybe I can make it easier. I'm asking now,	9	Hilde Nedrehangen Jenssen.
10	why did he do that? You say, okay, why would you o		Q Okay. So that there were monies it
11	that, as you're looking through?	11	seems like they were paid by Hilde to the Speedus
12	A Right, it raised the question of why	12	account? They were wired into the Speedus account
13	taxes relative to an asset that's been put in trust	13	A Correct.
14	aren't being paid out of the trust.	14	Q It indicates wire?
15	Q And what did you think in your mind was	15	A Correct.
16	the reason? Did you think that there was any	16	Q And then you reached you in some way
17	reason?	17	said that they were funds from his divorce. But do
18	A Again, I think it would be speculation	18	we know that?
19	to try to understand the reason why Shant handled	19	A Hilde testified as such.
20	his finances that way.	20	Q What did she say, do you recall?
21	Q Understood. But in the forensic expert	21	A I don't recall exactly, no.
22	analysis, which is what we've identified with the	22	Q Do you recall if it helps, do you
23	scope of the engagement, there is speculation	23	recall her saying that she owed Shant money?
24	associated with forensic analysis, yes?	24	A I don't recall that, no.
25	A No. Our analysis is performed to avoid	25	Q Moving on to page 10 of Randall's
			a morning on to page 10 of Handan o
	Page 42		Page 44
1	Page 42 speculation, utilize financial, non-financial	1	Page 44 responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava
1 2	·	1 2	
	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial		responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava
2	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate.	2	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that
2	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions?	2 3	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is
2 3 4	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes.	2 3 4	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property.
2 3 4 5	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no.	2 3 4 5	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns
2 3 4 5 6	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no. Q And why not?	2 3 4 5 6	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns that property?
2 3 4 5 6 7	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no. Q And why not? A Because I could clearly trace where the funds were coming from.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns that property? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no. Q And why not? A Because I could clearly trace where the funds were coming from. Q And that was from Speedus?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns that property? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no. Q And why not? A Because I could clearly trace where the funds were coming from. Q And that was from Speedus? A Speedus, which was funded with Shant's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns that property? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Currently it's titled to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no. Q And why not? A Because I could clearly trace where the funds were coming from. Q And that was from Speedus? A Speedus, which was funded with Shant's divorce proceeds.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns that property? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Currently it's titled to the Pachava Trust.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no. Q And why not? A Because I could clearly trace where the funds were coming from. Q And that was from Speedus? A Speedus, which was funded with Shant's divorce proceeds. Q What do you mean by "divorce proceeds"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 ?!4	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns that property? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Currently it's titled to the Pachava Trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no. Q And why not? A Because I could clearly trace where the funds were coming from. Q And that was from Speedus? A Speedus, which was funded with Shant's divorce proceeds. Q What do you mean by "divorce proceeds" A Funds that he received subject to his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 17 14 15	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns that property? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Currently it's titled to the Pachava Trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And Randall's characterization of your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no. Q And why not? A Because I could clearly trace where the funds were coming from. Q And that was from Speedus? A Speedus, which was funded with Shant's divorce proceeds. Q What do you mean by "divorce proceeds" A Funds that he received subject to his divorce.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 17 14 15 16	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns that property? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Currently it's titled to the Pachava Trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And Randall's characterization of your report, in summary form, without reading it, is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no. Q And why not? A Because I could clearly trace where the funds were coming from. Q And that was from Speedus? A Speedus, which was funded with Shant's divorce proceeds. Q What do you mean by "divorce proceeds" A Funds that he received subject to his divorce. Q What do you mean? Again, can you be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 17 16 17	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns that property? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Currently it's titled to the Pachava Trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And Randall's characterization of your report, in summary form, without reading it, is that essentially the theory, is that Shant potentially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no. Q And why not? A Because I could clearly trace where the funds were coming from. Q And that was from Speedus? A Speedus, which was funded with Shant's divorce proceeds. Q What do you mean by "divorce proceeds" A Funds that he received subject to his divorce. Q What do you mean? Again, can you be more specific about that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 17 16 17 18	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns that property? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Currently it's titled to the Pachava Trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And Randall's characterization of your report, in summary form, without reading it, is that essentially the theory, is that Shant potentially co-mingled trust assets or trust monies to such an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no. Q And why not? A Because I could clearly trace where the funds were coming from. Q And that was from Speedus? A Speedus, which was funded with Shant's divorce proceeds. Q What do you mean by "divorce proceeds' A Funds that he received subject to his divorce. Q What do you mean? Again, can you be more specific about that? A It was money that went into the Speedus	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 17 14 15 16 17 18 19	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns that property? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Currently it's titled to the Pachava Trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And Randall's characterization of your report, in summary form, without reading it, is that essentially the theory, is that Shant potentially co-mingled trust assets or trust monies to such an extent that somehow the trust itself is invalid; is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no. Q And why not? A Because I could clearly trace where the funds were coming from. Q And that was from Speedus? A Speedus, which was funded with Shant's divorce proceeds. Q What do you mean by "divorce proceeds' A Funds that he received subject to his divorce. Q What do you mean? Again, can you be more specific about that? A It was money that went into the Speedus account, which was indicated to be money from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 17 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns that property? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Currently it's titled to the Pachava Trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And Randall's characterization of your report, in summary form, without reading it, is that essentially the theory, is that Shant potentially co-mingled trust assets or trust monies to such an extent that somehow the trust itself is invalid; is that accurate? Or is that an accurate description
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no. Q And why not? A Because I could clearly trace where the funds were coming from. Q And that was from Speedus? A Speedus, which was funded with Shant's divorce proceeds. Q What do you mean by "divorce proceeds" A Funds that he received subject to his divorce. Q What do you mean? Again, can you be more specific about that? A It was money that went into the Speedus account, which was indicated to be money from -relative to his divorce from Hilde for their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 17 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns that property? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Currently it's titled to the Pachava Trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And Randall's characterization of your report, in summary form, without reading it, is that essentially the theory, is that Shant potentially co-mingled trust assets or trust monies to such an extent that somehow the trust itself is invalid; is that accurate? Or is that an accurate description of what Randall says? And I know all the associated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no. Q And why not? A Because I could clearly trace where the funds were coming from. Q And that was from Speedus? A Speedus, which was funded with Shant's divorce proceeds. Q What do you mean by "divorce proceeds" A Funds that he received subject to his divorce. Q What do you mean? Again, can you be more specific about that? A It was money that went into the Speedus account, which was indicated to be money from relative to his divorce from Hilde for their settlement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns that property? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Currently it's titled to the Pachava Trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And Randall's characterization of your report, in summary form, without reading it, is that essentially the theory, is that Shant potentially co-mingled trust assets or trust monies to such an extent that somehow the trust itself is invalid; is that accurate? Or is that an accurate description
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no. Q And why not? A Because I could clearly trace where the funds were coming from. Q And that was from Speedus? A Speedus, which was funded with Shant's divorce proceeds. Q What do you mean by "divorce proceeds" A Funds that he received subject to his divorce. Q What do you mean? Again, can you be more specific about that? A It was money that went into the Speedus account, which was indicated to be money from relative to his divorce from Hilde for their settlement. Q Was that on the bank statements?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns that property? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Currently it's titled to the Pachava Trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And Randall's characterization of your report, in summary form, without reading it, is that essentially the theory, is that Shant potentially co-mingled trust assets or trust monies to such an extent that somehow the trust itself is invalid; is that accurate? Or is that an accurate description of what Randall says? And I know all the associated hearsay issues associated with that question. I get it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no. Q And why not? A Because I could clearly trace where the funds were coming from. Q And that was from Speedus? A Speedus, which was funded with Shant's divorce proceeds. Q What do you mean by "divorce proceeds' A Funds that he received subject to his divorce. Q What do you mean? Again, can you be more specific about that? A It was money that went into the Speedus account, which was indicated to be money fromrelative to his divorce from Hilde for their settlement. Q Was that on the bank statements? A The classification of it as divorce	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns that property? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Currently it's titled to the Pachava Trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And Randall's characterization of your report, in summary form, without reading it, is that essentially the theory, is that Shant potentially co-mingled trust assets or trust monies to such an extent that somehow the trust itself is invalid; is that accurate? Or is that an accurate description of what Randall says? And I know all the associated hearsay issues associated with that question. I get it. MR. KUNOFSKY: I'm going to object.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial documents, as to not speculate. Q Do you have to make assumptions? A Sometimes, yes. Q Did you make assumptions in this instance? A With respect to this payment, no. Q And why not? A Because I could clearly trace where the funds were coming from. Q And that was from Speedus? A Speedus, which was funded with Shant's divorce proceeds. Q What do you mean by "divorce proceeds" A Funds that he received subject to his divorce. Q What do you mean? Again, can you be more specific about that? A It was money that went into the Speedus account, which was indicated to be money from relative to his divorce from Hilde for their settlement. Q Was that on the bank statements?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that an asset here in this litigation for which there is a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property. What do you know about that property? A In what regard? Q Well, what's have you who owns that property? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Currently it's titled to the Pachava Trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And Randall's characterization of your report, in summary form, without reading it, is that essentially the theory, is that Shant potentially co-mingled trust assets or trust monies to such an extent that somehow the trust itself is invalid; is that accurate? Or is that an accurate description of what Randall says? And I know all the associated hearsay issues associated with that question. I get it.

	Page 45		Page 47
1	MR. HANAMIRIAN: The whole list. Pull	1	reflect the ownership of that property prior to the
2	out the trial handbook and	2	transfer into the Pachava Trust?
3	MR. KUNOFSKY: The whole list. That's	3	A Yes. The title was transferred from
4	how you preserve them. It's compound. I	4	Shant's mother.
5	believe it's improper for one expert to	5	Q To?
6	well, I'll object to the question as compound.	6	A The Pachava Trust.
7	Can you rephrase it to do one report? At	7	Q Okay. And was there any identification
8	least start off with one report.	8	as to the reason for that transfer?
9	MR. HANAMIRIAN: No, if you go to the	9	A I guess I'm not following your
10	word "improper," I'm going to withdraw it.	10	question.
11	Not because of you, but I want to withdraw it	11	Q Was it a gift? Did somebody pay?
12	to ensure it's not characterized at all like	12	A It was a one dollar transfer into the
13	that.	13	trust.
14	BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:	14	Q Would you call that a gift?
15	Q What I was trying to do, sentence	15	A Yes.
16	number 2, under the heading identified as Pachava	16	Q And then do you have any experience
17	and 529 Navesink Road, beginning with the words,	17	dealing with gift tax issues?
18	"All of the Withum report." I was trying to provide	18	A Yes.
19	a synopsis of that.	19	Q And in that if somebody transfers real
20 21	A I'm not following where you are.	20	property into a trust, what's the consequence of
22	Q Page 10, and then the bolded heading, Pachava and 520 Navesink River Road, second sente	21	transfer like that?
23	in that paragraph beginning with the words, All of	23	A There's a gift tax return that's to be
24	the Withum report. Take a second and then you tell	24	filed by the person transferring the asset. Q And we presuppose the value is greate
25	me what it says, rather than me trying	25	than the exclusion amount for any particular gift,
20	The what it says, rather than the trying	25	than the exclusion amount for any particular girt,
	Page 46		Page 48
1	A What was your question with respect to	1	or series of gifts?
2	that paragraph?	2	A Correct.
3	Q Is that an accurate statement of	3	Q And then there's a triggering of a
4	what your report, the characterization of your	4	Federal gift tax return?
5	report? That sentence and the last sentence in the	а5	A Correct.
6	same paragraph together.	l 6	
		6	Q Do you know if a gift tax return was
7	A No, I don't think so.	7	filed in this instance?
8	A No, I don't think so.Q And then, if you can, tell me why not.	7 8	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not
8 9	A No, I don't think so.Q And then, if you can, tell me why not.A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm	7 8 9	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed.
8 9 10	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was	7 8 9 10	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if
8 9 10 11	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the	7 8 9 10 11	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift
8 9 10 11 12	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with	7 8 9 10 11 oL2	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount.
8 9 10 11 12 13	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried to	7 8 9 10 11 of L2 h1e3	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount. Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried the burden of ownership by funding the taxes and other taxes.	7 8 9 10 11 of L2 he3 ne 14	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens it you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessment.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried the burden of ownership by funding the taxes and oth expenses at the property.	7 8 9 10 11 of L2 he3 he4 15	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessment of tax.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried the burden of ownership by funding the taxes and oth expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're	7 8 9 10 11 ol 12 hte3 hte3 16 14 15	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount. Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessment of tax. Q Is there any other consequence?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried the burden of ownership by funding the taxes and other expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're suggesting and co-mingling aren't terms that we	7 8 9 10 11 olit hte3 hte3 15 16 17	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessment of tax. Q Is there any other consequence? A From the tax standpoint, an accounting
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried t burden of ownership by funding the taxes and oth expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're suggesting and co-mingling aren't terms that we utilize.	7 8 9 10 11 ol 2 he3 he4 15 16 17 18	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount. Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessment of tax. Q Is there any other consequence? A From the tax standpoint, an accounting standpoint, I think that's it.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried to burden of ownership by funding the taxes and other expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're suggesting and co-mingling aren't terms that we utilize. Q The 520 Navesink Road property, do you	7 8 9 10 11 olæ he3 16 15 16 17 18 ul9	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amoun Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessme of tax. Q Is there any other consequence? A From the tax standpoint, an accounting standpoint, I think that's it. Q And then what happens? Are there
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried to burden of ownership by funding the taxes and other expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're suggesting and co-mingling aren't terms that we utilize. Q The 520 Navesink Road property, do yok know who owned that property before the trust?	7 8 9 10 11 oul 16 15 16 17 18 19 20	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens it you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amoun. Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessme of tax. Q Is there any other consequence? A From the tax standpoint, an accounting standpoint, I think that's it. Q And then what happens? Are there penalties and interest associated with that?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried the burden of ownership by funding the taxes and other expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're suggesting and co-mingling aren't terms that we utilize. Q The 520 Navesink Road property, do yok know who owned that property before the trust? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection to the external can be a considered to the construction of the external can be a considered to the construction of the construction of the construction of the external can be a constructed to the construction of the con	7 8 9 10 11 012 16 15 16 17 18 01 9 20 121	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount. Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessme of tax. Q Is there any other consequence? A From the tax standpoint, an accounting standpoint, I think that's it. Q And then what happens? Are there penalties and interest associated with that? A Yes, there would be.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried the burden of ownership by funding the taxes and other expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're suggesting and co-mingling aren't terms that we utilize. Q The 520 Navesink Road property, do yok know who owned that property before the trust? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection to the exterticalls for a legal conclusion, but you can	7 8 9 10 11 01 12 16 17 18 10 19 20 12 21 22	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount. Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessment of tax. Q Is there any other consequence? A From the tax standpoint, an accounting standpoint, I think that's it. Q And then what happens? Are there penalties and interest associated with that? A Yes, there would be. MR. KUNOFSKY: I'm going to object to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried the burden of ownership by funding the taxes and other expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're suggesting and co-mingling aren't terms that we utilize. Q The 520 Navesink Road property, do yok know who owned that property before the trust? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection to the exterticalls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer.	7 8 9 10 11 of 12 16 17 18 19 12 12 22 23	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessment of tax. Q Is there any other consequence? A From the tax standpoint, an accounting standpoint, I think that's it. Q And then what happens? Are there penalties and interest associated with that? A Yes, there would be. MR. KUNOFSKY: I'm going to object to this line of questioning and that question to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried to burden of ownership by funding the taxes and other expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're suggesting and co-mingling aren't terms that we utilize. Q The 520 Navesink Road property, do yok know who owned that property before the trust? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection to the externit calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:	7 8 9 10 11 01 12 15 16 17 18 12 12 12 22 23 24	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount. Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessment of tax. Q Is there any other consequence? A From the tax standpoint, an accounting standpoint, I think that's it. Q And then what happens? Are there penalties and interest associated with that? A Yes, there would be. MR. KUNOFSKY: I'm going to object to this line of questioning and that question to the extent it calls for a legal analysis what
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried the burden of ownership by funding the taxes and other expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're suggesting and co-mingling aren't terms that we utilize. Q The 520 Navesink Road property, do yok know who owned that property before the trust? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection to the exterticalls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer.	7 8 9 10 11 of 12 16 17 18 19 12 12 22 23	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amoun. Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessme of tax. Q Is there any other consequence? A From the tax standpoint, an accounting standpoint, I think that's it. Q And then what happens? Are there penalties and interest associated with that? A Yes, there would be. MR. KUNOFSKY: I'm going to object to this line of questioning and that question to

	0055104 11	O T -	
	Page 49		Page 51
1	understanding is what so long as it's	1	Q So he got what you would identify as an
2	understood that she's testifying as to what	2	economic benefit by not paying rent on the house
3	she understands.	3	A Correct.
4	MR. HANAMIRIAN: Okay.	4	Q We're saying in the conclusions are
5	MR. KUNOFSKY: I don't want this to be	5	that Hasmig, H-A-S-M-I-G, is her legal name, if sh
6	some sort of a waiver issue.	6	transferred the property to trust, did you consider
7	MR. HANAMIRIAN: And I don't rely on	7	whether this was a gift to the trust? We identified
8	the testimony for the statement of the law,	8	that it was transferred for a dollar and we said it
9	either. I just want to get an understanding	9	was potentially a gift, right?
10	of what her knowledge is with respect to that	10	A Yes, it was a gift to the trust; yes.
11	type of activity; that's all.	11	Q And so then why would he pay rent
12	BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:	12	thereafter?
13	Q And so in that same paragraph, Randall	13	A Why would Shant pay rent?
14	goes further, and in the next paragraph, which is	14	Q Why would anybody pay rent?
15	the second full paragraph, beginning with the words,	15	A The trust is entitled to receive fair
16	"For ease of comparison," he then block quotes you	16	market value rent for its assets.
17	again, and then again at the bottom of page 10	17	Q Did you see the two trust the trust
18	running into page 11 and 12.	18	document, the Pachava asset trust?
19	Can you take a moment and review that	19	A Yes, I did.
20	quoted language?	20	Q And did it reflect who the
21	A Okay.	21	beneficiaries of the trusts were?
22	Q And then at the bottom of page 11 and	22	A Yes, it did.
23	running into 12, you conclude, Accordingly, it's my	23	Q Do you recall who they were?
24	opinion that Shant obtained a benefit by living at	24	 A Which trusts are you speaking about,
25	520 NRR without the payment of fair market rent and	25	the Pachava?
	Page 50		Page 52
1	carried the burden of ownership of 520 NRR by	1	Q Yes.
2	funding the real estate taxes and other expense		A Shant's three children.
3	the property through other bank accounts he	3	Q And were the children living in the
4	utilized.	4	house?
5	I can't say or tell, but is that an	5	A Yes, they were.
6	accounting/expert conclusion?	6	Q And so when you talk about a fair an
7	MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a	_	economic benefit for Shant, are you parsing out the
8	legal conclusion.	8	children? If there is a gift what I'm asking
9	MR. HANAMIRIAN: I hear you. It's the		you, if there is a gift to the trust by the
10	"it's my opinion that Shant obtained a benef		grandmother and the children live in the house, c
11	by living," appears to me that that is I	11	they need to pay rent?
12	want to understand what the accounting or	12	A Children never need to pay rent. It's
-	forensic conclusion is.	13	the responsibility of the parents.
13		14	Q For who? So the children live there,
13 14	THE WITNESS: I believe it is. He		
14	THE WITNESS: I believe it is. He obtained an economic benefit by living in the		•
14 15	obtained an economic benefit by living in the		do they need to pay rent?
14 15 16		e15	do they need to pay rent?
14 15 16 17	obtained an economic benefit by living in the property without paying rent. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:	e15 16	do they need to pay rent? A No, I just said the children never pay rent, whether there's a trust or not a trust.
14 15 16 17 18	obtained an economic benefit by living in the property without paying rent. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:	e15 16 17	do they need to pay rent? A No, I just said the children never pay rent, whether there's a trust or not a trust. Q So it would be the parents who would
14 15 16 17 18 19	obtained an economic benefit by living in the property without paying rent. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Isn't economic benefit an accounting term?	e15 16 17 18 19	do they need to pay rent? A No, I just said the children never pay rent, whether there's a trust or not a trust. Q So it would be the parents who would have to pay rent for their use?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	obtained an economic benefit by living in the property without paying rent. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Isn't economic benefit an accounting term? A Well, economic benefit, so yes, I would	e15 16 17 18 19	do they need to pay rent? A No, I just said the children never pay rent, whether there's a trust or not a trust. Q So it would be the parents who would have to pay rent for their use? A The parents pay rent for the family's
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	obtained an economic benefit by living in the property without paying rent. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Isn't economic benefit an accounting term? A Well, economic benefit, so yes, I would say it is.	e15 16 17 18 19 20 21	do they need to pay rent? A No, I just said the children never pay rent, whether there's a trust or not a trust. Q So it would be the parents who would have to pay rent for their use? A The parents pay rent for the family's use, correct.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	obtained an economic benefit by living in the property without paying rent. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Isn't economic benefit an accounting term? A Well, economic benefit, so yes, I would	e15 16 17 18 19 20 21	do they need to pay rent? A No, I just said the children never pay rent, whether there's a trust or not a trust. Q So it would be the parents who would have to pay rent for their use? A The parents pay rent for the family's use, correct. Q Would they have to pay for the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	obtained an economic benefit by living in the property without paying rent. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Isn't economic benefit an accounting term? A Well, economic benefit, so yes, I would say it is. Q Is that what you meant when you wrot it?	e15 16 17 18 19 20 21 e22	do they need to pay rent? A No, I just said the children never pay rent, whether there's a trust or not a trust. Q So it would be the parents who would have to pay rent for their use? A The parents pay rent for the family's use, correct.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	obtained an economic benefit by living in the property without paying rent. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Isn't economic benefit an accounting term? A Well, economic benefit, so yes, I would say it is. Q Is that what you meant when you wrot it?	e15 16 17 18 19 22 21 e22 23	do they need to pay rent? A No, I just said the children never pay rent, whether there's a trust or not a trust. Q So it would be the parents who would have to pay rent for their use? A The parents pay rent for the family's use, correct. Q Would they have to pay for the children, if the children got the house via gift?

Page 53 Page 55 Because it's the parents' gifted trust is a gift to the beneficiaries, 1 correct, for tax purposes? 2 responsibilities to pay rent for children. 3 If I asked the question, Ari would 3 A gift to the trust; and then the object. I'm saying: Why is it their beneficiaries are the ones that are supposed to 4 4 benefit from the asset that's held in the trust, 5 responsibility? 5 MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection. It calls for 6 6 correct. 7 a legal conclusion. You can answer as to the 7 Q And then in this instance, do you 8 economic or accounting basis for that. 8 believe that the children benefited from the asset 9 THE WITNESS: The trust is to be that was the subject of the gift to the Pachava 10 administered for the benefit of its 10 Trust? 11 beneficiaries. So that home could have been 11 Α I do not. I think the children would rented at fair market value, 100 percent fair have had a household to live in whether there was a 12 12 13 market value to a third party. 13 gift to them or not. 14 BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: 14 I don't want to argue or be perceived Q as arguing; I'm not. Let's take an example and say 15 Q Okay. But instead the children lived 15 16 there with their parents. And so when you say it's 16 if the grandmother, in this instance, Paris, had your opinion that Shant obtained a benefit by living 17 made a gift to the trust of a car, and the children 17 18 there, do you mean just Shant, or do you mean the 18 used the car, would your response be the same? Did 19 whole family? 19 the parents have an obligation to pay for the car? 20 Shant was the one that was paying 20 Α I don't think a car is the same as 21 21 living expenses for the family; so Shant. shelter for a child. 22 So by paying expenses for the family, 22 For tax reporting purposes, as an Q 23 he obtained a benefit? I think what I'm pointing 23 accountant and a forensic person, do you distinguish between the nature of the gift and, say, well, 24 to, again the bottom at page 11 and into 12, where 24 25 you say, it's your opinion that Shant obtained a parents don't have to provide a car, but they do Page 54 Page 56 1 benefit by living at 520 NRR without the benefit of 1 need to provide a house, so we're not going to file fair market rent and carried the burden of ownership 2 a return? 3 of 520 NRR by funding the real estate taxes and 3 Well, there's a difference here in that other expense of the property through other bank 4 situation that you just gave me, the car is being accounts he utilized. used only by the children. It's not being used by 5 5 6 That page, is it that Shant obtained 6 it parents. that benefit or did everybody obtain that benefit? 7 7 Q What if the parents use it, too? Shant obtained that benefit. The 8 Α Do the parents have another car or they 8 children would have had a household, regardless of don't know how to purchase their own car because 9 whether that trust was created or not. they're using their children's? 10 10 What do you mean? How do we know that?11 The parents have 13 other cars. For 11 Shant, as a parent, would have reporting purposes, does it matter? 12 12 13 maintained a household for his children. I think in that situation that you just 13 I'm just trying to understand, because talked about, the children are the ones receiving a 14 15 again it goes back to the notion that it's a gift 15 primary benefit of the car. 16 and that he paid the expenses, but that the gift --And so that asset, in your mind, is 16 17 I don't think -- I don't think there's an issue 17 distinguishable than a home, a house? 18 surrounding what the trust document says, and so well Yes, I think they're completely 19 can leave it at that. You've identified that the 19 different assets, correct. 20 beneficiaries are the children. So again, they And for reporting purposes, you would 20 21 receive a gift, yes? 21 go through an analysis of distinguishing the asset 22 or the gift made, you would look and see whether we Α Correct. 22 23 need to file a gift tax return and you say, well, Q And then we talked about you would then 23 24 -- process wise a gift tax return would be filed to wait a minute, what asset was contributed, and they 24

25

say it was a real estate. They say, okay. Do you

25 demonstrate the gift. So it goes to the trust, the

17

5

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

Page 57

1 then start drilling down like we are now and say how 2 many other houses? How old are the children? Do 3 they need to live there? Do we do that analysis in the reporting context as well? 4

- Are you talking about a gift tax return or for the administration of the trust?
- Either. I think the administration of the trust is outside the scope, which is why I didn't do it, but for gift tax purposes.
- For gift tax purposes, it's the value 10 Α 11 of the asset.
- 12 And so it's not differentiated for gift O 13 tax purposes?
 - No, I don't believe so. Α

5

6

7

8

9

- 14 Where does your -- again, I don't want 15 16 to belabor it, professionally. Where do you come --17 where is the -- that distinction, where is it 18 derived from that you're looking at the underlying 19 asset and it's use and that type of thing? It's a 20 basis or a part of the basis for your formation of 21 your opinion so that's why I'm pushing so much to 22 understand. It's not a challenge to you, it's an
 - Page 58
- report it, but in this instance we say, oh, well, no, it's different because their parents are 3 required to provide a home.

24 go through that analysis for this purpose, but for

25 gift tax return purposes, asset is the asset and we

- There's no differences in the gift tax 4 reporting. I thought we just talked about that. 5 The difference is how the asset is handled and held 6 6 7 for the benefit of the beneficiaries.
- 8 Okay. And in the context of that, you provided and you reviewed Randall's pages of pulled9 9 10 quotes from your report that we identified. And in 11 those quotes you talk about Shant having paid all 12 the expenses for the property. Would he then be 13 just meeting his parental obligation under that 14 theory of the gift? Is he required to pay the gift 15 taxes for the kids so he could provide shelter?
- 16 I'm confused with your question. He's 17 not required to pay the gift taxes. The gift taxes 18 are paid by the mother on the filing of the gift tax 19 return.
- 20 Q I apologize. I misspoke. Real estate 21 taxes.
- 22 I think there's a difference between 23 the cost of ownership and what fair market rent is.
- 24 What do you identify as the cost of 25 ownership?

The cost of owning a home, real estate

Page 59

- 1 taxes, homeowner's insurance, things along that 2 3 nature.
- 4 In a scenario, if the trust has no 5 other liquid asset, the renter has no liquid assets. where would you have expected the money for these 7 expenses to come from?
- 8 If there was fair market value rent 9 being paid, there would be liquid assets in order to 10 pay those expenses.
- Okay. And so in this scenario, he paid 11 what, \$500,000 or more in real property taxes and 12 Hilde, I believe, testified that they paid all of the utilities and things associated with the property. Does that equate to you or exceed fair 15 market value rent? 16
- I feel like that was a loaded question. 18 I'm not sure where you're getting the numbers from, so can you just kind of simplify that?
- 20 That's what I was trying to do. On page 11, in that -- in the middle of the page 21 under -- in Randall's report, "We reprint Withum's 22 23 understanding. I'm just trying to understand why we 23 conclusion from page 36 below." At which point Randall identifies or quotes you in the third bullet 24 point, sub-bullet points 1, 2 and 3 of these real 25

Page 60 1 estate taxes, expenses and other items for this 520

2 property. 3 Did the payment of those -- are they obligated to pay those expenses, as parents? 4

As parents, they provide a shelter to their children. So I guess your question is confusing me because these expenses are the expenses of the trust.

Q Well, yeah. I mean, and that's where 10 I'm trying to get to. I want to understand. We're saying the gift to trust is not a gift that the 11 12 children and the whole family is responsible for fair rental value of the property because the 13 parents are obligated to pay and provide shelter for their children. Are they similarly obligated to pay these expenses that we've just identified in Randall's rebuttal report quoting your report?

MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection again to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer.

THE WITNESS: The parents are the one that choose where to live. They can choose whether to live in the asset that was gifted into the trust or choose to live in another asset. In all situations, it's the parents

Page 61 Page 63 that pay the expenses of shelter, which are to the document or the trust terms in your report? 1 these expenses that you're relating to down 2 2 I don't believe so, no. 3 below, which are the real estate taxes and 3 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Ari, do you want to utilities of the house. 4 take five, 10 minutes' break. 4 5 BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: 5 MR. KUNOFSKY: That's fine. 6 So it's a parent's obligation -- those 6 (Brief recess.) 7 expenses are similarly the parent's obligation? 7 BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: 8 They're expenses that a parent would 8 We're back on the record. It's 11:52 generally pay for the upkeep of a home that their 9 9 a.m. Eastern Standard Time. I'm going through -thumbing through Randall's report just to see -child lived in, yes. 10 10 11 And again, so that your conclusion, it let's go to page 17. And then there's a bolded 12 doesn't matter who owns the house, the parents have2 heading there, Conclusion of Shant and 520 NRR and 13 the obligation regardless; is that accurate? Again, Pachava. That's after the runover paragraph. I'm 13 14 it's not a trick. I just want to understand. 14 on that page. 15 You see where I am? 15 I'm trying to understand your question 16 and get to what you're asking me, and I'm having a 16 Yes. I do. Α 17 difficult time. 17 And the first paragraph of the sentence Q If the parents -- the logic extreme is begins, "Without repeating the last section," and 18 18 then Randall proceeds to say, The Withum report 19 that the parents have the gift to the trust from 20 grandmom comes in for the benefit of the children. 20 leaves out a great deal of analysis (rent analysis, 21 We say, okay, well, that doesn't matter for purpose\$21 gift exclusion, children's use of their trust 22 of fair market value rental payment, right? property, et cetera). 22 23 Because, as you said, the parents have that 23 Do you agree with that statement? 24 obligation to provide shelter for the children. So 24 Α No, I don't. 25 they still need to pay rent for the four of them 25 Q Do you feel that you considered all the Page 62 Page 64 facts surrounding? living in the house or whatever it is, right? 2 The children should be the ones 2 Α Yes, I do. receiving the benefit of the asset that's being held3 And then the next paragraph heading in 3 bold again, same page, 17, same report, VSHPHH Trust 4 in trust. and the Village Mall, in bold, and Randall 5 Q And do you think that they did in this 6 instance? 6 identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words, 7 I do not. And we've gone through this 7 before. Because I think the children would have 8 Beginning on page 37, and then your quoted language is immediately thereafter beginning the words, still had a place to live, had this trust not been 9 Although the title of the Village Mall. 10 created and the house not been gifted into the 10 11 Can you take a moment and just read 11 trust. 12 that paragraph that's quoted from your report? 12 So then say that the children are 18, 13 21, 25, 30 and they're all living in the house with 13 Α Okay. Q In that paragraph, in the second their parents; same analysis? 14 15 You're saying the children are all of 15 sentence, beginning with, Furthermore, Shant related entities benefited from usage of the second floor 16 legal age? office space without the payment of rent and the 17 17 Q cash flow generated by the Village Mall was 18 I think it would depend on how the 18 Α primarily used for the direct and/or indirect trust instrument reads and what the requirements9 benefit of Shant. 20 20 were. 21 What do you mean there? What benefit 21 Q In this instance, you reviewed the did Shant or related entities obtain from the use or 22 trust document. Do you recall what it says about 22 whatever of this second floor space? 23 those obligations, if they exist? 23 24 So the first part of the sentence is 24 Α I do not, no. Are there any assumptions with respect5 just what you said, the use of the second floor 25 Q

	Page 65		Page 67
1	office space without any payment of rent. That's	1	are reflected for 2016 and 2019 on that grid?
2	where Gandolfo sat. And then the second half of the		A When you say negative consequence, what
3	sentence deals with the cash flow generated by the		do you mean?
4	Village Mall property that was co-mingled with	4	Q Negative net income. Do you find that
5	HovSat used for other things.	5	there's any issue associated with that? What
6	Q So where Karen Gandolfo sat, did Karen	6	generated those negative figures, if you know?
7	work for the trust?	7	A Well, in 2016 the real estate taxes
8	A Karen testified she worked for Speedus.	8	for both '15 and '16 were funded, so the cash flow
9	Q And so did she do work for the trusts?	9	for '16 suffered, but the cash flow for '15 was
10	A She collected the rents and paid the	10	higher because of that.
11	expenses relative to the Village Mall, along with	11	Q Okay. And do you see any trending, as
12	all of the other accounting functions that she was	12	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
13	performing.	13	real estate tax piece?
14	Q So that second floor space where she	14	A I'm sorry, I'm not following your
15	sat is what we're talking about; is that accurate?	15	question.
16	A Correct.	16	Q It looks like there's net income in the
17	Q And so some portion of that space was	17	years where taxes were not paid, right, that the net
18	used for trust accounting and some portion was use		income is a positive figure in the year that real
19	for these other entities, as you state, correct?	19	property taxes or the bulk of property taxes were
20	A Correct.	20	not paid?
21	Q Moving to the next paragraph, which is	21	A No, there's net income in the years the
22	boldly entitled bold, entitled, Village Mall.	22	taxes were paid as well.
23	What's your understanding of this property and the		Q And the real property taxes in the
24	history of this property in this case?	24	sources and uses analysis that you did here, the
25	A It was owned by Shant's I'm not sure	25	real estate tax amounts were taken from where?
	Page 66		Page 68
1	_	1	Page 68 A The bank statements.
1 2	Page 66 if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust		
_	if it was both of his parents, or just his father,		A The bank statements.
2	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust	in2	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and
2	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015.	in2 3	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if
2 3 4	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's	in2 3 4	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall?
2 3 4 5	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from	in2 3 4 5	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank
2 3 4 5 6	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net	in2 3 4 5 6	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank
2 3 4 5 6 7	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report?	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct.	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years.	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 13 14 s;15	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear and in each instance, or in certain instances, there	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 s15 16	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following? A Yes, I'm there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear and in each instance, or in certain instances, there are net positives and there are net negatives,	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 s1 5 16 17	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following? A Yes, I'm there. Q It quotes you as saying, Based on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear and in each instance, or in certain instances, there are net positives and there are net negatives, correct?	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 s15 16 17 18	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following? A Yes, I'm there. Q It quotes you as saying, Based on the assumptions analyses and discussions presented
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear and in each instance, or in certain instances, there are net positives and there are net negatives, correct? A Correct.	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 s15 16 17 18 19	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following? A Yes, I'm there. Q It quotes you as saying, Based on the assumptions analyses and discussions presented within this report, it is my opinion, within a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear and in each instance, or in certain instances, there are net positives and there are net negatives, correct? A Correct. Q And do you feel comfortable that you've	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 s;15 16 17 18 19 20	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following? A Yes, I'm there. Q It quotes you as saying, Based on the assumptions analyses and discussions presented within this report, it is my opinion, within a reasonable degree of professional certainty now,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear and in each instance, or in certain instances, there are net positives and there are net negatives, correct? A Correct. Q And do you feel comfortable that you've captured all of the transactions associated with the	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 s;15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following? A Yes, I'm there. Q It quotes you as saying, Based on the assumptions analyses and discussions presented within this report, it is my opinion, within a reasonable degree of professional certainty now, the reasonable degree of professional certainty
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear and in each instance, or in certain instances, there are net positives and there are net negatives, correct? A Correct. Q And do you feel comfortable that you've captured all of the transactions associated with the Village Mall property?	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following? A Yes, I'm there. Q It quotes you as saying, Based on the assumptions analyses and discussions presented within this report, it is my opinion, within a reasonable degree of professional certainty now, the reasonable degree of professional certainty standard comes from where, do you know? Is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear and in each instance, or in certain instances, there are net positives and there are net negatives, correct? A Correct. Q And do you feel comfortable that you've captured all of the transactions associated with the Village Mall property? A Yes, I do.	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 22 23	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following? A Yes, I'm there. Q It quotes you as saying, Based on the assumptions analyses and discussions presented within this report, it is my opinion, within a reasonable degree of professional certainty now, the reasonable degree of professional certainty standard comes from where, do you know? Is that within your profession?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear and in each instance, or in certain instances, there are net positives and there are net negatives, correct? A Correct. Q And do you feel comfortable that you've captured all of the transactions associated with the Village Mall property?	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 s1 5 16 17 18 19 22 23 24	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following? A Yes, I'm there. Q It quotes you as saying, Based on the assumptions analyses and discussions presented within this report, it is my opinion, within a reasonable degree of professional certainty now, the reasonable degree of professional certainty standard comes from where, do you know? Is that

Page 69 Page 71 It means that we've followed the code 1 I'm opining based on my analysis of the 1 Α 2 of conduct and it's within professional certainty, 2 facts. so we've demonstrated competent -- we have 3 Q So is the truth of the underlying facts sufficient relevant evidence to make our subsumed within your analysis and then further 4 4 5 conclusions. 5 subsumed within your opinion? 6 Q AICPA standards, FASB standards? 6 I'm sorry, I don't understand your 7 Α 7 question. AICPA. 8 Q Your conclusion, with a reasonable 8 Q Picture the US and the Soviet Union 9 degree of professional certainty, is that in the 9 meeting in space and the two come to connect the two 10 first instance that the Speedus New York bank units, right, the two spaceships; are the underlying 10 11 accounts were comprised of personal deposits, facts connected to your opinion and form the basis 12 included little, if any, ongoing business income or for your opinion under the standard of this 12 13 were used by Shant to fund personal expenses, reasonable degree of professional certainty? 13 Yes. I think what you're asking me is 14 \$201,204 satisfaction with tax lien against Village 14 what I just said. This is my opinion based on an 15 Mall in 2015 and the \$319,349 tax lien against 52015 analysis of the underlying facts, the data that's 16 NRR in 2017. been presented in the case. 17 And that's -- when you say that's your 17 18 opinion, what do you mean? The reason I ask the 18 And it presupposes that those facts are 19 difference is, I'm asking the difference between accurate or true, not that they're not, I'm just 19 20 what is a statement of fact that you've concluded \$20 asking, that it presupposes? 21 a result of the forensic analysis and your opinion, 21 Α Yes. 22 and so in understanding the difference between the 22 Q Similarly on that same page, 22, two 23 two, if there is. paragraphs down, again in italics, the second 24 So is it your opinion that those things 24 sentence of that quoted language starts with the word "Additional," and then proceeds to, Although 25 are true, or are they, based upon your forensic Page 70 Page 72 analysis, reveals that they are true as a matter of 1 Shant was not a known signatory on the Pachava 2 fact? Morgan Stanley account, he maintained the ability to direct the movement of funds and payment of 3 3 It's an opinion based on my forensic Α expenses, regardless of whether there was -- I'll 4 4 analysis. 5 start it again. 5 Q And the same question, if I may, for the quoted language on page 22 -- it's in italics 6 - 6 So that sentence that I'm referencing 7 nearest the top of the page, beginning with the 7 is now, Additionally, although Shant was not a known words, Shant used the Zargis bank account as a 8 signatory on the Pachava Morgan Stanley account he 8 maintained the ability to direct the movement of 9 clearing account for funds of other entities. 9 10 funds and payment of expenses, regardless of whether 10 And it proceeds from there. there was another trustee. 11 Same answer as far as whether that's a11 12 statement of fact or an opinion? 12 Is that an accounting opinion or a 13 forensics opinion; or what is that? 13 Yes, same answer. That's our opinion based on a review of 14 MR. KUNOFSKY: For this question and 4 15 the prior question, I'm going to object to the 15 the underlying bank statements and the non-financial documents that we've reviewed. 16 extent the distinction between fact and And which ones said that he, quote, 17 opinion is a legal one. And you can answer to 18 your understanding of what you're doing here8 unquote, he maintained the ability to direct the 19 Sorry. Go ahead. 19 movement of funds and payment of expenses, 20 regardless of whether there was another trustee? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: 20 21 21 Do you recall from where that's All of this can be caveated with that. 22 But when we say -- when you say in you?2 derived? profession that "it is my opinion within a 23 Yeah, that was our opinion based on a review of the movement of funds in and out of the 24 reasonable degree of professional certainty," ar €4 25 Pachava Morgan Stanley account, along with a review 25 you opining or are you stating fact?

			_
	Page 73		Page 75
1	of e-mails that were subpoenaed from Morgan Sta	nlev	guotes language from your report immediately
2	outlining who was directing the movement of the	2	
3	funds.	3	title of the Village Mall."
4	Q And that was that conclusion is	4	Will you just take a moment and read
_		5	
5	within the scope of your engagement?	_	that paragraph?
6	A Yes, it is.	6	A Okay.
7	Q Why?	7	Q What corporate procedures consistent
8	A Because it was a review of financial records to determine why these funds were being	8 us£eo	with the property transferred to and held in a trust dwere not followed here?
10	for certain expenses.	10	A So there were no gift tax returns filed
11	Q How do we know that Shant maintained	11	to report the transfer of the property. There was
12	the ability to direct the movement of those funds?	12	no independent bank account established to dep
13	A That's my opinion based on my review of		
14	the funds moving, along with my review of the	14	
15	non-financial documents between Shant and who		,
16	else, and the Morgan Stanley representatives.	16	Q Which bank account?
17		17	A HovSat.
	Q Do you have any opinion about why he		
18	was not a non-signatory on the Pachava Morgan	18	Q Okay. So in the forensic world, the
19	Stanley account?	19	co-mingling, in this instance, is that troubling?
20	A Do I have an opinion on why he wasn't?	20	A Yes, it is.
21	Q Yes.	21	Q Why?
22	A I don't have an opinion. I can	22	A Because a trust should have its own
23	speculate because he was not the trustee.	23	bank account.
24	Q Do you know when that Pachava Morgai	h24	Q Okay. For what purpose?
25	Stanley account came into existence?	25	, ,
	•		
	Page 74		Page 76
1	A During 2013.	1	revenues, the expenses, what amounts are availab
2	Q So when you say that what I'm stuck	2	to the beneficiaries.
3	on is the ability to direct the movement of funds.	3	Q Were there books and records for I
4	Did he move monies out of the trust?	4	mean, I'm assuming when you say what amounts a
5	A Yes, he did.	5	available for the beneficiaries, that the house was
6	Q Out of the trust account or he did?	6	not income-producing; is that correct?
7	A Yes.	7	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're
		8	talking about.
8	Q Okay. How did he do that?		· ·
9	A So at one point Morgan Stanley e-mailed		Q I understand. You're saying the 520
10	Hilde and Shant asking if real estate taxes could be		Navesink property was not income-producing, righ
11	paid out of the Morgan Stanley account and Shan		A It should have been, once it got
12	responded and said, no, but transfer 9,000 to Hov		
13	and \$750 to Vahak. And those funds were	13	Q Assuming that it was, I mean, they were
14	subsequently moved out.	14	living there as a residence, correct?
15	Q Was Hilde then acting as a trustee, do	15	A They were living there as residents,
16	you know?	16	yes.
17	A That was during her tenure as trustee,	17	Q And so the income-producing portion of
18	correct.	18	this would relate to the business property, the mall
19	Q And so Morgan Stanley sent the e-mail	19	property, primarily?
l .	o ,		
20	to both of them?	20	A This conclusion I think is specific
21	A Correct.	21	to yeah, it's specific to the Village Mall
22	Q On the next page, page 23, again,	22	property, yes.
		100	O And did anyhody maintain books and
23	towards the middle of the page, the paragraph that		Q And did anybody maintain books and
23 24	I'm referencing begins with the words Randall's	24	records for the Village Mall property?
		24	

9 monthly expenses and revenue related to the Village 10 Mall property? 11 A Not offhand, no. 12 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Let me take another 13 five minutes. Let me talk to Randall and let 14 me see if I have any other questions. 15 MR. KUNOFSKY: Okay. 16 (Brief recess.) 17 MR. HANAMIRIAN: 12:24 p.m.; back on 18 the record. We have no further questions on 19 this side. 19 MR. KUNOFSKY: I have just a few 21 questions. 22 BY MR. KUNOFSKY: I have just a few 23 Q At one point you mentioned — by the 24 way, this is Ari Kunofsky on behalf of the United 25 States. Page 78 1 At one point you mentioned alter egos. 20 When you use — when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate 4 legal concepts with different analyses. When you 5 when talking during this deposition, were you 6 when talking during this deposition, were you 7 drawing a distinction between alter ego or rominee, or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? 9 A No, I was not. It's just a layperson 10 term. 11 Q Okay. Kind of along the same lines, you asid — I want to make sure I have this ist right. You reviewed the deposition transcripts, e-mails received from Morgan Stanley that other, the flow of the funds themselves. Did transcripts, e-mails received from Morgan Stanley than others, the flow of the funds themselves. Did transcripts, e-mails received from Morgan Stanley and others, the flow of the funds themselves. Did transcripts, e-mails received from Morgan Stanley than others, the flow of the funds themselves. Did transcripts, e-mails received from Morgan Stanley than others, the flow of the funds themselves. Did and others, the flow of the funds themselves. Did and others, the flow of the funds themselves. Did and others, the flow of the funds themselves. Did and others, the flow of the funds themselves. Did and others, the flow of the funds themselves. Did and others, the flow of the funds themselves. Did and others, the flow of the funds themselves. Did and others, the flow of the funds themselves. Did and others,		Page 77		Page 79
Page 78 At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you when talking during this deposition, were you or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? A No, I was not. It's just a layperson or were you drew distinction between the property versus you drew distinction between the property versus the Pachava Trust? A Yes, it was. Page 80 date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. C Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A So you would expect to see a very small delay, but the delays here were larger, so that that was a red flag. Q Okay. Kind of along the same lines, you drew distinction between the property versus the Pachava Trust? A Yes, it was. Q The Village Mall was in the VSHPHH Trust and that was its only assets? 2 generally, was the date on the deed the same as the Page 80 1 date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. A No, it was not. Tosay and the recording. A No, it was not. Tosay as a legation that you offices? A O Okay. When discussing the divorce proceeds, you said you relied on the deposition of 13 Hilde	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	would be able to determine on a monthly or weekly basis what the sources and use of cash in those accounts were. Q You did read Nina Hovnanian's deposition testimony and Karen Gandolfo's deposition testimony, correct? A Yes, I did. Q Do you recall what they said about the monthly expenses and revenue related to the Village Mall property? A Not offhand, no. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Let me take another five minutes. Let me talk to Randall and let me see if I have any other questions. MR. KUNOFSKY: Okay. (Brief recess.) MR. HANAMIRIAN: 12:24 p.m.; back on the record. We have no further questions on this side. MR. KUNOFSKY: I have just a few questions. BY MR. KUNOFSKY: Q At one point you mentioned by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 22 23 23	to be held in an individual's name? A Yes. Q And would it be normal for a banker to reach out to a non-trustee from movement into or out of a trust account? A No, it wouldn't. Q And in the when you were discussing the movement of funds between Pachava and the other entities, you said I want to make sure I have this list right. You reviewed the deposition transcripts of Morgan Stanley, the other deposition transcripts, e-mails received from Morgan Stanley and others, the flow of the funds themselves. Did you also review the phone logs provided by Morgan Stanley? A Yes, we did. Q And those were the documents you looked at, generally speaking? A Generally speaking, there was probably other documents as well, but those are the main ones. Q And then we discussed the date of transfers of the property from the parents to the
At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee, or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. A No, it was not. It's just a layperson B Q Okay. When discussing the divorce proceeds, you said you relied on the deposition of the recording. A No, it was not. Is's just a l				
2 When you use when I use the term alter ego or 3 nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate 4 legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, 6 when talking during this deposition, were you 7 drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee, 7 drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee, 7 or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? 8 A So you would expect to see a very small 9 of delay, but the delays here were larger, so that that was a red flag. 11 Q Okay. Kind of along the same lines, 12 you drew distinction between the property versus 13 payments to the trust. Did you draw well, was 14 Yes, it was. 15 Q The Village Mall was in the VSHPHH 16 Conclusion? 17 A Yes, I did. 18 Trust and that was its only assets?		Page 78		Page 80
20 cash as well. 21 Q And was there a is it normal for personal accounts to be held in an individual's name? I'm moving on to the next topic. 24 A Yes. 25 Q Is it normal for personal bank accounts as a counts to be held in an individual's personal bank accounts to be held in an individual's those opinions, and today you're just having a moment? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Is part of the forensic tools you used,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? A No, I was not. It's just a layperson term. Q Okay. Kind of along the same lines, you drew distinction between the property versus payments to the trust. Did you draw well, was 520 Navesink the only property, other than cash in the Pachava Trust? A Yes, it was. Q The Village Mall was in the VSHPHH Trust and that was its only assets? A It's not the only asset. There was cash as well. Q And was there a is it normal for	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21	offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A So you would expect to see a very small delay, but the delays here were larger, so that that was a red flag. Q Okay. When discussing the divorce proceeds, you said you relied on the deposition of Hilde Jenssen, in part, but you couldn't remember exactly what Hilde testified to today. Did you review her testimony before reaching that conclusion? A Yes, I did. Q Same for Nina's testimony, you couldn't remember it today, but did you review it and you had to the extent you used it in your opinion, you had reviewed it at the time you were drawing

21 A No, there wasn't. 22 Q Do trusts like the Pachava Trust that 23 pulled real property for like a residence for the 24 beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally 25 pay and report rent? 28 Page 82 1 A Yes, in my experience, there has been 2 fair market value rent lease agreements. 3 Q There was some discussion about facts 4 versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have 5 this right. You looked at facts like transfers - 6 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers 7 were made on a particular date but things like your 8 characterization of that transfer as personal or the 9 account being used as personal, is that what you 10 mean when you say conclusions or opinions? 11 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and ther webooks? 13 draw conclusions and opinions based on those. 14 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 15 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 16 correct? 18 MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me 19 seconds to confer with Josh? 20 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of 21 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of 22 followup from your followup. 21 of Shant. 22 Q Did she pay them through the trust? 23 A Yes, she did. The non-filing of any 24 tax returns for the trust or any of the entities. 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 26 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 27 Page 84 28 Page 84 29 Page 84 3 The lack of documentation of any alleged gifts. 3 The lack of documentation of any alleged gifts. 4 Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from 15 Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 16 page documentation, such as a forensic accountant, 17 Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? 10 A Because when you have a trust bank 19 account you should have an income-producing asset you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of 27 the trust to keep it separate to protect the trust	l .	Page 81		Page 83
2 who is the owner, who is benefiting from 3 transactions; that is part of your everyday toolkit 4 as a forensic accountant? 5 A Yes, absolutely. We've been engaged in 5 several nominee-type engagements when you're tryings 6 to determine who the ultimate beneficial owner is, 7 yes. 9 Q And then Shant was living at the house 10 before 2008 - sorry, in 2008 he and his family 11 moved into the house. The property was in the mom*1 12 name, correct, his mom's name? 13 name, correct, his mom's name? 14 Q So there were no beneficiary - there 15 was no - before the transfer, Shant was - the 16 property was not titled in the trust, it was not 17 benefiting trustees, correct? 18 A Correct. 19 Q Was there any evidence of rent paid to 19 common? 21 A No, there wasn't. 2 Q Do trusts like the Pachava Trust that 23 pulled real property for like a residence for the 24 beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally 25 pay and report rent? 26 When you say 'facts,' you're talking about transfers - 26 when you say 'facts,' you're talking about transfers - 27 where made on a particular date but things like your 28 coccumulation, such as a bank statement, and there 29 account being used as personal, is that what you 29 mean when you say conclusions or opinions? 20 A then beyond that, I'd like to 20 Independent and the fidges did you see in this case. What 21 think the majority of them would be 22 think the majority of them would be 23 dories were no beneficiary - there 24 a Correct. 25 A There was as on instances where Hilde 26 paid expenses that she couldn't verify what they 27 on that one, besides the e-mail to 28 the fidle and Shant from Morgan Stanley, are you talking 29 about any other movement of monies? 20 Do trusts like the Pachava Trust that 21 of Shant. 22 Q Do trusts like the Pachava Trust that 23 pulled real property for like a residence for the 24 beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally 25 page 82 26 There was some discussion about facts 27 vere made on a particular date but things like your 28 chard and	1		1	
3 transactions; that is part of your everyday toolkit as a forensic accountant? 5 A Yes, absolutely. We've been engaged in 6 several nominee-type engagements when you're tryingd to determine who the utilimate beneficial owner is, 8 yes. 9 Q And then Shant was living at the house 10 before 2008 sorry, in 2008 he and his family 11 moved into the house. The property was in the more 11 moved into the house. The property was in the more 12 account being used for personal transactions. There 15 was no before the transfer, Shant was the 5 property was no titled in the trust, it was not 17 benefiting trustees, correct? 18 A Correct. 19 Q Was there any evidence of rent paid to 20 mom? 21 A No, there wasnit. 22 Q Do trusts like the Pachava Trust that 23 pulled real property for like a residence for the 24 beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally 25 pay and report rent? Page 82 I A Yes, in my experience, there has been 2 fair market value rent lease agreements. 3 Q There was some discussion about fracts 4 versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have 5 this right. You looked at facts like transfers 6 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers a count the ling used as personal; of the trust of funds. 20 D on that one, besides the e-mail to 16 Hilde and Shant from Morgan Stanley, are you talking about any other movement of monies? 21 A Yes, in my experience, there has been 2 fair market value rent lease agreements. 24 Versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have 5 this right. You looked at facts like transfers 6 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers 6 when you say "facts," you're talked or the visit of the	_		l	
5 A Yes, absolutely. We've been engaged in several nominee-type engagements when you're trying to determine who the ultimate beneficial owner is, yes. 8 yes. 9 Q And then Shant was living at the house to before 2008 sorry, in 2008 he and his family moved into the house. The property was in the morn of the property was in the morn of the property was not man, correct, his mom's name? 10 before 2008 sorry, in 2008 he and his family moved into the house. The property was in the morn of the property was not man, correct, his mom's name? 11 A Correct. 12 Q So there were no beneficiary there to property was not ittel in the trust, it was not benefiting trustees, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q So there was not before the transfer, Shant was the property was not itteled in the trust, it was not benefiting trustees, correct? 15 A Correct. 16 A No, there wasnit. 17 Q Do trusts like the Pachava Trust that part of the conting seven and property for like a residence for the parents normally pay and report rent? 18 A Yes, in my experience, there has been a fair market value rent lease agreements. 19 Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have to this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say 'facts,' you're talking about transfers a were made on a particular date but things like your a cocurb being used as personal, is that what you of the property was not the fall of the property was not the make sure I have a account being used as personal, is that what you of the property was not the make sure I have a account being used as personal, is the dark of the property was not the fall of the property was not the make sure I have a property for like to the property was not the fall of the property was not many of the other paid to the property was not many of the other paid to the property was not many of the other paid	3		3	"normally."
6 several nominee-type engagements when you're trying to determine who the ultimate beneficial owner is, 8 yes. 9 Q And then Shant was living at the house before 2008 sorry, in 2008 he and his family 11 moved into the house. The property was in the mom'd 11 moved into the house. The property was in the mom'd 12 name, correct, his mom's name? 13 A Correct. 14 Q So there were no beneficiary there was no before the transfer, Shant was the 16 property was not titled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not titled in the trust, it was not 17 benefiting trustees, correct? 18 A Correct. 19 Q Was there any evidence of rent paid to 20 mom? 21 A No, there wasn't. 22 Q Do trusts like the Pachava Trust that 23 pulled real property for like a residence for the 24 beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally 24 pay and report rent? 24 A Yes, in my experience, there has been 2 fair market value rent lease agreements. 3 Q There was some discussion about facts 4 versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have 5 this right. You looked at facts like transfers - 4 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfer were made on a particular date but things like your 5 characterization of that transfer as personal or the 9 account being used as personal, is that what you 10 mean when you say conclusions or opinions? 1 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 20 documentation, such as a bank statement, and therefore the correct. 3 A Correct. 4 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 5 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 6 correct? 5 MR. KUNDFSKY: Can you guys give me 18 seconds to confer with Josh? 6 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of 5 followup from your followup. 7 A Rather than objecting as you went 61. 8 A There was a bin stances where Hilde 19 paid expenses that she couldn't verify what they 20 were for, largely significant credit card payments 21 of Shant. 2 Q Do you know - 4 There was a loan from 19 speaked to documentation of any 24 the back transaction of any 25 the pay the back transaction o	4		4	BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:
7 particularly in this context of this case. What syes. 9 Q And then Shant was living at the house of the fore 2008 sorry, in 2008 he and his family moved into the house. The property was in the morm of the property was in the morm of the property was not the property was not before the transfer, Shant was the property was not titled in the trust, it was not perfore the transfer, Shant was the property was not titled in the trust, it was not perfore the transfer, Shant was the property was not titled in the trust, it was not perfore the transfer, Shant was the property was not titled in the trust, it was not perfore the transfer, Shant was the property was not titled in the trust, it was not property for little propert	5	A Yes, absolutely. We've been engaged in	5	Q And then beyond that, I'd like to
8 yes. 9 Q And then Shant was living at the house 10 before 2008 – sorry, in 2008 he and his family 11 moved into the house. The property was in the mort 11 moved into the house. The property was in the mort 11 moved into the house. The property was in the mort 11 moved into the house. The property was in the mort 11 moved into the house. The property was in the mort 11 moved into the house. The property was not 1 moved into the house. The property was not 1 tiled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not hilded in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not tilled in the trust, it was not 16 property for the trust, it was not 16 property for was not tilled and Shant from Morgan Stanley, are you talking 16 paid expenses that she couldn't verify what they 20 were for, largely significant credit card payments 21 paid expenses that she couldn't verify what they 20 were for largely grifticant credit card payments 21 paid expenses that she couldn't verify what they 21 pay and report rent? 22 Q Do trusts like the Pachava Trust that 22 Q Do it she pay them through the trust? 23 property for the trus	6	several nominee-type engagements when you're trying	g6	understand what red flags mean to you, and
Q And then Shant was living at the house before 2008 – sorry, in 2008 he and his family moved into the house. The property was in the morn's! Correct. Corre	7	to determine who the ultimate beneficial owner is,	7	particularly in this context of this case. What
10 before 2008 – sorry, in 2008 he and his family 11 moved into the house. The property was in the mom's 12 name, correct, his mom's name? 13 A Correct. 14 Q So there were no beneficiary – there 15 was no – before the transfer, Shant was – the 16 property was not titled in the trust, it was not 16 property was not titled in the trust, it was not 17 benefiting trustees, correct? 18 A Correct. 19 Q Was there any evidence of rent paid to 19 mom? 21 A No, there wasn't. 22 Q Do trusts like the Pachava Trust that 23 pulled real property for like a residence for the 24 beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally 25 pay and report rent? Page 82 1 A Yes, in my experience, there has been 1 fair market value rent lease agreements. 2 Q There was some discussion about facts 3 Versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have 1 this right. You looked at facts like transfers – 2 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers 2 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers 3 were made on a particular date but things like you're asked to draw as a bank statement, and there 2 documentation, such as a bank statement, and there 2 dorentation, such as a bank statement, and there 3 draw conclusions and opinions based on those. 4 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 4 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 5 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 6 correct? 7 A Correct. 8 A Yes, in My experience, there has been 1 fair market value rent lease agreements. 9 Q Do you know – 10 the transaction of the trust and any of the other 2 entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax 3 returns. Q Do you know – 4 The lack of documentation of any 4 but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other 5 entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax 6 Q Do you know – 5 A The lack of documentation of any 6 lalleged loans or any alleged gifts. 9 Q Were there loans on the books? 16 A There was a loan from 17 Speedus or Zergis or whoever, did it appear on their 18 A Correct. 9 Q Were there loans on the books? 18 A They didn'	8	yes.	8	other red flags did you see in this case?
11 moved into the house. The property was in the mom's 1 12 name, correct, his mom's name? 13 A Correct. 14 Q So there were no beneficiary there 15 was no before the transfer, Shant was the 16 property was not titled in the trust, it was not 17 benefitting trustees, correct? 18 A Correct. 19 Q Was there any evidence of rent paid to 19 D Was there any evidence of rent paid to 20 mom? 21 A No, there wasn't. 22 Q D trusts like the Pachava Trust that 23 pulled real property for like a residence for the 24 beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally 25 pay and report rent? 26 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 27 Page 82 28 A Yes, in my experience, there has been 29 fair market value rent lease agreements. 29 Q There was some discussion about facts 29 versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have 29 this right. You looked at facts like transfers - 20 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers 20 when you say conclusions and a particular date but things like your 21 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 22 documentation, such as a bank statement, and therf are were no books. 29 Q were there loans on the other side of 10 the transfer as personal or the 29 account being used as personal, is that what you 20 maan when you say conclusions and opinions based on those. 30 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 1 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountnt, 1 correct? 31 A Correct. 42 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 1 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 2 or 3 fellow promover the filling of spift tax 2 or 3 fellow promover the filling of spift tax 3 or 3 fellow promover the filling of spift tax 3 or 3 fellow promover the filling of spift tax 3 or 3 fellow promover the filling of spift tax 3 or 3 fellow promover the filling of spift tax 3 or 3 fellow promover the filling of spift tax 3 or 3 fellow promover the filling of spift tax 3 or 3 fellow promover the filling of spift tax 4 or 3 fellow promover the filling of spift tax 4 or 3 fellow promover the fill	9		9	A I think the majority of them would be
12 name, correct, his mom's name? 13 A Correct. 14 Q So there were no beneficiary there 15 was no before the transfer, Shant was the 16 property was not titled in the trust, it was not 17 benefiting frustees, correct? 18 A Correct. 19 Q Was there any evidence of rent paid to 19 Q Was there any evidence of rent paid to 20 mom? 21 A No, there wasn't. 22 Q Do trusts like the Pachava Trust that 23 pulled real property for like a residence for the 24 beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally 25 pay and report rent? Page 82 Page 82 Page 84 1 A Yes, in my experience, there has been 2 fair market value rent lease agreements. 3 Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers 2 were made on a particular date but things like your a caked to draw as a bonk statement, and there account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? 11 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying draw as a forensic accountant, formore in the proper trent? Page 82 Page 84 1 A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. 3 Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have shien just was to make sure I ha	10	before 2008 sorry, in 2008 he and his family	10	
A Correct. Was no before the transfer, Shant was the flip property was not titled in the trust, it was not property was not titled in the trust, it was not more property was not titled in the trust, it was not property was not titled in the trust, it was not property was not titled in the trust, it was not property was not titled in the trust, it was not property was not titled in the trust, it was not property was not titled in the trust, it was not property was not titled in the trust, it was not property was not titled in the trust, it was not property was not titled in the trust, it was not property was not titled in the trust, it was not property was not titled in the trust, it was not property was not titled in the trust, it was not property was not titled in the trust, it was not property was not titled in the trust, it was not any alleged in the continuity not it was not any alleged in the contain that maskes were it now. It was not it was not it was not any not it was not it was no	11	• • • •	' 1	
Q So there were no beneficiary there to was no before the transfer; Shant was the forportry was not titled in the trust, it was not benefiting trustees, correct? A Correct. Q Was there any evidence of rent paid to mom? A No, there wasn't. Q Do trusts like the Pachava Trust that beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally pay and report rent? Page 82 A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers were made on a particular date but things like yours characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and there were made on a particular date but things like your asked to draw as a forensic accountant, form Morgan Stanley, are you talking about any other movement of monies? A There was also instances where Hilde paid expenses that she couldn't verify what they were for, largely significant credit card payments of Shant. Q Did she pay them through the trust? A Yes, she did. The non-filling of any tax returns for the trust or any of the entities. When I say the back transaction reports trust file, Page 82 Page 84 1 but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other 2 entities reviewed, the non-filling of gift tax 3 returns. Q Do you know 5 alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There was also instances where Hillde paid expenses that she couldn't verify what they were for, largely significant credit card payments of Shant. Q Did she pay them through the trust? A Yes, she did. The non-filling of any tax returns. 2 but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other 2 entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax 3 returns. Q Do you know 5 alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There was also instances where Hilde and Shant	12		12	•
15 was no before the transfer, Shant was the 16 property was not titled in the trust, it was not 17 benefiting trustees, correct? 18 A Correct. 19 Q Was there any evidence of rent paid to 19 mom? 21 A No, there wasn't. 22 Q Do trusts like the Pachava Trust that 23 pulled real property for like a residence for the 24 beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally 25 pay and report rent? Page 82 1 A Yes, in my experience, there has been 1 fair market value rent lease agreements. 2 Q There was some discussion about facts 2 versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have 2 this right. You looked at facts like transfers 2 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers 2 were made on a particular date but things like your 2 characterization of that transfer as personal or the 3 account being used as personal, is that what you 4 mean when you say conclusions or opinions? 1 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 2 documentation, such as a bank statement, and ther 2 documentation, such as a bank statement, and ther 3 draw conclusions and opinions based on those. 4 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 5 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 6 correct? A Correct.	13		13	•
16 property was not titled in the trust, it was not 17 benefiting trustees, correct? 18 A Correct. 19 Q Was there any evidence of rent paid to 20 mom? 21 A No, there wasn't. 22 Q Do trusts like the Pachava Trust that 23 pulled real property for like a residence for the 24 beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally 25 pay and report rent? Page 82 1 A Yes, in my experience, there has been 2 fair market value rent lease agreements. 3 Q There was some discussion about facts 3 Versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have 2 this right. You looked at facts like transfers— 2 were made on a particular date but things like your 3 characterization of that transfer as personal or the 3 account being used as personal, is that what you 4 Men you say "facts," you're talking about transfer's 5 draw conclusions and opinions based on those. 14 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 4 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 5 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 6 correct? MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me 19 seconds to confer with Josh? 20 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of 21 followup from your followup. 22 A No, there was not itild about any other movement of monies? 18 A There was alos instances where Hilde 19 paid expenses that she couldn't verify what they 20 were for, largely significant credit card payments 21 of Shant. 22 Q Did she pay them through the trust? 23 A Yes, she did. The non-filing of any 24 tax returns for the trust or any of the entities. 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 26 but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other 27 entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax 3 returns. 3 Q Were there loans on the books? 4 The lack of documentation of any 3 lleged loans or any alleged gifts. 5 Q Were there loans on the books? 6 A There were no books. 9 Q Were there loans on the other side of 10 the trustaction? So if there was a loan from 11 Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 12 Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? 13 A Correct. 14 Q Okay. And that'	14		14	movement of funds.
the senefiting trustees, correct? A Correct. A Correct. A No, there wasn't. Q Do trusts like the Pachava Trust that pulled real property for like a residence for the beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally pay and report rent? Page 82 A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, is my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the faw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct. A Correc	15		15	·
18 A Correct. 19 Q Was there any evidence of rent paid to 20 mom? 21 A No, there wasn't. 22 Q Do trusts like the Pachava Trust that 23 pulled real property for like a residence for the 24 beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally 25 pay and report rent? Page 82 A Yes, in my experience, there has been 25 fair market value rent lease agreements. 3 Q There was some discussion about facts 4 versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have 5 this right. You looked at facts like transfers 6 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers 7 were made on a particular date but things like your 8 characterization of that transfer as personal or the 9 account being used as personal, is that what you 10 mean when you say conclusions or opinions? 11 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and therf webooks? 14 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 15 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 15 correct. 16 MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me 17 A Correct. 18 MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me 18 M A There was also instances where Hilde 19 paid expenses that she couldn't verify what they were for, largely significant credit card payments 12 of Shant. 12 Q Did she pay them through the trust? 23 A Yes, she did. The non-filing of any 14 ax returns for the trust or any of the entities. 15 but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other 25 entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax 26 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 27 and any of the other 28 entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax 38 returns. 4 Q Do you know 5 A The lack of documentation of any 4 alleged loans or any alleged gifts. 7 Q Were there loans on the other side of 10 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 11 Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and therf webooks? 13 A They didn't have books, either. 14 Q When we say "books," we're talking 15 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? 16 A Correct	16		16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
19 Q Was there any evidence of rent paid to 20 mom? 21 A No, there wasn't. 22 Q Do trusts like the Pachava Trust that 23 pulled real property for like a residence for the 24 beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally 25 pay and report rent? Page 82 A Yes, in my experience, there has been 2 fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts 4 versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have 5 this right. You looked at facts like transfers - 6 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers 7 were made on a particular date but things like your 8 characterization of that transfer as personal or the 3 account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 10 documentation, such as a bank statement, and the 15 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 6 crrect? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me 18 seconds to confer with Josh? MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of followup from your followup. Rather than objecting as you went 19 paid expenses that she couldn't verify what they were for, largely significant credit card payments of Shant. 21 of Shant. 22 Q Did she pay them through the trust? 23 A Yes, she did. The non-filing of any tax returns for the trust or any of the entities. When I say the back transaction reports trust file, Page 82 Page 84 1 but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know - 5 A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from 19 speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their should have a bank account that enables you deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. Q No And that's a red flag why? A Correct. O Co-mingling of tunds. Q No And that's a red flag why? A Because when you	17	benefiting trustees, correct?	17	about any other movement of monies?
mom? A No, there wasn't. O Do trusts like the Pachava Trust that beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally bary and report rent? Page 82 A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers- when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the a account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and theratics reviewed and the real conclusions and opinions based on those. A There were no books. A T			l	
21 A No, there wasn't. 22 Q Dit was like the Pachava Trust that 23 pulled real property for like a residence for the 24 beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally 25 pay and report rent? Page 82 1 A Yes, in my experience, there has been 2 fair market value rent lease agreements. 3 Q There was some discussion about facts 4 versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have 5 this right. You looked at facts like transfers - 6 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers 7 were made on a particular date but things like your 8 characterization of that transfer as personal or the 9 account being used as personal, is that what you 10 mean when you say conclusions or opinions? 11 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and therd 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and therd 13 draw conclusions and opinions based on those. 14 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 15 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 16 correct? 17 A Correct. 18 MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me 19 seconds to confer with Josh? 20 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. 21 MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. 22 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of 16 followup from your followup. 24 tax returns for the trust or any of the entitites. 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 26 Duby of the visual or any of the other 27 tax returns. 29 Duby ok now 20 Do you know 21 A The lack of documentation of any 20 Were there loans on the books? 21 A There were no books. 22 Were there loans on the other side of 23 A There were no books. 24 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 25 wond for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other 26 entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax 3 returns. 4 Q Do you know 5 A The lack of documentation of any 6 alleged loans or any alleged gifts. 7 Q Were there loans on the other side of 10 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 13 A They didn't have books, either. 14 Q When we say "books," we're talking 15 about QuickBooks records or general? 16	19	,		
22 Q Did she pay them through the trust? 23 pulled real property for like a residence for the 24 beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally 25 pay and report rent? Page 82 A Yes, in my experience, there has been 26 fair market value rent lease agreements. 27 Q There was some discussion about facts 28 versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have 29 this right. You looked at facts like transfers 29 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers 20 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers 21 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 22 documentation, such as a bank statement, and therical dare wonclusions and opinions based on those. 29 A Correct. 20 Do you know 21 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 22 documentation, such as a bank statement, and therical dare wonclusions and opinions based on those. 23 M Yes, she did. The non-filing of any 24 tax returns for the trust or any of the entities. 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file. 26 Page 82 Page 84 1 but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other 27 entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax 28 returns. 29 Do you know 20 A The lack of documentation of any 20 Mere there loans on the books? 20 Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from 21 Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 28 books? 29 Q When we say "books," we're talking 29 A Correct. 20 A Correct. 21 A Yes, its is. We used the underlying 22 Mand that's part of the conclusions that 23 a There were no books. 24 A There were no books. 25 The lack of documentation of any 26 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 27 Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 28 books? 29 Q When we say "books," we're talking 29 A Correct. 20 A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. 21 A Correct. 22 A Yes, it is us treturns. 23 A Yes, its frust to keep it separate to protect the trust 24 a Xever the rouse of the other 25 this right. You looked at facts like transfers 30 A There were no books. 31 A They didn't ha	20		1	
pulled real property for like a residence for the beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally pay and report rent? Page 82 A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and there draw conclusions and opinions based on those. A Correct. A Correc			1	
24 beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally 25 pay and report rent? Page 82 A Yes, in my experience, there has been 26 fair market value rent lease agreements. 3 Q There was some discussion about facts 4 versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have 5 this right. You looked at facts like transfers - 5 this right. You looked at facts like transfers - 5 were made on a particular date but things like your 8 characterization of that transfer as personal or the 9 account being used as personal, is that what you 10 mean when you say conclusions or opinions? 11 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and ther 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and ther 13 draw conclusions and opinions based on those. 14 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 15 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 16 correct? 18 MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me 19 Seconds to confer with Josh? 19 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. 20 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of followup from your followup. 21 Rather than objecting as you went 24 Q And so the co-mingling would be with 1 say the back transaction reports trust file, 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, 25 When I say the back transaction and any of the oth				. ,
25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, Page 82 A Yes, in my experience, there has been 2 fair market value rent lease agreements. 3 Q There was some discussion about facts 4 versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have 5 this right. You looked at facts like transfers 6 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers 7 were made on a particular date but things like your 8 characterization of that transfer as personal or the 9 account being used as personal, is that what you 10 mean when you say conclusions or opinions? 11 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and ther 13 draw conclusions and opinions based on those. 14 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 15 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 16 correct? 17 A Correct. 18 MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me 19 seconds to confer with Josh? 20 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. 21 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of 22 followup from your followup. 23 followup from your followup. 24 Rather than objecting as you went 25 When I say the back transaction reports trust file, Page 84 1 but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. 4 Q Do you know 5 A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. 7 Q Were there loans on the oboks? 8 A There were no books. 9 Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from 11 Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their A Correct. 16 A Correct. 17 A Correct. 18 A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. 19 A They didn't have books, either. 10 A Correct Co-mingling of funds. 11 A Correct Co-mingling of funds. 11 A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have a bank account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. Q And so the co-mingling would be with	23		23	
Page 82 A Yes, in my experience, there has been 2 fair market value rent lease agreements. 3 Q There was some discussion about facts 4 versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have 5 this right. You looked at facts like transfers 6 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers 7 were made on a particular date but things like your 8 characterization of that transfer as personal or the 9 account being used as personal, is that what you 10 mean when you say conclusions or opinions? 11 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and the 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and the 13 documentation, such as a bank statement, and the 14 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 15 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 16 correct? 17 A Correct. 18 MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me 19 seconds to confer with Josh? 18 MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. 19 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of followup from your followup. 20 Rather than objecting as you went 20 Rather than objecting as you went 21 Q And so the co-mingling would be with 21 but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other 2 entities reviewed, the non-filling of gift tax 3 returns. 20 entities reviewed, the non-filling of gift tax 3 returns. 20 entities reviewed, the non-filling of gift tax 3 returns. 20 entities reviewed, the non-filling of gift tax 3 returns. 20 entities reviewed, the non-filling of gift tax 3 returns. 20 entities reviewed, the non-filling of gift tax 3 returns. 20 entities reviewed, the non-filling of gift tax 3 returns. 20 Purple with set with set vernues and any of the other centities reviewed, the non-filling of gift tax 3 returns. 20 Purple with set vernues and any of the other set entities reviewed, the non-filling of gift tax 3 returns. 20 Purple with set vernues and any of the other set of the transfers or any alleged gifts. 20 Purple diaments of the transfers and any of the observer. 31 Purple diaments of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. 30 Purple diaments of any	24			•
1 A Yes, in my experience, there has been 2 fair market value rent lease agreements. 3 Q There was some discussion about facts 4 versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have 5 this right. You looked at facts like transfers 6 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers 7 were made on a particular date but things like your 8 characterization of that transfer as personal or the 9 account being used as personal, is that what you 10 mean when you say conclusions or opinions? 11 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and then 13 draw conclusions and opinions based on those. 14 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 15 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 16 correct? 17 A Correct. 18 A Correct. 19 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 19 seconds to confer with Josh? 10 MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me 11 but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other 12 entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax 13 returns. 4 Q Do you know 5 A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. 7 Q Were there loans on the books? 8 A There were no books. 9 Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from 11 Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and then 13 A They didn't have books, either. 14 Q When we say "books," we're talking 15 about QuickBooks records or general? 16 A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. 17 Q Okay. And that's a ret flag why? 18 A Because when you have a trust bank 19 account you should have an income-producing asset 20 You should have a bank account that enables you to 21 deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of 22 that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust 23 asset. 24 Q And so the co-mingling would be with	25	pay and report rent?	25	When I say the back transaction reports trust file,
2 fair market value rent lease agreements. 3 Q There was some discussion about facts 4 versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have 5 this right. You looked at facts like transfers 6 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers 7 were made on a particular date but things like your 8 characterization of that transfer as personal or the 9 account being used as personal, is that what you 10 mean when you say conclusions or opinions? 11 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and the 13 draw conclusions and opinions based on those. 14 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 15 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 16 correct? 17 A Correct. 18 MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me 19 seconds to confer with Josh? 20 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. 21 MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. 22 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of 23 followup from your followup. 24 Rather than objecting as you went 25 this right. You looked at facts like transfers 4 Q Do you know 5 A The lack of documentation of any a lleged loans or any alleged gifts. 7 Q Were there loans on the books? 8 A There were no books. 9 Q Were there loans on the other side of 10 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 11 Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 12 Q When we say "books," we're talking 13 about QuickBooks records or general? 14 Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? 15 A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. 16 A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. 17 Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? 18 A Because when you have a trust bank 19 account you should have a bank account that enables you of deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust 20 And so the co-mingling would be with		Page 82		Page 84
2 fair market value rent lease agreements. 3 Q There was some discussion about facts 4 versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have 5 this right. You looked at facts like transfers 6 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers 7 were made on a particular date but things like your 8 characterization of that transfer as personal or the 9 account being used as personal, is that what you 10 mean when you say conclusions or opinions? 11 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and the 13 draw conclusions and opinions based on those. 14 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 15 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 16 correct? 17 A Correct. 18 MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me 19 seconds to confer with Josh? 20 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. 21 MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. 22 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of 23 followup from your followup. 24 Rather than objecting as you went 25 this right. You looked at facts like transfers 4 Q Do you know 5 A The lack of documentation of any a lleged loans or any alleged gifts. 7 Q Were there loans on the books? 8 A There were no books. 9 Q Were there loans on the other side of 10 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 11 Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 12 Q When we say "books," we're talking 13 about QuickBooks records or general? 14 Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? 15 A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. 16 A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. 17 Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? 18 A Because when you have a trust bank 19 account you should have a bank account that enables you of deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust 20 And so the co-mingling would be with	1	A Yes, in my experience, there has been	1	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other
 Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and then draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of followup from your followup. Rather than objecting as you went The lack of documentation of any A The lack of documentation of any A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. A There were no books. B A There were no books. B A There were no books. A There were no books. B A There were no books. Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset you should have a bank account that enables you to the trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. Q And so the	2		2	
 this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and ther draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh? MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of followup from your followup. A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. B A They didn't have books, either. Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? B A Because when you have a trust bank B A Correct. Co-mingling would have an income-producing asset<td>3</td><td>_</td><td>3</td><td></td>	3	_	3	
6 when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers 7 were made on a particular date but things like your 8 characterization of that transfer as personal or the 9 account being used as personal, is that what you 10 mean when you say conclusions or opinions? 11 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and the 13 draw conclusions and opinions based on those. 14 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 15 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 16 correct? 17 A Correct. 18 MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me 19 seconds to confer with Josh? 19 MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. 10 When we say "books," we're talking 19 about QuickBooks records or general? 10 A Correct. 17 Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? 19 A Because when you have a trust bank 19 account you should have an income-producing asset 19 You should have a bank account that enables you to 10 deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of 10 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 10 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 11 Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and the 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and the 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and the 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and the 12 documentation. Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 12 documentation. Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 12 documentation. Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 12 documentation. Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 12 documentation. Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 12 documentation. Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 12 documentation. Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 12 documentation. Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 12 documentation. Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 12 documentation. Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 12 documentation.	4	versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have	4	Q Do you know
7 were made on a particular date but things like your 8 characterization of that transfer as personal or the 9 account being used as personal, is that what you 10 mean when you say conclusions or opinions? 11 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and the 13 draw conclusions and opinions based on those. 14 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 15 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 16 correct? 17 A Correct. 18 MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me 19 seconds to confer with Josh? 10 MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. 19 Were there loans on the books? 10 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So	_	this right. You looked at facts like transfers	_	A The lack of documentation of any
8 characterization of that transfer as personal or the 9 account being used as personal, is that what you 10 mean when you say conclusions or opinions? 11 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and ther 13 draw conclusions and opinions based on those. 14 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 15 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 16 correct? 17 A Correct. 18 MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me 19 seconds to confer with Josh? 10 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 11 Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 12 didn't have books, either. 14 Q When we say "books," we're talking 15 about QuickBooks records or general? 16 A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. 17 Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? 18 A Because when you have a trust bank 19 account you should have an income-producing asset 19 You should have a bank account that enables you to 20 deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of 21 that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust 22 asset. 23 A There were no books. 9 Q Were there loans on the other side of 10 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 11 Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and ther 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and ther 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and ther 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and ther 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and ther 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and ther 12 documentation, such as a bank attement, and ther 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and ther 12 documentation, such as a bank attement, and ther 12 documentation, such as a bank attement, and ther 12 documentation, such as a bank attement, and ther 13 A They didn't have books, either. 14 Q When we say "books," we're talking 15 about QuickBooks records or general? 16 A Correct. 20 You should have a bank account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it s	ວ		5	71 The lack of accumentation of any
9 account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? 11 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and then documentation? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 to the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 to 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 to 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 to 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 to 12 the transaction? So if there was a loan from 12 to 12 the transaction? So if	_	when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers	_	
mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and then documentation? So if there was a loan from 11 Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their documentation. A They didn't have books, either. 14 Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? 15 A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. 17 Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? 18 A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset 20 You should have a bank account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. 28 A They didn't have books, either. 19 A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. 29 Okay. And that's a red flag why? 20 You should have a bank account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. 29 And so the co-mingling would be with	6		6	alleged loans or any alleged gifts.
11 A Yes, it is. We used the underlying 12 documentation, such as a bank statement, and then draw conclusions and opinions based on those. 13 draw conclusions and opinions based on those. 14 Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 15 correct? 16 A Correct. 17 A Correct. 18 MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh? 19 seconds to confer with Josh? 20 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. 21 MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. 22 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of followup from your followup. 23 followup from your followup. 24 Rather than objecting as you went 25 draw conclusions as a bank statement, and then 12 webooks? 26 A They didn't have books, either. 27 Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? 28 A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. 29 Okay. And that's a red flag why? 30 A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset adeposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. 29 And so the co-mingling would be with	6 7	were made on a particular date but things like your	6 7	alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books?
documentation, such as a bank statement, and then we books? draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, Correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh? MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of followup from your followup. Rather than objecting as you went A They didn't have books, either. A They didn't have books, either. A They didn't have books, either. A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. Q And so the co-mingling would be with	6 7 8	were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the	6 7 8	alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books.
draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh? MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of followup from your followup. Rather than objecting as you went A They didn't have books, either. A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. Q And that's part of the conclusions that Q When we say "books, either. 14 Q When we say "books, either. 15 A They didn't have books, either. 16 A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. 17 Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have a bank account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. Q And so the co-mingling would be with	6 7 8 9	were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you	6 7 8 9	alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of
14 Q And that's part of the conclusions that 15 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 16 correct? 17 A Correct. 18 MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me 19 seconds to confer with Josh? 20 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. 21 MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. 22 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of 23 followup from your followup. 24 Rather than objecting as you went 25 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 26 A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. 27 Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? 28 A Because when you have a trust bank 29 account you should have an income-producing asset 20 You should have a bank account that enables you to 21 deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of 22 that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust 23 asset. 24 Q And so the co-mingling would be with	6 7 8 9 10	were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions?	6 7 8 9 10	alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from
15 you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, 16 correct? 17 A Correct. 18 MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me 19 seconds to confer with Josh? 20 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. 21 MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. 22 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of 23 followup from your followup. 24 Rather than objecting as you went 25 A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. 26 A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. 27 Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? 28 A Because when you have a trust bank 29 account you should have an income-producing asset of deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. 27 Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? 28 A Because when you have a trust bank account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. 28 Q And so the co-mingling would be with	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the	6 7 8 9 10 11	alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their ebooks?
16 correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh? MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of followup from your followup. Rather than objecting as you went 16 A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. 17 Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? 18 A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset 4 deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of 4 deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of 4 deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of 4 deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of 4 deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of 4 deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of 4 deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of 4 deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of 4 deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of 4 deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of 4 deposit the revenues and 5 deposit the revenues and 6 deposit the 6 deposit the revenues and 6 deposit the 7 deposit the 8 deposi	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those.	6 7 8 9 10 11 11 24	alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their ebooks? A They didn't have books, either.
17 Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? 18 MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh? 20 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. 21 MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. 22 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of followup from your followup. 23 Rather than objecting as you went 17 Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset You should have a bank account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. 24 Q And so the co-mingling would be with	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that	6 7 8 9 10 11 11 20 13 14	alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their ebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking
MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh? MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of followup from your followup. Rather than objecting as you went MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds and pay that account you should have an income-producing asset account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset account you should have an income-producing asset account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. A Because when you have a trust bank account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset account you should have an income-producing asset. A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset account you should have an income-producing asset. A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset account you should have an income-producing asset. A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant,	6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15	alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their ebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general?
seconds to confer with Josh? MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of followup from your followup. Rather than objecting as you went MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of good. Rather than objecting as you went Account you should have an income-producing asset you should have a bank account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. And So the co-mingling would be with	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct?	6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16	alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their ebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Correct. Co-mingling of funds.
MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of followup from your followup. Rather than objecting as you went You should have a bank account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. And So the co-mingling would be with	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct.	6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17	alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their ebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. Q Okay. And that's a red flag why?
MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of followup from your followup. Rather than objecting as you went 21 deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. 22 deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. 23 deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. 24 Q And so the co-mingling would be with	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me	6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17	alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their ebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? A Because when you have a trust bank
MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of followup from your followup. 22 that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. 23 asset. 24 Rather than objecting as you went 22 that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. 24 Q And so the co-mingling would be with	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh?	6 7 8 9 10 13 14 15 16 17 108	alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their ebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset
23 followup from your followup. 24 Rather than objecting as you went 23 asset. 24 Q And so the co-mingling would be with	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh? MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course.	6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 108 19 20	alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their ebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset You should have a bank account that enables you to
24 Rather than objecting as you went 24 Q And so the co-mingling would be with	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh? MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good.	6 7 8 9 10 11 20 10 20 21	alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their ebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset You should have a bank account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of
	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh? MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of	6 7 8 9 10 11 20 15 108 19 20 21 22	alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their ebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset You should have a bank account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust
25 along, Ari, because it interrupts the flow, I 25 the trust or where the monies were co-mingled? We	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh? MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of followup from your followup.	6 7 8 9 10 11 24 13 14 15 16 17 108 21 22 23	alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their ebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset You should have a bank account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset.
	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh? MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of followup from your followup. Rather than objecting as you went	6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 108 19 20 21 22 23 24	alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their ebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset You should have a bank account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset. Q And so the co-mingling would be with

	Page 85			Page 87
1	don't allege that the monies were co-mingled into	1		
1	the trust accounts, right? But rather they might	2	CERTIFICATION	
3	have been co-mingling in the context of the Speedus	3		
4	or Zargis accounts; is that correct?	4	I, LISA FORLANO, a Certified Realtime	
5	A I was referring to the VSHPHH revenue	5	Reporter, Certified Court Reporter and Notary	
6	and expense co-mingling in the HovSat bank accoun	ts6.	Public, do hereby certify that I reported the	
7	Q Okay. Was there any tax or other	7	deposition in the above-captioned matter, that	
8	reporting for those trust assets, the mall property,	8	the said witness was duly sworn by me; that	
9	do you know? Were the income expenses reported t	O P	the foregoing is a true and correct transcript	
10	tax purposes?	10	of the STENOGRAPHIC notes of testimony taken	
11	A For the VSHPHH Trust?	11	by me in the above-captioned matter.	
12	Q Well, for the assets that were held by	12	I further certify that I am not an	
13	the trust. Village Mall and whatever.	13	attorney or counsel for any of the parties,	
14	Do you know?	14		
15	MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection to the extent	15		
16	it calls for a legal conclusion as to whether	16		
17	somebody has an obligation to file returns,	17		
1	but you can answer.	18	LISA FORLANO, CRR, CCR #XI01143	
18	BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:	19	, ,	
20		20		
1	Q Did you see any tax returns for Speedus	21		
21	or Zargis?	22		
22	A No, I did not.	23		
23	Q Did you see any form of any kind of	23		
24	Village Mall entity?	25		
25	A No, I did not.	ر کے		
	Page 86			
١.	•			
1	Q Did you ask for them?			
2	A Lasked if they existed, yes.			
3	Q And you were told no?			
4	A I was told to assume they did not			
5	exist.			
6	MR. HANAMIRIAN: Okay. That's all I			
7	have.			
8	MR. KUNOFSKY: We'd like to read and			
9	sign.			
10	COURT REPORTER: Mr. Kunofsky, wo	uld		
11	you like a copy of the transcript?			
12	MR. KUNOFSKY: Yes, absolutely. If yo	u		
13	can send them as PDFs or ASCII, that's fine.			
14	MR. HANAMIRIAN: They do file share.			
15	MR. KUNOFSKY: That's fine.			
16	(The deposition was concluded at			
17	12:40 p.m.)			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
1-0				

		40 7:3 28:20	obility 10.00 70.0	accounting 4:24
Exhibits	2		ability 18:20 72:2, 9,18 73:12 74:3	accounting 4:24 21:7 23:3 24:14,22
		41 66:6,13	able 19:11 75:25	25:25 38:6 48:17
HOLLOBAUGH-	2 9:10 45:16 59:25	450 15:17	77:1	50:12,18 53:8
1 3:11	200,000 40:25	49 68:14	abnormal 12:4	65:12,18 72:12
\$	2003 4:25 5:16		about 4:7 6:22 9:7	accounting/expert 50:6
Ψ	2008 81:10	5	10:23 12:22 13:19	accounts 11:17
\$201,204 30:18	2013 74:1	5 10:10	15:17 17:7 19:14 20:21 27:14 28:18	12:5 19:21 20:23
69:14	2015 30:19 66:3,15	50 34:22	29:1,3,22 37:8 38:6	22:8 23:8 24:1
\$3 14:13	69:15	520 30:20 35:21	40:14 42:18 44:5	25:10 30:15 31:2,9, 10 33:1 34:6,9,11,
\$319,349 30:20	2016 67:1,7	44:4 45:22 46:12,	50:24 51:24 52:6 54:23 56:14 57:5	13 35:20 37:6
69:15	2017 30:20 40:21	19 49:25 50:1 54:1, 3 60:1 63:12 69:15	58:5,11 62:22	39:23 50:3 54:5
\$500,000 59:12	69:16	76:9 78:14	65:15 73:17 76:8	69:11 77:3 78:22, 25 85:2,4,6
\$750 74:13	2019 67:1	529 22:10 44:2	77:8 82:3,6 83:17 84:15	Accredited 5:13
1	2020 66:15	45:17	absolutely 81:5	accurate 39:21
	21 62:13 68:10	572 28:22 29:3	86:12	44:20 46:3 61:13
1 59:25	22 70:6 71:22		ABV 5:11	65:15 71:19 76:25
10 43:25 45:21	23 74:22	6	access 4:17	acting 74:15
49:17 63:4 82:18	25 62:13	6 17:25	accommodate	actions 18:4
100 53:12	27 35:3	0 17.23	28:6	activity 32:24
11 49:18,22 53:24		7	Accordingly 30:14	35:11 49:11 75:13
59:21	3		35:16 49:23	actual 15:19
11:52 63:8	2 50.25	7 28:11	account 10:25	actually 12:3
12 49:18,23 53:24	3 59:25	0	11:23 12:4,7 20:24 21:10,17 27:7 31:6,	additional 17:18,
12:24 77:17	30 62:13	8	17 32:6,17,23	19,20 68:8 71:25
12:40 86:17	319,000 40:21	8 30:2,9 40:13	33:12 35:13,17,18	Additionally 72:7
13 18:3 56:11	319-and-change 40:15		36:2,4,13 37:11,23, 24 38:14,15 40:22,	addresses 68:11
140,000 40:25		9	24 42:20 43:12	Adelphia 28:21
15 67:8,9	36 59:23	9 34:25 35:7 40:13	70:8,9 72:2,8,25	29:1
16 67:8,9	37 64:8		73:19,25 74:6,11 75:12,14,16,23	adjust 16:1 17:4
17 63:11 64:4	38 28:15	9,000 74:12	79:5 82:9 83:12	adjusting 11:20
18 62:12	4	A	84:19,20	adjustments 17:6
	4		accountant 5:6	administered
19 40:21	4 9:15,17 10:7	a.m. 63:9	15:7,8 23:15 55:23 81:4 82:15	53:10
			01.1 02.13	
	1	1	1	1

	1	1	1	1
administration	16:15 33:3 46:13	56:21 57:3,24	24 48:3,14,19,20,	13,17 85:18
57:6,7	68:7 79:14 83:18	62:14 63:20 66:13	23,25 49:7,13,14,	
advanced 5:1	Altavilla 15:7	67:24 69:21 70:1,4	17,18,19,22,25	answers 20:5
		71:1,4,16 78:5	50:2 51:8,11,20	any 4:9 5:1,7 6:17
after 16:5 63:13	alter 25:9,14,24	analyze 27:6 31:4	52:3,6,10 53:16,24	8:14,19,20 14:12,
again 11:11 17:25	26:3,8,10,11,15,19,		54:2,3,16,18,23	16 16:11 17:2,7,16,
23:6,10 25:24 26:4,	20,23 27:17 78:1,2,	analyzed 31:25	55:3,7,15,17,23,24	19 18:12 19:2,10,
24 29:6,18 30:11,	5,7	34:17 36:10	56:16,20,22,23,24	16 21:3 22:23
21 31:15,17 32:14	although 64:10	analyzing 31:3	57:1,12,19,25 58:6,	29:15,19,22 30:16
33:25 35:4,9 36:9,	71:25 72:7 75:2		8,9,10,23 59:11,12,	32:9,24 33:6,9
21 41:18 42:17		and 4:2,8,9,10,12,	14,25 60:1,9,12,14	34:4,5,9,12 36:8,
49:17 53:24 54:15,	am 63:15	14,22 5:1,4,11,12,	61:3,11,16 62:5,7,	19,25 37:3 41:2,8,
20 57:15 60:18	America 4:7	14,18,20 6:4,16,22,	10,13,19 63:11,12,	16 46:25 47:7,16,
61:11,13 64:4,6	amount 24.24.25	24 7:11,12,15,22,	17,18 64:3,5,6,8,	25 48:16 62:25
71:23 72:5 74:22	amount 24:24,25	25 8:10 9:1,3,13,	11,17 65:2,9,10,17,	65:1 66:24 67:5,11
	47:25 48:12,13	14,15,25 10:3,9,23,	18,23 66:2,4,7,15,	69:12 73:17 77:14
against 30:19,20	amounts 67:25	25 11:5,14 12:18	16,17,20 67:1,8,11,	81:19 83:17,23,24
40:14 69:14,15	76:1,4	13:7,20,22 14:3,6	23,24 68:2,6,7,9,	84:1,5,6 85:7,20,23
age 62:16	an 5:11 7:20 12:22	15:7,13,19,21,25	13,18 69:2,15,17,	anybody 29:8,21
agree 9:4 10:4	13:3,25 16:4,9	16:1,12,21,24,25	21,22 70:5,10,14,	51:14 76:23
63:23	20:24 21:7,10	17:23 18:4,5,9	16,17 71:4,8,9,11,	41 5 0 22
05.25	24:14,19,22,23	19:5,20,24 20:2,4,	18,25 72:3,10,15,	anything 5:8,22
agreements 82:2	25:20,21 26:3,8,15	5,9,14,21,22 21:1,	17,19,24 73:4,15,	12:16 17:18 21:3,
ahead 12:15 25:19	32:7 35:9 37:22	11,17,19,20 22:9,	16 74:10,11,12,13,	13,23 22:1 26:14
38:10 70:19	41:13 44:3,18,20	10,14,16 24:3,6,7,	19,25 75:4,8 76:3,	29:4
	46:3 48:14,17 49:9	9,24,25 25:5,9,21,	17,23,25 77:2,5,9,	anywhere 18:25
AICPA 69:6,7	50:5,15,18 51:1	23 26:7,8,18,25	13 78:18,21 79:3,7,	apologize 58:20
all 6:7 9:15 14:7,9	52:6 54:17 55:15,	27:3,7,12,14,19,25 28:5,6,8,13,18	8,13,17,22 80:19,	1 2
30:6,23 32:20 33:5	19,22 56:21 57:22	29:9,18 30:10,13,	22 81:9,10,25	appear 25:23
34:17 40:1,11	70:3,12 71:15	17,19 31:2,6,7,10,	82:12,13,14 83:5,6,	84:11
44:21 45:12,18,23	72:12 73:20,22	12,13,17 32:1,5,7,	16 84:1,17,21,24	appeared 33:5
49:11 58:11 59:13	78:22 79:1 83:1	9,21 33:13,17,18,	85:6,13 86:3,8	12.6
60:25 62:13,15	84:19 85:17	21,23,25 34:13,21,	and/or 29:21 39:23	appears 13:6 35:12 50:11 66:15
63:25 65:12 66:21	analygag 6.0.69.19	22 35:2,4,5,11,15,	64:19	35:12 50:11 00:15
70:21 86:6	analyses 6:9 68:18 78:4	22,24 36:1,3,11,13,	annual 48:12	Appended 13:5
allege 85:1		15,19,23 37:2,15,		are 4:4,5,18 5:2,18,
	analysis 15:14	18,19 38:12,19,22,	another 25:21	24 6:5,8 7:15 9:16
alleged 84:6	18:2 19:20,23	23 39:2,3,11,15,22	32:22 56:8 60:24	10:6 11:1 12:3
along 8:13 29:8,22	21:15,16 22:21	40:3,5,6,7,13,25	72:11,20 77:12	13:5,11,18 14:18,
59:2 65:11 72:25	23:24 24:2,19	41:1,15 42:8,11	answer 12:14,24	20,21 15:5 19:1
73:14 78:11 82:25	25:12,25 26:2 27:3	43:3,8,16 44:2,15,	22:5 23:1 24:12	20:19 21:19 23:4,8,
already 22.22	30:3,5 31:5,13,20	21,25 45:2,17,21,	25:6 28:1 29:20,24	11,20 24:2,20
already 33:22	32:14 35:25 37:1	22,24 46:5,8,10,13,	38:5 44:11 46:23	26:24 27:19,21
also 4:12 5:11 11:7	38:19,22,23 41:7,	14,17 47:7,16,19,	53:7 60:20 70:11,	28:7,21 30:8 32:13
	22,24,25 48:24			, , , , , , ,

34:14 38:21 45:20 46:9 48:19 51:4,24 52:7 54:20 55:4 56:14 57:1,2,5 58:2,18 60:3,7,14, 15,21 61:1,3,7 62:12,15,25 66:14, 17 67:1 68:12 69:25 70:1,24,25 71:10,18 74:25 76:1,4 78:3 79:20 83:16
area 7:1
areas 5:19
aren't 26:9 37:6 41:14 46:17
argue 55:14
arguing 55:15
argumentatively 38:12
arguments 26:1
Ari 22:6 28:6 29:19,20 53:3 63:3 77:24 82:25
around 7:3 8:16
as 4:2,20 6:15,19 9:25 10:1,2,19 11:3 12:10 13:8 16:18, 20 17:8,19,20 19:12 21:5,25 22:20,21,22,23 23:15 27:7,24,25 31:9 32:7,9,13 33:17 34:2 35:13, 17 36:5,19,24 37:4, 7,23 38:14,25 41:11 42:2,24 43:19 44:4 45:6,16 47:8 49:1,2 51:1 53:7 54:12 55:15, 20,22 57:4 58:24

60:4,5 61:23 65:19 67:11,12,22 68:8, 11,17 69:20 70:1,8, 11 74:15,17 76:14, 15 78:20 79:20,25 81:4 82:8,9,12,15, 24 83:11,13 85:16 86:13 **ASCII** 86:13

ask 20:4,5 29:6 69:18 86:1

asked 23:4 24:19 25:9 26:11,25 27:6 53:3 82:15 86:2

asking 19:1 20:20 22:19 24:14 25:16 27:13 41:9 52:8 61:16 69:19 71:14, 20 74:10

aspect 6:23
aspects 10:8

assessment 48:14

asset 41:13 44:3 47:23 51:18 55:5,8 56:16,21,24 57:11, 19,25 58:6 59:5 60:23,25 62:3 78:19 84:19,23

assets 18:9 28:22 36:11 44:18 51:16 56:19 59:5,9 78:18 85:8,12

assist 25:25

assisted 15:10,13

associated 11:1 24:16 29:19 41:24 44:21,22 48:20 59:14 66:21,25 67:5 75:13

assume 86:4 **assumedly** 38:15 **assuming** 76:4,13 **assumption** 43:4 **assumptions** 42:3, 5 62:25 68:18

assure 39:21

at 6:25 7:3 9:17 11:20 14:9 15:24 16:11 17:15 18:14 19:15,16 24:21 27:4 29:21 30:13, 18 31:5,17 32:12, 15 34:6 35:16 40:11 45:7,12 46:10,12,15 49:17, 22,24 53:12,24 54:1,19 57:18 59:23 66:12 74:9 77:23 78:1 79:18 80:21 81:1,9 82:5 86:16

attached 13:11 attorney 7:20,22 attorneys 33:20 audit 48:14 available 76:1,5 avoid 41:25 aware 34:12

В

back 9:1 17:23 18:20,25 30:21 33:8 39:22 54:15 63:8 77:17 83:25

background 4:21, 23 9:11 16:24

19:25

bad 22:23 23:3balances 19:12,13, 17

bank 11:23 12:3,5, 7 13:16 14:8 15:12 19:21 21:9,17 23:8 24:1 30:15 31:2,8,9 34:5 35:17,20 36:13 38:14 40:4,5, 22 42:23 50:3 54:4 68:1,2,3,5,6 69:10 70:8 72:15 75:12, 14,16,23 78:25 82:12 84:18,20 85:6

banker 79:3

based 13:15 15:11 28:24 33:15 68:17 69:25 70:3 71:1,15 72:14,23 73:13 82:13

basic 10:21

basis 33:24 35:22, 24 53:8 57:20 71:11 77:2

be 7:24 9:1 11:23

13:6,10 17:8 22:21 24:21 28:10 32:18 33:5,18,21,23 34:8 36:21 41:18 42:17, 20 47:22 48:14,21 49:5 52:18 53:9 54:24 55:14,18 58:12 59:9 62:2 67:12 68:5 70:21 74:10 75:25 77:1 78:22 79:1,3 83:9 84:24

bear 20:2

because 19:20 28:21 31:1,25 37:20 42:9 45:11 53:1 54:14 56:9 58:2 60:7,13 61:23 62:8 67:10 73:8,23 75:22 82:25 84:18

become 7:14

been 4:1 5:15,16 8:16 15:23 17:15 29:16 32:10 41:3, 13 53:11 62:9,10 71:17 76:11 81:5 82:1 85:3

before 8:10,11,12 37:8 46:20 62:8 80:15 81:10,15

begin 12:4

beginning 9:10 10:7 18:3,9 19:12, 13,17 28:19 35:1 45:17,23 49:15 64:8,9,15 70:7 75:2

begins 9:18 18:2 28:14 30:4 35:3 63:18 64:7 68:14 74:24

behalf 22:15 24:17 25:2 35:12 39:13 77:24

being 20:24 23:25 33:9 34:24 36:5,12 39:5,9 41:14 56:4,5 59:9 62:3 73:9 82:9 83:12

belabor 57:16

believe 10:14 19:18 34:18 45:5 50:14 55:8 57:14 59:13 63:2

clearing 35:18 36:1 37:23 38:15	Complaint 8:4,11 28:13,14	confused 13:10 58:16	39:10 43:13,15 48:2,5 51:3 52:21	25 80:1 82:7 dates 8:3
70:9	complete 32:18	confusing 60:7	54:22 55:2,6 56:19	daughter 9:19
clearly 42:9	34:16,20	connect 71:9	65:16,19,20 66:11, 18,19 74:18,21	day 4:15
client 7:14,18,21	completely 56:18	connected 71:11	76:6,14 77:6 81:12,	
co-mingle 11:17	compound 44:25	consequence 24:9,	13,17,18 82:16,17	days 40:24
co-mingled 11:23,	45:4,6	16 37:16 47:20	84:16 85:4	dead 31:14 32:15
25 44:18 65:4	comprised 30:15	48:16 66:24 67:2	cost 58:23,24 59:1	deal 14:12 63:20
75:14 84:25 85:1	69:11	consequences	could 34:9 42:9 48:14 53:11 58:15	dealing 47:17
co-mingling 46:17 75:19 84:16,24	concepts 78:4	24:20	74:10	deals 65:3
85:3,6	concern 41:5	consider 36:1 51:6	couldn't 80:13,18	debate 17:7
code 69:1	conclude 29:10	considered 63:25	83:19	deducted 37:13
collaborative 7:8	49:23	consistent 75:7	counsel 7:14 26:1,	deed 66:2 79:25
15:21,23	concluded 13:22 39:3 69:20 86:16	consult 8:13	7	defense 5:22
collected 65:10		consulting 6:22	course 7:14 11:19	defined 27:24
college 4:25 5:5	conclusion 21:5 22:5 23:1,14 24:11	context 4:9 6:12	29:16 82:20	
combined 11:13	25:5 26:5 35:15,23	8:8 17:14 19:22	COURT 86:10	definitions 9:14
	36:10 37:22,25	20:8,16 24:24 26:6	CPA 5:2	degree 68:20,21 69:9 70:24 71:13
come 8:8 36:3 43:3,4 57:16 59:7	38:5 39:6 43:4 44:10 46:22 50:6,8,	27:2 29:7 31:12 32:21 38:7 57:4	create 10:2,12 20:9	delay 80:3,9
71:9	13 53:7 59:23	58:8 66:4 83:7 85:3	created 10:14	
comes 7:19 61:20	60:19 61:11 63:12	continues 10:10	13:12 20:13 54:10	delays 80:9
68:22	68:12,13 69:8 73:4 76:20 80:16 85:16	contributed 56:24	62:10	demonstrate 26:10,11 54:25
comfortable 20:3		contributes 39:6	credit 83:20	demonstrated
66:20	conclusions 17:9 26:25 27:13,19,24	controlled 39:3	criminal 5:21 6:1,	69:3
coming 25:11	28:7,8 31:21 46:9		2	Department 29:21
42:10	51:4 69:5 82:10,13,	Cooke 15:6	current 7:3	depend 40:10
common 12:6	14	copies 4:11	Currently 5:20	62:18
communicate	conduct 69:2	copy 86:11	44:12	depending 7:21
29:20	conducted 35:11	corporate 28:22,	cut 20:1	depends 6:7
companies 14:19, 20 39:14	conduit 36:6	25 75:7		_
	confer 82:19	corporation 11:6,	D	deposit 75:12 84:21
company 13:7 22:16	confers 26:8	8	dash 35:2	deposition 12:20
comparison 49:16	confidentiality	correct 7:10 13:9, 21 16:14 17:13	data 71:16	14:25 77:5 78:6
-	29:19	18:21 21:22 23:21	date 15:16 79:22,	79:10,11 80:12
competent 69:3		34:15 35:13,14	uate 13.10 / 3.22,	86:16

depositions 8:14, 17 deposits 14:6 30:16 69:11 derive 6:16	79:13,16 80:14,17, 19 83:8,22,23 84:11 85:20,22,23, 25 86:1,4 didn't 11:16 13:3 14:7,9 18:19 19:10	distinction 57:17 70:16 78:7,12 distinguish 55:23 distinguishable 56:17	documentation 19:4 25:1 40:9 82:12 84:5 documents 4:19 13:8 15:12,14 17:19 40:6 42:2
derived 40:3 57:18 72:22 describe 38:25 describing 7:1 description 44:20 detailed 18:3 details 33:9	25:24 32:22 34:18 57:9 84:13 difference 56:3 58:6,22 69:19,22 differences 58:4 different 6:7 12:1, 3 20:15 56:19 58:2 78:4	distinguishing 56:21 divorce 42:13,14, 16,21,24 43:17 80:11 do 4:11,13,18,20 5:7,24 6:6,17,25 7:16 8:5 10:4,14	46:25 72:16 73:1 79:17,20 does 7:16 16:15 21:14,23 22:17,1 24:3,22 25:3,23 30:24 31:15,20,2 36:24 37:3,5 56: 57:15 59:15 68:2
determination 6:9 determine 7:23 18:9 21:13,16 25:10 31:5 36:14 73:9 77:1 81:7	differentiated 57:12 differentiation 17:24 difficult 61:17	11:19 12:8,12 14:12,18 15:15 16:4,6,9,18,19 18:12,22,24 19:8 20:3,6 26:2,14,20 27:5,9,12,15 28:16, 17 32:9,15,16 34:4,	doesn't 16:13 61:12,21 dog 34:22 doing 4:6 6:20 15:13 20:1 70:18
determining 18:5 dialogue 38:23,24 did 6:16,17 8:8,13, 19,23 10:16,17 11:3,9,14,19 12:8, 10,21,22 13:19 14:23,24 15:1,19, 20 16:2,21 17:2,14 18:14 19:6,7,8,16 20:12,17 21:15 23:22 24:4 27:3 28:24 29:7,8,20,23 32:5,16,24 33:12 34:16,18 39:22	direct 64:19 72:3, 9,18 73:12 74:3 directing 73:2 83:13 director 16:25 disagree 9:4 disbursements 14:5 discern 33:13 discuss 18:8 discussed 79:22	8,22,23 36:1,19 37:15 38:24 39:24 41:2,4,10 42:3,14, 17 43:17,20,22 44:5 45:7,15 46:19 47:16 48:6,25 51:23 52:10,15 53:18 54:11 55:7, 11,23,25 56:8,25 57:2,3,9,16 58:24 59:20 62:5,7,22,24 63:3,16,23,25 64:2, 21 65:9 66:9,20,23 67:3,4,11 68:22 69:18 72:21 73:11,	dollar 47:12 51:: don't 8:2,7,16,17 9:16 12:16 16:8, 18:8,24 19:18,24 20:6 22:18 24:13 27:11,17 29:20 32:19,23 33:9 34 37:15,19 43:21,2 46:7 48:11 49:5, 54:17 55:14,20,2 56:9 57:14,15 63 24 71:6 73:22 85 done 6:2 down 27:3 57:1
41:5,8,10,15,16 42:5 43:3,4,20 46:25 47:11 51:6, 17,19,20,22 54:7 55:18 60:3 62:5 64:22 65:6,9 66:24 67:24 74:4,5,6,8 76:23 77:4,7 78:13	discussing 79:7 80:11 discussion 18:4 82:3 discussions 68:18 disputes 5:22	09.18 72.21 73.11, 17,20,24 74:8,15 77:8 81:22,24 84:4 85:9,14 86:14 document 9:5 51:18 54:18 62:22 63:1	61:2 71:23 draft 8:19 17:3,1 12 drafts 15:21 draw 13:3 21:5 78:13 82:13,15

drawing 78:7 ion :9 80:21 **drew** 78:12 4:19 drilling 57:1 **duly** 4:1 2:2 73:15 **during** 11:19 74:1, 17 78:6 :15 17,19 \mathbf{E} ,23 20,21 **e-mail** 74:19 83:15 56:12 **e-mailed** 4:15 74:9 68:25 **e-mails** 68:9 73:1 3 79:12 each 12:4 15:20 18:6 27:7 40:10 20 66:14,16 67:12 0:18earlier 18:18 40:24 51:8 ease 35:4 49:16 6,17 easier 41:9 6:8,19 8,24 **easiest** 4:16 9:1 4:13 Eastern 63:9 20 9 34:8 **economic** 50:15. 21,24 18,20 51:2 52:7 9:5,7 53:8 81:1 20,25 education 5:1 5 63:2, 2 85:1 educational 4:23 Effectively 20:10 7:1 **effort** 15:22 **ego** 25:9,15,24 7:3,11, 26:3,8,10,12,15,19, 20,23 27:17 78:2,5, 7 **egos** 78:1 1:5

either 5:2 7:20 49:9 57:7 84:13

else 15:21 33:23 73:16 enables 84:20 end 11:20 35:6 engaged 6:6 7:14, 18,22 8:1 17:15 26:7 27:15,20 81:5 engagement 4:10 6:4,8 7:17 15:16 29:11 41:23 73:5 engagements 81:6 ensure 45:12 enter 14:1 entered 10:25 entire 5:17 entirely 5:20 8:18 13:20 entities 10:3 11:20 12:3,7 13:19 21:11 35:18 39:3,7,9,16 64:16,22 65:19 70:9 75:15 79:9 83:24 84:2	essentially 10:25 36:4 44:17 established 75:12 estate 35:21 36:12 39:1,5,8 50:2 54:3 56:25 58:20 59:1 60:1 61:3 67:7,13, 25 74:10 et 63:22 even 14:3 events 8:14 ever 14:12 27:17 everybody 17:11, 12 54:7 everyday 81:3 everyone 30:7 evidence 19:3,9 69:4 81:19 evolve 6:18 evolved 6:19 ex-wife 14:24 exactly 27:7 43:21	existence 73:25 existing 13:23,24 expect 80:6,8 expected 59:6 expense 50:2 54:4 85:6 expenses 21:24 22:9,15 24:5,17 25:11 30:18,24 31:10,16 32:2,4,8 33:3,16,17 34:2,10 35:12 36:5 37:7,12, 13 39:13,18 46:15 53:21,22 54:16 58:12 59:7,10 60:1, 4,7,16 61:1,2,7,8 65:11 69:13 72:4, 10,19 73:10 76:1 77:9 83:19 84:21 85:9 experience 4:22 5:5 6:17 47:16 48:10 82:1 expert 8:9 16:17 24:23 32:21 37:23	facts 4:10 9:24 15:25 27:12 64:1 71:2,3,11,16,18 82:3,5,6 fair 46:13 49:25 51:15 52:6 53:12 54:2 58:23 59:8,15 60:13 61:22 82:2 fairly 34:19 46:9 family 53:19,21,22 60:12 81:10 family-owned 14:16 far 10:19 37:4 67:12 70:11 Fargos 34:7 FASB 69:6 father 66:1 Federal 48:4 feel 59:17 63:25 66:20 few 9:6 77:20	finalize 16:1 finances 41:20 75:15 financial 6:20 13:16 18:16 19:4 40:6 42:1 73:8 find 11:3 14:18 32:5 40:18 67:4 findings 27:19,24 28:14 fine 9:15 32:21 63:5 86:13,15 finished 20:17 finite 34:8 firm 5:15 7:24 13:12 14:11 first 9:17 15:24 34:21 63:17 64:6, 24 68:14 69:10 five 14:13 63:4 77:13 flag 80:7,10 84:17 flags 36:25 37:4
entities' 11:2 entitled 51:15 65:22 entity 12:5 19:1,14, 16 20:24 21:10 25:20,22 26:15 30:24 41:2 85:24 entity's 21:10 entries 11:25 12:1 entry 13:25 18:6 equate 59:15 equivalent 34:5 essence 39:4	examined 4:2 examiner 5:8,11 examining 31:14 example 55:15 exceed 59:15 excess 48:12 exclusion 47:25 48:12,13 63:21 exist 16:15 19:6 62:23 86:5 existed 19:10 86:2	41:21 45:5 expertise 6:16 10:19 extent 22:4 27:25 38:3,5 44:19 46:21 48:24 60:19 70:16 80:20 85:15 extreme 61:18 F fact 17:8 27:19 28:7,8,24 69:20 70:2,12,16,25	figure 19:22 67:18 81:1 figures 66:14,25 67:6 file 12:1,6 48:11 56:1,23 83:25 85:17 86:14 filed 8:4 23:7,9 37:9 47:23 48:7,9 54:24 75:10 filing 21:10,12 58:18 final 68:12,13	83:6,8 floor 64:16,23,25 65:14 flow 39:21 64:18 65:3 66:5,13 67:8,9 79:13 82:25 flow-through 36:5 flowed 36:17 focused 29:14 followed 69:1 75:9 following 11:11 45:20 47:9 67:14 68:15

follows 4:2 followup 82:23 footnoted 34:19 for 4:16 6:4,12 7:9, 13 8:13,17,20,21 9:14 11:7,12,25 13:7,19 16:15,17 18:4,23 19:1,3,9 20:10,11,25 22:4, 22,25 23:14 24:10 25:4,10 26:9 27:21 29:9 30:6 31:20,21 34:16,17,20 35:4, 18,20,22 36:16 37:4,24 38:3,16 39:1 40:15,22 42:21 44:3,9 45:5 46:22 47:8,25	80:25 81:4 82:15 forensics 6:2,25 36:24 72:13 form 7:16 8:21 44:16 71:11 85:23 formal 14:19 formally 5:2 formation 57:20 formats 9:6 forth 9:2 27:8 39:22 forward 18:10 four 61:25 fraud 5:8,10 23:5, 12,16,17,20,22	funded 32:6 36:13 39:4,18 40:23 42:12 67:8 funding 25:10 39:7 46:14 50:2 54:3 funds 21:17,20 27:7 31:6 35:18 36:3,15,18 37:24 39:4,21 42:10,15 43:6,17 70:9 72:3, 10,19,24 73:3,9,12, 14 74:3,13 79:8,13 83:14 84:16 further 49:14 71:4 77:18 Furthermore 64:15	59:18 gift 47:11,14,17,22, 25 48:4,6,11,12,13 51:7,9,10 52:8,9,23 54:15,16,21,24,25 55:1,3,9,13,17,24 56:22,23 57:5,9,10, 12,25 58:4,14,17, 18 60:11 61:19 63:21 75:10 84:2 gifted 55:1 60:23 62:10 gifts 48:1 84:6 give 19:25 40:17 82:18 Given 10:11 go 4:21 8:24,25 9:2	52:10 55:16 great 63:20 greater 47:24 grid 66:12 67:1 gross 14:14 66:6,7 group 15:4 17:1 guess 4:20 6:22 22:18 33:19 47:9 60:6 guys 13:20 82:18 H H-A-S-M-I-G 51:5
48:24 49:8,16 50:7 51:8,16 52:7,14,19, 20,22 53:2,6,8,10, 21,22 54:13 55:2, 19,21,22 56:11,20 57:6,9,10,12,20,24 58:7,12,15 59:6 60:1,12,14,19 61:9, 20,21,24,25 64:19 65:5,7,8,9,18,19 66:8,14,15 67:1,8,9 68:2,3,6,7 70:5,9, 14 71:12 73:10 75:24 76:3,5,24 78:21,25 79:3 80:6, 7,18 81:23 83:12, 20,24 84:1 85:8,9, 11,12,16,20 86:1 forensic 5:20,25 7:17 16:25 18:2 19:23 22:21 23:15 25:25 31:13,20 32:14 41:21,24 50:13 55:23 69:21, 25 70:3 75:18	from 4:24 5:25 7:20,23 13:6 17:3 18:10 24:13,22 25:11 26:20 30:5, 10 34:9 35:5,20 36:17 38:22 39:24 40:3,8,23,24 42:10, 11,20,21 43:6,17 47:3 48:10,17 55:5, 8 57:18 58:10 59:7, 18,23 61:19 64:12, 16,22 66:5,13 67:25 68:5,22 70:10 72:21 73:1 75:1 79:4,12,23 81:2 82:23 83:16 84:10 front 9:5 full 9:17 49:15 functions 65:12 fund 30:18 31:10 37:7,11 69:13	Gandolfo 10:17 18:17 65:2,6 Gandolfo's 77:5 gave 56:4 general 6:20,21 14:3,18 20:9,10,11, 15 25:20 27:18 84:15 generally 7:19 15:24 21:9 37:6 61:9 79:18,19,25 generate 14:1 generated 12:18 13:14,15 64:18 65:3 67:6 get 7:19,22 9:15 20:7 44:22 49:9 60:10 61:16 getting 15:25	12:15 13:20 19:24 25:19 28:9 35:6 36:3 38:10 39:22 45:9 56:21 57:24 63:11 68:10 70:19 goes 5:23 27:9 49:14 54:15,25 going 4:17 5:3 7:2 9:1 21:25 22:3 30:21 31:13 32:14 44:24 45:10 48:22 56:1 63:9 70:15 gone 62:7 good 4:4,5 16:18, 20 34:24 82:21 got 9:8 51:1 52:23 76:11 Government 6:10 graduated 4:25 grandmom 61:20 grandmother	23:9 25:17 27:3 34:4,9,19 38:23,24 54:9 55:12,16 62:9 80:20,21 half 65:2 halfway 6:22 HANAMIRIAN 4:3 12:19 13:1 22:6,13 23:2,18 24:15 25:13 28:4 30:1 38:8,11,20 44:14 45:1,9,14 46:24 49:4,7,12 50:9,17 53:14 61:5 63:3,7 70:20 77:12, 17 82:20,22 83:4 85:19 86:6,14 handbook 45:2 handled 41:19 58:6

happen 11:18,21 16:2 happens 48:10,19 has 12:7 59:4,5 82:1 85:17	he's 34:24 58:16 heading 18:1 28:12 30:3 44:1 45:16,21 63:12 64:3 68:13	home 53:11 56:17 58:3 59:1 61:9 homeowner's 59:2 hours 15:18	8:7 10:6 11:4,11 19:13,22,24 25:16 27:13,18,20 34:7, 12,19,24 38:12 39:20 40:17 41:9 44:24 45:10,20
Hasmig 51:5 hate 4:20	hear 50:9 hearsay 44:22	house 51:2 52:4,10, 23 56:1,17 61:4,12	46:9 47:9 48:22 52:8 53:4,23 54:14 55:15 57:21,23
have 4:11,13,14,17, 24 5:7,15,16 6:1,3, 17 7:2,20 8:16 9:5, 22,23 12:5,6,22 15:23 16:4,9,16 17:2,18 18:12,20,	held 55:5 58:6 62:3 75:8 78:22 79:1 85:12 help 8:19 helpful 28:10	62:1,10,13 76:5 81:9,11 household 54:9,13 55:12 houses 57:2	58:16 59:18 60:10 61:15,16 63:9,13 65:25 67:14 68:16 69:19 70:15 71:1,6, 19 72:6 74:2,24 76:4 78:23 83:11
24 19:14 21:9 23:9 25:18 29:8,16 32:9,	helps 28:9 43:22 her 10:17 18 19 23	Hovnanian 4:8 14:24	I'VE 25:17
10,22 33:8 34:16, 19,25 36:19 40:11	her 10:17,18,19,23 12:17,18,20 13:2,4 18:4 38:3 43:23	Hovnanian's 77:4 Hovsat 11:13 65:5	identification 35:9 47:7
41:2,3 42:3 44:7 47:16 52:19,22 53:11 54:9,12	48:25 49:10 51:5 74:17 80:15	68:6 74:12 75:17 85:6	identified 11:9 13:8 17:21 21:25 23:24 25:15 30:9
55:12,19,25 56:8, 11 59:6 61:12,19, 23 62:8 69:3 73:17, 20,22 75:22 76:11	here 16:2 19:6 25:23 30:3,4 31:8 35:9 40:18 44:3 46:16 56:3 67:24	how 4:4,5 5:14 6:16,24 7:16,21 11:23 13:14 15:15 24:3 31:18 33:12	33:10 34:2 41:22 45:16 51:7 54:19 58:10 60:16
77:14,18,20 79:9 82:4 84:13,18,19, 20 85:3 86:7	70:18 75:9 80:9 higher 67:10 Hilde 14:23 42:21	38:24 39:22 43:3,4 45:4 54:11 56:9 57:1,2 58:6 62:18 73:11 74:8	identifiers 9:14 identifies 22:14 30:2 44:2 59:24
haven't 17:22 having 4:1 21:24 58:11 61:16 80:22	43:9,11,19 59:13 74:10,15 80:13,14 83:16,18	How's 28:7 hundreds 21:19 23:11	64:6 66:6 68:11 identify 10:1 22:22 23:22 27:12 51:1 58:24
he 9:2 10:1 16:25 17:2 30:3,4 31:16, 19 32:16,22 34:9 38:13 39:12,18	his 32:11 41:20 42:15,21 43:17 54:13 58:13 66:1 81:10,12	hypothetically 7:12,13	identifying 30:23 if 9:6 11:6,18,22 20:2 21:9,13,14
41:10 42:15 49:16 50:3,14 51:1,11 53:23 54:5,16 58:12,14,15 59:11	history 4:22 65:24 Hoberman 16:23 holder 33:12	I'D 19:14 20:6 83:5	23:8 28:9 29:18 30:16 32:3 33:7 34:4,18 37:11,13, 15 38:8 43:22 45:9
66:5 68:11 72:2,8, 17,18 73:17,20,23 74:4,5,6,8,25 75:14 81:10	HOLLOBAUGH 4:1	I'LL 20:3 28:6 45:6 72:4 I'M 4:5,6 5:5,10	46:8 47:19 48:6,10 51:5 52:8,9,23 53:3 55:16 56:7 59:4,8 61:18 62:23 66:1

69:9,15,16,22 70:6, 22 71:9,17,23

72:24 75:8,18,19 77:2 78:3,5,8,14, 17,22 79:1,7 80:1, 3,13,20 81:5,10,11, 16 82:1 83:7,8,10 85:3,6 **included** 17:8 22:9 30:7 69:12 including 28:22 30:16 35:19 **income** 30:17 36:4 66:25 67:4,16,18, 21 69:12 85:9 income-producing 76:6,10,17 84:19 incomplete 14:2 **Inconsistencies** 28:13 independent 75:12 indicate 18:14 46:11 indicated 42:20 indicates 43:14

indicated 42:20 indicates 43:14 indicators 23:4 indicias 23:20,22 indirect 64:19 individual 6:8 10:2,13 12:5 25:21,

individual's 78:22 79:1

22 32:7 40:10

individually 19:15 40:12

individuals 14:21 15:3

information 17:20

initial 7:20 instance 6:13 7:12 12:2 18:19 36:16 37:21 42:6 48:7 55:7,16 58:1 62:6, 21 66:16 69:10 75:19

instances 66:16 83:18

instead 8:3 24:7 31:18 53:15

instrument 62:19

insurance 59:2

intended 37:25 38:1

interactions 10:13

interest 48:20

internal 16:4

internally 7:11,16 16:16 17:7

Interrogatories 8:21

interrupts 82:25

into 6:18,23 8:6 24:1 42:19 43:12 47:2,12,20 49:18, 23 53:24 60:24 62:10 73:25 76:12 79:4 81:11 85:1

invalid 44:19

investment 34:5

involved 25:18

IRS 48:25

is 4:7,16 9:2,3,4,9, 18 10:7 11:22 15:6, 7 16:17,25 19:23 20:20 21:6,10,25 22:15,23 23:7,11 24:9,16 25:8,21 26:13,21,22,23 27:4,15,23 28:6 29:18 30:6 32:20, 21 33:19,20 35:4, 10,22,24 36:8,9 37:22 38:17 39:21 40:3 41:22,23,25 44:3,16,17,19,20 46:3 47:24 48:16 49:1,10,14 50:5,11, 13,14,21,22 51:5, 15 52:8,9 53:4,9 54:6 55:1,20 56:4, 16 57:8,17,25 58:6, 14,23 60:6,11,12 61:13,18 62:1 64:9, 24 65:15,21 66:13 67:18 68:19,22,24 69:9,19,20,23,24 70:17,23 71:3,14, 15 72:7,12,13 73:4, 6 74:3 75:19.20 76:6,7,20 77:24 78:21,25 80:5,6,25 81:2,3,7 82:9,11 85:4

isn't 36:4 50:18

issue 24:21 25:8 26:12 49:6 54:17 67:5

issues 9:16 26:25 44:22 47:17

it 4:18,19 6:7,17,18 7:19,22 8:6,10,25 9:7,8,18 10:7 11:6, 16 12:10 14:3,4 15:3,21,23 16:1,10, 11,17,19 17:5,17 18:20,25 19:7,16 20:1,3,7,23 21:13, 14,15 22:2,4,14,19

24:3,4,19,22 25:15, 18 26:6,7,21,22 27:3,23 30:6,13,14, 22 31:8,19,20,21, 23 32:4,16 33:21, 23 34:19 35:3,6,12 36:17 37:1,3,5,10 38:3 39:11 40:10, 18 41:1,5,7,8,9,12, 18 42:19,24 43:2,5, 10,14,22 44:16,23 45:7,10,11,25 46:10,22 47:11,12 48:18,24 50:14,21, 23 51:8,10,20,22 52:18 53:4,6 54:6, 15,19,25 56:6,7,12, 25 57:9,16,17 58:1 60:19 61:11 62:1, 18,22 65:25 66:1, 15 67:16 68:5,17, 19,24,25 69:1,24 70:10,23 71:18,20 72:5 73:6,8 75:20

it's 8:1 12:2,6 15:17 16:5 20:2 23:3 24:23 25:7,17, 20 26:8,18,19 30:14 31:14 35:16 36:4,5 37:20,22 38:1,13 39:15 40:19 44:12,25 45:4,5,12 48:8 49:1,23 50:9,10 52:12 53:1,16,25 54:15 56:5 57:10, 12,19,22 58:2 60:25 61:6,14 63:8 69:2 70:3,6 76:21 78:9,19

76:11,13 78:8,16,

21,25 79:3,6 80:1,

3,19,20,21 81:16

82:11,25 84:11,22

85:16

italics 70:6 71:23

items 26:9 29:9 30:7,8 60:1

its 51:16 53:10 75:22 78:18

itself 17:23 44:19

J

January 40:21 66:3

Jenssen 14:24 43:9 80:13

Jessica 4:1,4 20:1

Josh 82:19

junior 15:8

just 5:3 6:1,18,19, 23 7:11 8:3 14:4 16:9,17,18,19 20:5 22:3 23:6 26:12 27:18 29:6 30:6,7, 10 31:8,18 32:1 35:6 36:5 38:23,24 39:11,12,20 40:17, 24 44:25 49:9 52:16 53:18 54:14 56:4,13 57:23 58:5, 13 59:19 60:16 61:14 63:10 64:11, 25 66:1 71:15,19 75:4 77:20 78:8,9

Justice 29:22

K

80:22 82:4,22 83:1

Karen 10:16 13:24 18:17 26:22 33:23 65:6,8 77:5

Karen's 9:25	largely 7:7 15:3	licenses 5:4	loans 19:4,9 84:6,7,	79:9 82:4
		lien 30:19,20 35:21		makes 16:12 17:5
keep 84:22	larger 14:11,19	40:14 69:14,15	local 80:1	mall 30:19 40:15
kids 31:18 58:15	80:9	like 5:8 6:4 8:3,22	logic 61:18	64:5,10,18 65:4,11,
kind 4:19 5:22	last 46:5 63:18	11:15 20:5 31:18	logs 79:14	22 66:8,22 68:3
6:17,18 7:6,8 8:5	later 8:17 24:2	32:1 41:1,9 43:11 45:12 47:21 57:1	long 5:14 11:4 49:1	69:15 75:3 76:18, 21,24 77:10 78:17
11:5 16:11 29:19	28:19	59:17 67:16 81:22,		85:8,13,24
59:19 68:9 78:8,11 85:23	law 27:13 28:8 49:8	23 82:5,7 83:5	look 16:11 19:3,14 27:4 31:5 33:8	manner 37:20
	lay 78:8	86:8,11	40:11 56:22	
kinds 34:1	layperson 78:9	likely 68:7	looked 18:14 19:9	many 6:24 33:16 57:2
know 6:20 7:23 8:2		limited 10:22	79:17 82:5	
9:6 12:9,16 14:11	lease 82:2	35:19	looking 22:21 26:9	market 46:13
15:15 17:4 20:11 26:18,20 29:11	least 45:8	Linda 15:6,13	32:15 41:11 46:10	49:25 51:16 53:12, 13 54:2 58:23 59:8,
32:19,23 33:7 34:4,	leave 54:19		57:18 81:1	16 61:22 82:2
7,9 39:24 43:18	leaves 63:20	line 48:23	looks 41:1 67:16	matter 4:8 19:16
44:5,21 46:20 48:6 54:11 56:9 67:6	ledger 14:4 20:9,		loss 6:9,10,12,14	21:15 22:17,19
68:4,22 73:11,24	10,11,15	liquid 59:5,9	losses 6:15	24:23 25:3 30:25
74:16 84:4 85:9,14	ledgers 75:25	list 29:9,12 45:1,3		31:15,20,21 37:5, 10,11 56:12 61:12,
knowledge 10:11,	legal 21:5 22:4,23	79:10	lost 11:5	21 70:1
22 16:20 49:10	23:1,14 24:11,21	listed 83:10	lot 6:8 10:25	mattered 31:23
known 72:1,7	25:5,17 26:1,4,24,	listen 20:4	lowering 22:7	
Kunofsky 12:13,	25 27:19 37:17	literally 21:19		matters 38:16
23 22:3,25 23:13	38:4 44:10 46:22 48:24 50:8 51:5	litigation 5:21,23	M	may 8:16 10:2,12
24:10 25:4 27:22	53:7 60:19 62:16	6:23 44:3		30:10 70:5
29:24 38:2 44:9,24	70:17 78:3,4 85:16	little 14:19 20:14	made 48:11 55:17	maybe 32:22 41:9
45:3 46:21 48:22	legally 22:22 25:8	30:16 69:12	56:22 82:7	me 9:6 15:13 20:2,
49:5 50:7 53:6 60:18 63:5 70:14	legitimate 23:8	live 52:10,14 55:12	main 79:20	20 29:6 30:7 38:9
77:15,20,22,24		57:3 60:22,23,24	maintain 75:25	39:17 40:17 45:25
82:18,21 85:15	less 14:14,19	62:9	76:23	46:8 50:11 56:4 60:7 61:16 68:12
86:8,10,12,15	let 9:6 29:6 77:12,	lived 53:15 61:10	maintained 10:24	71:14 77:12,13,14
	13		11:8,12 54:13 72:2,	82:18
L	let's 4:21 55:15	living 46:12 49:24 50:11,15 52:3	9,18 73:11	meals 33:17,25
1 1 10 11 04 5	63:11 68:10	53:17,21 54:1 62:1,	maintaining 11:7,	mean 5:14 6:1 8:8
lack 10:11 84:5	level 17:16 19:17	13 76:14,15 81:9	15	9:9 20:11 24:23
language 49:20	liabilities 18:10	loaded 59:17	majority 83:9	25:15,17 28:5
64:8 70:6 71:24 75:1	liability 16:16	loan 84:10	make 16:13 37:19	29:14 30:22 31:17
/3.1		3	41:9 42:3,5 69:4	38:8 39:17 42:14,

50:7,9,17 53:6,14

21,22 83:4 85:15,

much 4:20 15:15

16:9 37:18 57:21

7:2 13:11.12.15

20 19:20 20:19

35:16,24 37:1,20

38:13,18 40:18

41:7 48:8 49:23

50:10 68:19 70:3,

23 71:1,15 73:13,

14 82:1 83:10

municipality

36:24

15

17 53:18 54:11 60:9 64:21 67:3 68:25 69:18 76:4, 13 82:10 83:6
meaning 14:13 79:24
means 25:15 69:1
meant 50:22
meeting 58:13 71:9
memory 7:3
mentioned 23:6 32:1 77:23 78:1
method 20:2
middle 59:21 74:23
Middletown 40:22
might 9:1,4 13:10 31:21 32:10 41:3 85:2
million 14:13
Mills 28:22 29:3
mind 41:8,15 56:16
minor 17:4
minute 30:11 35:5 56:24
minutes 34:22 77:13
minutes' 63:4
misleading 10:2, 12,15 27:23
misspoke 58:20
mom 81:20
mom's 81:11,12
moment 49:19

64:11 75:4 80:23 money 36:22 42:19,20 43:23 59:6 **monies** 35:19 39:12,23,24 40:8 43:10 44:18 66:7 74:4 83:17 84:25 85:1 **monthly** 77:1,9 **more** 15:7 42:18 59:12 **Morgan** 31:17 72:2,8,25 73:1,16, 18,24 74:9,11,19 79:11,12,14 83:16 Morgans 34:6 **morning** 4:4,5 most 20:5 **mother** 47:4 58:18 motion 8:4 move 74:4

moved 74:14 81:11

movement 72:3,9,

79:4,8 83:14,17

moving 34:21

78:23

19,24 73:2,12 74:3

43:25 65:21 73:14

Mr 4:3 12:13,19,23

13:1 22:3,6,13,25

23:2,13,18 24:10,

15 25:4,13 27:22

28:4 29:24 30:1

38:2,8,10,11,20

9,14 46:21,24

44:9,14,24 45:1,3,

48:22 49:4,5,7,12

N

name 20:24 21:10 51:5 78:23 79:1 81:12 narrative 19:24 **nature** 22:9 55:24 59:3 Navesink 22:10 44:2,4 45:17,22 46:12,19 76:10 78:14 nearest 70:7 necessarily 7:12 13:3

Nedrehangen 60:18 61:5 63:3,5,7 43:9 70:14,20 77:12,15, **need** 27:4 34:23 17,20,22 82:18,20, 52:11,12,15 56:1, 23 57:3 61:25 19 86:6,8,10,12,14, needed 14:1 31:4 32:3 36:13 negative 66:25 67:2,4,6 negatives 66:17 **net** 66:6,14,17,25 **my** 4:14 5:16 6:22 67:4,12,16,17,21 14:10 15:4,24 16:8, never 29:12 52:12, 16 21:16 25:5,7 26:12 **New** 35:20 69:10 29:2,5 31:18 34:11 next 7:15 20:5 49:14 64:3 65:21 74:22 78:23 Nina 9:19 26:22 33:23 77:4

Nina's 9:25 80:18 **no** 5:4 8:11,23 10:15 12:11 13:4 17:5,10,17,22 18:16,21 19:18 21:6 23:7,19 26:11 27:6 29:5,13 32:12, 13 33:15 34:3,24 35:10 37:8 41:4,25 42:7 43:2,21,24 45:9 46:7 52:16 57:14 58:2,4 59:4,5 62:24 63:2,24 67:21 74:12 75:10, 12 77:11,18 78:9 79:6 80:3 81:14,15, 21 84:8 85:22,25 86:3 **nominee** 78:3.7

nominee-type 81:6 non-filing 83:23

84:2

non-financial 13:17 40:6 42:1 68:8 72:15 73:15

non-payment 50:25

non-signatory 73:18

non-trustee 79:4

non-trustees 83:13

normal 78:21,25 79:3 80:5 83:2

none 13:18 17:4

normally 19:12 81:24 83:3

not 6:14 7:12 8:1, 11,12,23 9:18 10:14 11:11 12:6 13:13 14:7,10,21 17:8,10,17 19:7,13, 19 20:2,4 23:16 24:4 29:5 34:3,12 35:19 37:20 38:12 39:20 40:19 41:4 42:2,8 43:2 45:11, 12,20 46:8 47:9 48:8,13 51:2 52:17 54:10 55:11,13,15 56:1,5 57:12,22 58:17 59:18 60:11 61:14 62:7,9,10,24 65:25 67:14,17,20 71:19 72:1,7 73:18, 23 75:9 76:6,10,25 77:11 78:9,19 80:3 81:16 85:22,25

86:4

necessary 19:23

53:17,23,25 54:6,8

note 9:19 obviously 4:7,17 9:5 13:7 14:12 nothing 29:1 33:19 37:19 41:6 **notion** 16:12 54:15 **of** 4:7,9,11,19,21 **now** 7:3 28:21 41:9 5:22 6:5,8,9,10,11, 57:1 68:20 72:7 12,13,14,17,18,25 7:6,8,9,14 8:3,4,5, NRR 30:20 35:21 9,14,17,20 9:5,13, 49:25 50:1 54:1.3 14,18 10:2,7,8,10, 63:12 69:16 11,12,13,16,17,25 **number** 45:16 11:2,5,13,14,15,19 12:16 13:5,16,18, numbered 31:14 25 14:7,19,23 **numbers** 31:15 15:11,14,20,24 59:18 16:8,10,12,25 17:14,19,20,25 0 18:4,5 19:3,4,9,21, 23 20:1,8,10,13,14, 16,23 21:17,19,20 object 22:4 44:24 22:9,15,16 23:11, 45:6 48:22 53:4 20,22,23 24:2,9,18, 70:15 24,25 25:2,8,14 objecting 82:24 26:6,12 27:2,7,13, 19 28:7,8,11,21 **objection** 12:13,23 29:7,9,13,16,19,21, 22:25 23:13 24:10 22 30:4,13,16,18, 25:4 27:22 38:2,3 22 31:2,3,5,6,10, 44:9 46:21 50:7 53:6 60:18 83:1 12,15,18 32:2 33:3, 11,16,19,21,24 85:15 34:1,6,7,11,12,17, objectionable 25 35:3,4,6,12,18, 26:19 21,24 36:15,18 **obligated** 60:4,14, 37:1,24 38:19 39:4, 15 7,13,18,21,24 40:5, 22,24 41:3,7,12,14, obligation 55:19 23 42:24 43:25 58:13 61:6,7,13,24 44:15,21 45:11,18, 85:17 19,23 46:3,4,13,14 obligations 62:23 47:1,20 48:1,3,12, 15,23 49:6,8,10,11, **obtain** 54:7 64:22 16,17,22,25 50:1,2, obtained 46:11 25 51:21 52:13 49:24 50:10,15 53:10 54:1,2,3,4,9

notated 43:8

55:9,17,24 56:15, 21 57:6,7,11,19,20 58:7,8,9,14,18,23, 24 59:1,13,19,21, 25 60:3,8,13 61:1, 4,9,20,22,25 62:3, 15 63:12,17,20,21 64:10,16,17,20,23, 24,25 65:1,2,12,17, 23,24 66:1,3,4,6,7, 9,12,13,14,15,21, 24 67:10,19 68:8,9, 10,20,21 69:2,9,11, 20,21 70:1,7,9,12, 18,21,24 71:1,3,12, 13,16,24 72:3,4,9, 10,14,19,20,24 73:1,2,5,8,12,13,14 74:3,4,6,11,20,23, 25 75:3,11,13,15, 25 76:17 77:2,24 78:8,11 79:5,8,11, 13,22,23 80:12,25 81:3,19 82:8,14,20, 22 83:2,7,9,10,14, 17,21,23,24 84:1,2, 5,9,16,21 85:3,23 86:11 off 34:21 45:8 **offhand** 8:2 77:11 **office** 6:25 7:5 64:17 65:1

offices 7:7 80:2

oh 58:1

okay 4:16 5:7 8:12 9:3,12 14:3 16:12 17:12 18:19 19:6, 16 21:14 27:2,4 28:11 29:10 30:12 31:7 33:18 35:8 39:11 40:7 41:10 43:10 47:7 49:4,21

53:15 56:25 58:8 59:11 61:21 64:13 66:4,12 67:11 74:8 75:6,18,24 77:15 78:11 80:11 84:17 85:7 86:6

old 57:2

on 4:14 6:7 7:21,24 9:16 10:6 13:16 15:2,11 17:25 18:3 19:24 22:15 24:17 25:2 28:11 29:14 30:9 33:15 34:25 35:3,12,21 37:14 38:4 39:13 40:10, 13,21 42:23 43:25 49:7 51:2 58:18 59:20 62:18 63:8, 14 64:8 67:1 68:12, 14,17 70:3,6 71:1, 15,22 72:1,8,14,23 73:13,18,20 74:3, 22 77:1,17,18,24 78:23 79:25 80:12 82:7,13 83:15 84:7, 9.11

once 7:17 76:11

one 4:14 5:12 12:3. 7 15:24 16:8 25:21 40:17 43:7 45:5,7,8 47:12 48:8 53:20 60:21 70:17 74:9 77:23 78:1 83:15

ones 4:15 18:17 33:13 34:14 55:4 56:14 62:2 72:17 79:21

ongoing 30:17 33:16 69:12

only 4:18 6:14 13:25 35:10 56:5 78:14,18,19

onto 10:10 open 29:9 operations 33:16 **opine** 23:16,19 25:24 27:1,17 38:4

opining 70:25 71:1 **opinion** 12:22 13:3 30:14.21 35:16.22

37:22,23 38:13,15, 17 49:24 50:10 53:17,25 57:21 68:19 69:18,21,24 70:3,12,17,23 71:5, 11,12,15 72:12,13, 14,23 73:13,17,20, 22 74:25 80:20

opinions 20:20 38:18,25 80:22 82:4,10,13

or 4:22 5:2,8 6:9, 17,25 7:5,14,15,21 8:10,14,21 9:4 10:18 11:8,25 14:8, 13,20,21 15:21 16:13,17 17:8,19 18:16 22:14,20 23:16 25:21,22 27:5,24 28:7,8 29:19 32:4 34:5,6, 22 36:4,16 38:4 43:4,5 44:18,20 48:1 50:5,12 52:17 53:8,18 54:7,10 55:13,14 56:8,22 57:6,20 59:12,15, 24 60:24 62:1 63:1 64:22 66:1,7,16 67:19 68:3 69:12, 25 70:12,25 71:19 72:12,13 74:6 77:1 78:2,7,8 79:4 80:5, 6 81:24 82:8,10

83:2,24 84:6,11,15,	overlay 6:25 11:10	36:24 39:2,5 40:21	51:11,13,14 52:11,	21:24 22:8 30:16,
25 85:4,7,21 86:13	owed 43:23	41:2,14 43:11	12,15,16,19,20,22	18,23,24 31:9,16
order 13:9 14:1		54:16 58:11,18	53:2 55:19 58:14,	32:22 33:3,11,13
27:4 31:4 59:9	own 20:10 56:9	59:9,11,13 65:10	17 59:10 60:4,14,	36:18 37:7,12,13
	75:22	67:17,20,22 74:11	15 61:1,9,25 81:25	39:4 69:11,13
organized 46:11	owned 14:20 46:20	81:19 83:19	83:22 84:21	78:22,25 82:8,9
original 15:10	65:25	paragraph 9:17,	paying 22:15 24:17	83:11,12
		20 28:14,15,19,20	32:2,4 50:16 51:2	personally 24:8,17
other 4:15 5:4,7	owner 81:2,7	30:5 35:1 45:23	53:20,22	25:2 40:8
6:14 11:2 12:17	owners 28:21	46:2,6 49:13,14,15		
13:16 15:3 16:8		63:13,17 64:3,7,12,	payment 35:20	persons 33:22
17:4 18:16 19:3	ownership 39:7	14 65:21 68:14	42:7 46:13 49:25	perspective 24:14
21:23 32:24,25	46:14 47:1 50:1	74:23 75:5	60:3 61:22 64:17	
34:4,5,9 35:18	54:2 58:23,25		65:1 72:3,10,19	phone 79:14
38:18 40:6 46:14	owning 59:1	paragraphs 71:23	payments 22:9	picture 10:2,12,15
48:16 50:2,3 54:4	owns 44:7 61:12	parent 54:12 61:8	23:24 32:25 78:13	71:8
56:11 57:2 59:5	OWIIS 44:7 01:12	-	83:20	
60:1 65:5,12,19		parent's 61:6,7		piece 5:25 67:13
70:9 77:14 78:14	P	parental 58:13	payor 33:12	pieces 82:22
79:8,11,20 83:8,17		-	pays 31:16,19	place 8:15 32:16
84:1,9 85:7	p.m. 77:17 86:17	parents 52:13,18,		36:20 62:9
others 34:12 79:13	Pachava 18:13	20 53:16 55:19,25	PDF 4:14	
otherwise 37:16	31:25 32:2 36:11	56:6,7,8,11 58:2	PDFS 86:13	places 4:13
otherwise 37.10	37:2 38:19 39:2	60:4,5,14,21,25 61:12,18,19,23	penalties 48:20	play 24:3
our 7:24 16:25	44:1,13 45:16,22	62:14 66:1 79:23,	-	
23:23 24:1 36:9	47:2,6 51:18,25	24 81:24	people 6:24 7:9	plays 24:1
39:6 41:25 46:9,10	55:9 63:13 72:1,8,		9:14 20:6	please 28:3
68:24 69:4 72:14,	25 73:18,24 78:15	parents' 53:1	perceived 55:14	mlma 20.24
23	79:8 81:22	Paris 55:16	-	plug 38:24
out 11:20,24 14:4			percent 53:12	point 10:9 30:22
19:22 20:21 32:5,	page 9:10,15,17	parsing 52:7	perform 14:8	37:24 59:23,25
25 36:3,23 40:22	10:7,10 17:25 18:3	part 9:18 37:1 41:7	24:20	74:9 77:23 78:1
41:14 45:2 52:7	28:11 30:2,9 34:25	57:20 64:24 80:13,	performed 18:8	pointed 18:17
63:20 66:7 72:24	35:3,6,7 43:25	25 81:3 82:14	25:12,25 35:25	-
74:4,6,11,14 79:4	45:21 49:17,18,22		41:25	pointing 53:23
81:1 84:21	53:24 54:6 59:21,	particular 12:2		points 59:25
	23 63:11,14 64:4,8	47:25 82:7	performing 27:2	-
outlined 15:25	66:6,13 68:10,14	particularly 83:7	31:12 65:13	portion 15:20
34:11,13	70:6,7 71:22 74:22,	partner 16:21	period 18:11	65:17,18 66:9
outlining 73:2	23	-	-	76:17
	pages 21:20 23:11	partners 16:8	periods 34:17,20	positive 67:18
outside 5:3 19:4	40:13 58:9	party 53:13	person 36:24 47:23	-
34:11 57:8			55:23	positives 66:17
overall 7:5,6 16:10	paid 23:25 24:7	pay 24:4 32:7		post 33:21
	25:2 30:23 35:13	34:10 39:12 47:11	personal 20:25	
	1	1	1	1

potential 25:8 80:6
potentially 19:25 21:24 25:5 44:17 51:9
practice 5:19 7:1 8:5 16:18,20
practitioner 6:20
preconceived 16:12
preparation 29:7
prepare 13:9 26:7 29:9
prepared 4:9 16:5
preparing 8:9,20 26:6
presented 68:18 71:17
preserve 45:4 83:1
presumes 27:23
presuppose 28:24 47:24
presupposes 71:18,20
primarily 20:25 64:19 76:19
primary 56:15
printed 4:14
printouts 13:6
prior 18:11 38:22 47:1 70:15
privilege 29:18
probably 15:17 39:15 79:19
procedure 7:13 16:17

procedures 75:7 proceed 7:17 **proceeds** 42:13,14, 25 63:19 70:10 71:25 80:12 **process** 8:4,13,20 11:14 15:2 16:4,7, 15 30:4 54:24 processes 10:23 product 20:18 25:6 38:4 profession 68:23, 24 70:23 professional 68:20,21 69:2,9 70:24 71:13 professionally 10:17 41:5 57:16 **proper** 11:16 properly 18:10 properties 22:11 39:16,19 property 28:23 29:4 41:1 44:4.5.8 46:15,19,20 47:1, 20 50:3.16 51:6 54:4 58:12 59:12, 15 60:2,13 63:22 65:4,23,24 66:22 67:19,23 75:8,11, 14 76:10,18,19,22, 24 77:10 78:12,14 79:23 81:11,16,23 85:8 **protect** 84:22 **prove** 10:9 **provide** 38:4 45:18 55:25 56:1 58:3,15

60:5,14 61:24 provided 15:12 33:22 58:9 79:14 provides 18:3 Public 5:5 **pull** 33:21 45:1 **pulled** 58:9 81:23 purchase 56:9 purpose 20:11 57:24 75:24 purposes 16:16 18:5 20:25 55:2.22 56:12,20 57:9,10, 13.25 61:21 85:10 pushing 57:21 **put** 41:13 Q **question** 11:4,12, 22 20:5,17 27:11, 22 28:3 29:25 37:17 41:8,12 44:22 45:6 46:1 47:10 48:23 53:3 58:16 59:17 60:6 61:15 67:15 70:5, 14,15 71:7 questioning 8:20 48:23 questions 20:4 29:8,9,13,15,17,22 77:14,18,21 Quickbooks 10:24 11:8 12:1,6 13:6,18 84:15 **quiet** 34:24

quotes 9:3 30:3 49:16 58:10,11 59:24 64:6 68:17 75:1 R **D** 43:8 raise 36:25 37:3 41:8 **raised** 41:12 7 30:2 44:2,21 64:5 77:13 Randall's 4:12 18:1 28:12 34:25 66:4,10 68:10 74:24 rather 9:1 11:4 20:6 30:5 45:25 82:24 85:2 reach 31:22 79:4 reached 43:16 reaching 80:15 read 12:20 14:23, 77:4 86:8

reads 62:19 quote 9:18 35:5 72:17 ready 9:6,8 **quoted** 30:8 49:20 real 35:21 36:12 64:8,12 70:6 71:24 39:1,5,8 47:19 50:2 54:3 56:25 58:20 59:1,12,25 61:3 67:7.13.18.23.25 74:10 81:23 quoting 30:4 60:17 **really** 12:6 16:9 **reason** 27:16 38:11,16 41:16,17, 19 47:8 69:18 R-O-G-A-L-A-Nreasonable 68:20, 21 69:8 70:24 71:13 rebuttal 6:10 60:17 **recall** 8:5,16,18 **Randall** 9:24 18:2, 33:9 43:20,21,22, 23,24 51:23 62:22 49:13 59:24 63:19 68:4 72:21 77:8 receive 51:15 54:21 9:2,22 17:14,20,23 received 42:15 43:25 44:15 58:9 79:12 59:22 60:17 63:10 receiving 56:14 62:3 recess 63:6 77:16 recollection 14:10 29:5 reconcile 14:8,9 reconciliations 14:8 reconstruct 13:20 20:9 25 30:6 64:11 75:4 reconstruction 15:11 **reading** 35:4 44:16

record 63:8 77:18
recorded 80:1
recording 80:1,4
records 13:7,17 15:11 18:21 73:9 76:3,24,25 84:15
red 36:25 37:3 80:7,10 83:6,8 84:17
referencing 72:6 74:24
referral 7:19
referring 85:5
reflect 47:1 51:20
reflected 22:16 67:1
regard 21:2,3 22:19 26:16 44:6
regardless 20:23 54:9 61:13 72:4,10, 20
relate 76:18
related 14:21 22:10 34:1 64:15, 22 75:15 77:9
relating 61:2
relative 11:9 15:9 23:25 41:13 42:21 65:11
relevant 9:10 69:4
relied 80:12
rely 49:7
remember 80:13,
remote 7:7

i
rent 46:13 49:25 50:16,25 51:2,11, 13,14,16 52:11,12, 15,17,19,20 53:2 54:2 58:23 59:8,16 61:25 63:20 64:17 65:1 81:19,25 82:2
rental 60:13 61:22 75:13
rented 53:12
renter 59:5
rents 65:10
repeat 28:2
repeating 63:18
rephrase 45:7
report 4:8,11,12 8:9,25 9:2,22 13:5, 9,11 15:20 16:22 17:2,9,15,21,23,25 18:1 21:21 24:2,23 27:8,9,13,20 28:5, 12 29:2,7,10 30:5, 10 31:22 34:12,14, 25 35:5 40:18 44:1, 16 45:7,8,18,24 46:4,5,10 58:1,10 59:22 60:17 63:1, 10,19 64:4,12 66:5, 6,9,10,14 68:11,19 75:1,11 81:25 83:10
reported 85:9
REPORTER 86:10
reporting 55:22 56:12,20 57:4 58:5 85:8
reports 12:17 13:11,12,13,18

16:17 20:13 40:2

83:25 58:19 representatives 73:16 **reprint** 35:3 59:22 reproduced 66:10 requested 17:18 **required** 58:3,14, 17 requirements 62:19 residence 76:14 81:23 residents 76:15 respect 12:25 13:2, 4 18:13,15 20:19, 22 23:23 36:10 37:18 38:18 42:7 46:1 49:10 62:25 83:2 respectfully 30:22 31:17 respond 17:15 responded 74:12 response 18:12 55:18 responsibilities 53:2 responsibility 52:13 53:5 responsible 60:12 responsive 4:12 44:1 result 17:19,20 69:21 **return** 37:14 47:22 48:4,6,11 54:24

returns 6:21 18:15,16 19:5 21:11,12 23:7,9 37:8 75:10 83:24 84:3 85:17,20 reveal 20:12,17 21:23 revealed 20:23 22:2 reveals 70:1 revenue 14:14 77:9 85:5 revenues 75:13 76:1 84:21 **review** 13:16 15:25 16:4,10,16 25:9 30:8,10 40:5 46:25 49:19 68:8 72:14, 24,25 73:8,13,14 79:14 80:15,19 reviewed 8:24 9:22 13:9 16:21 17:17 34:14 58:9 62:21 72:16 79:10 80:21 84:2 revocation 28:25 **reword** 39:15 Richard 4:25 **right** 14:4 19:12 20:9 22:23 32:20, 21 33:23 41:12 50:24 51:9 61:22 62:1 67:17 71:10 76:10 79:10 82:5 85:2 **River** 22:10 44:2.4 45:22 46:12

Road 22:10,11 28:23 29:3 44:2,4 45:17,22 46:12,19 Rogaland 43:7 **roles** 15:9 roughly 8:6 **running** 49:18,23 **runover** 63:13 runs 26:20 35:6 S S-P-A-R-E-B-A-**N-K-E-N** 43:7 **said** 43:17 51:8 52:16 61:23 64:25 71:15 72:17 74:12 77:8 79:9 80:12 **same** 20:16 25:21 28:11,19 46:6 49:13 55:18,20 62:14 64:4 70:5,11, 13 71:22 78:11 79:25 80:18 **Sandy** 15:7,10 **sat** 65:2,6,15 satisfaction 30:18 69:14 saw 11:12 **say** 5:3 14:13 15:17 16:12 26:8 27:4 31:18,19 34:1 35:15 37:20 38:11, 13 41:10 43:20 50:5,21 53:16,25 55:15,24 56:23,25 57:1 58:1 61:21 62:12 63:19 67:2 69:17 70:22 74:2

56:2,23 57:5,25

76.4.92.6.10.92.25	46.5 16 62.17	a h o!a 40.2	10.22.22.14.22.2.7	governog 19.5 20.14
76:4 82:6,10 83:25 84:14	46:5,16 63:17 64:15,24 65:3	she's 49:2	19,22 22:14 23:3,7 25:11 27:13 29:18	sources 18:5 20:14 21:17,20 27:6 31:6
	71:24 72:6	shelter 55:21 58:15	31:4,15,19,20 32:3	35:24 66:7 67:24
saying 18:2 27:14,		60:5,14 61:1,24	33:15,16,23 34:24	77:2
18,20 28:6 34:8	separate 78:3	should 11:23 12:5	35:15,10,23 34.24 35:15 36:3,22	
37:21 38:12 43:23	84:22	17:8 23:9 39:15	37:15,19 38:22	sourcing 40:3
51:4 53:4 60:11	September 66:15	62:2 75:22 76:11	39:4 41:1 43:3,10	Soviet 71:8
62:15 68:17 76:9	sequence 38:21	84:19,20	46:7 49:1,13 50:20,	space 64:17,23
says 9:3 18:7 29:10		showed 31:7	25 51:1,11 52:6,14,	65:1,14,17 71:9
30:14 31:8 44:21	series 9:13,14 13:5		18 53:11,16,21,22	
45:25 46:10 54:18	48:1	shows 24:4	54:18,20,25 56:1,	spaced 7:7
62:22	services 17:1	side 6:1 77:19 84:9	16 57:12,14,21	spaceships 71:10
scenario 59:4,11	set 10:18 13:2,4	sign 86:9	58:15 59:11,19	Sparebanken 43:6
schedules 40:1	27:8		60:6 61:6,11,24	_
		signatory 35:10	62:12 63:2 64:24	speak 14:21 17:12
scope 41:23 57:8	settlement 42:22	72:1,8	65:6,9,14,17 67:8	speaking 51:24
73:5	several 25:18 81:6	significance 36:8	69:3,22,24 71:3 72:6 74:2,9,19	79:18,19
screen 4:15	Shant 14:24 20:25	significant 83:20	75:10,18 76:17	specific 8:3 26:9
second 40:17	21:24 22:14 26:21		80:8,9 81:14 84:10,	42:18 76:20,21
45:22,24 49:15	30:17,23 31:9 32:4,	silly 4:19	24	
64:14,16,23,25	7 33:4 34:4 35:10,	similar 36:9		specifically 4:8
65:2,14 71:23	17 36:18 38:14	similarly 60:15	some 4:21 8:17	28:9
•	39:4,6 40:8 41:19	61:7 71:22	14:6 27:25 33:24	speculate 12:10
seconds 82:19	43:23 44:17 46:11		38:16 43:16 49:6 65:17,18 82:3	42:2 73:23
section 63:18	49:24 50:10 51:13	simplify 59:19		speculation 32:18,
see 9:20 11:10	52:7 53:17,18,20,	since 5:16 9:2	somebody 4:18	20 36:21 41:18,23
19:10 28:16 32:24	21,25 54:6,8,12	single 10:24 12:1	11:18 13:22 22:15	42:1
39:5 51:17 56:22	58:11 63:12 64:15,		24:17 33:20 47:11,	speed-driven
63:10,15 66:9,24	20,22 69:13 70:8	situation 56:4,13	19 85:17	40:19
67:11 77:14 80:6,8	72:1,7 73:11,15	situations 60:25	somehow 44:19	
83:8 85:20,23	74:10,11 81:9,15	size 14:19	something 16:18	Speedus 20:22
seemed 4:19	83:16,21		21:6 80:5	26:21 30:3,15 31:2,
	Shant's 42:12 47:4	skill 10:18 13:2,4		5,16,19 32:2,4,6,17
seems 9:24 24:20	52:2 65:25 79:24	small 14:12,13	Sometimes 42:4	33:1 35:20 36:10,
43:11	share 86:14	80:8	sorry 8:7 10:6 11:4	18,23 38:22 39:23 40:24 42:11,12,19
send 86:13	shareholder 5:22	so 4:6,11,13,16,19,	38:2 67:14 70:19	40:24 42:11,12,19 43:11,12 65:8 68:2
senior 15:6		24 5:16,21,24 7:2,	71:6 81:10 83:11	69:10 84:11 85:3,
	she 10:21,24 11:6,	8,11 9:4,18 10:16	sort 49:6	20
sense 16:13 36:19	7,12 12:8,10,12	11:6,22 12:4,6		
41:3 78:3,8	14:1,6,7,9 15:6	13:7,22 14:2,3,20	sound 17:5	spent 15:15
sent 74:19	43:20,23 49:3 51:5	16:11,19 17:11	source 19:20	spring 8:9
sentence 10:6 17:5	65:8,9,10,12,14	18:19,22 19:8,11,	36:14,15 39:24	stab 15:24
28:18 45:15,22	83:19,22,23	18,24,25 20:2,8,16,		13.27
	l 	I	1	1

staff 13:15 15:24
standard 63:9 68:22 71:12
standards 69:6
standpoint 5:25
24:22 48:17,18
Stanley 72:2,8,25 73:1,16,19,25 74:9, 11,19 79:11,12,15 83:16
start 9:9 45:8 57:1 72:5
started 6:19
starting 6:1
starts 71:24
state 65:19
statement 46:3 49:8 63:23 68:6,7 69:20 70:12 82:12
statements 6:21 13:16 15:13 18:16 19:5 28:7,8 34:16, 20 40:4,5 42:23 68:1,2,3 72:15
states 4:7 28:20 29:21 66:5 68:15 77:25
stating 70:25
stay 40:13
Staying 28:11
step 7:15
steps 18:4,8
still 61:25 62:9
Stockton 4:25
straight 14:4

Ü
strangers 14:20
stuck 74:2
stuff 22:23,24 23:3
style 37:21
sub-bullet 59:25
subject 11:2 42:15 55:9
subpoenaed 73:1
subsequent 38:25 68:6
subsequently 74:14
substantive 17:6
subsumed 71:4,5
such 33:17 43:19 44:18 82:12 83:11, 13
suffered 67:9
sufficient 69:4
suggest 9:24
suggesting 46:17
suggests 10:1 18:7
summary 30:4 44:16
support 5:21 37:25 38:1,18
supporting 25:1
supports 26:3

39:11,12 40:7,9

supposed 55:4

sure 11:11 14:22

19:13 37:19 39:1

59:18 65:25 79:9

82:4

surrounding 4:10 54:18 64:1 sworn 4:2 synopsis 45:19 \mathbf{T} take 15:24 16:9 30:11 35:5 38:21 40:19 45:24 49:19 55:15 63:4 64:11 75:4 77:12 taken 18:4 67:25 **talk** 9:7 52:6 58:11 77:13 **talked** 37:8 54:23 56:14 58:5 **talking** 13:19 19:14 38:6 40:14 50:24 57:5 65:15 76:8 78:6 82:6 83:16 84:14 tax 6:12,14,21 18:14,16 19:5 21:11,12 23:7,9 30:19,20 35:21 37:8,14 40:14 41:2 47:17,22 48:4,6,11, 12,13,15,17 54:24 55:2,22 56:23 57:5, 9,10,13,25 58:4,18 67:13,25 69:14,15 75:10 83:24 84:2 85:7,10,20 taxes 36:12 39:1,5, 8 40:23 41:13 46:14 50:2 54:3 58:15,17,21 59:2, 12 60:1 61:3 67:7, 17,19,22,23 74:10

team 7:16,24 tech 4:18 technical 27:25 **tell** 32:11 39:17 45:24 46:8 50:5 tenure 9:25 10:1 74:17 **term** 22:23 25:17 27:24,25 36:7 43:8 50:19 78:2.5.10 terms 25:20 46:17 63:1 test 7:2 **testified** 4:2 13:25 43:19 59:13 65:8 80:14 testifying 49:2 **testimony** 4:6 10:9 12:18,20 14:23 33:15,19,21,22 49:8 77:5,6 80:15, 18 **than** 9:1 12:17 14:19 17:4 18:17 20:15 21:23 30:6 32:25 45:25 47:25 56:17 78:14 82:24 **Thank** 40:20 Thanks 22:6 **that** 4:8,9,15 5:2,9, 12,23 6:13,16,25 7:1,5 8:5,14,22 9:5, 16,18,19,20,24 10:4,14,24 11:1,3, 6,10,12,14,15,19 12:7,8,12,17,22 13:8,11,12,19,25 14:1,18,20 15:12 16:2,7,8,15,16,18,

21 17:15,25 18:7,8, 12,14,17,19 19:6, 10,25 20:3,6,12,16, 17,20,23 21:1,4,6, 8,10,17,23 22:1,14, 17 23:4,6,13,24,25 24:1,2,4,7,9,19,24 25:1,3,8,12,18,23 26:2,14 27:9,10,15, 18,21,23 28:7,9,10, 16,19,20,24 29:10, 11,24 30:8,9,14,21, 22,23,25 31:6,7,8, 15,21 32:3,6,10,15, 18 33:18,20,24 34:1,8,14,17 35:6, 7,10,11,17,23,25 36:7,8,20,21,25 37:8,10,15,19,21, 22,24,25 38:13,17, 24 39:5,6,11,12,21, 25 40:3,7,9,15,23 41:1,3,10,11,16,20 42:11,15,18,19,23 43:3,4,10,17,18,23, 24 44:2,5,8,16,17, 19,20,22 45:13,19, 23 46:2,3,5,10,11, 17,20,25 47:1,8,14, 19,21 48:8,20,23 49:2,10,13,19,24 50:5,10,11,22 51:5, 8 53:8,11,17,20,25 54:6,7,8,10,11,15, 16,19 55:4,8,9 56:3,4,13,16 57:3, 17,18,19,24 58:5,8, 10,13 59:2,13,15, 17,19,21 60:11,16, 22,23 61:1,2,8,9, 11,13,19,21,23 62:5,12 63:14,23, 25 64:6,12,14 65:4, 12,14,15,17 66:5,6, 9,20,25 67:1,4,5,

10,17,18,24 68:12, 22 69:1,9,10,20,24 70:1,21,23 71:18, 19,20,22,24 72:6, 12,13,16,17,23 73:1,4,11,24 74:2, 8,17,23,25 75:5,19 76:5,6,7,13,25 78:18 80:1,5,6,9,15 81:3,22 82:8,9,14 83:5,15,19 84:20, 22 85:1,4,12

that's 5:24 7:8 8:10 9:4,15 12:4 21:12 23:4 24:21 25:11,15 26:4,12 32:20 37:17 41:13 45:3 47:22 48:18 49:11 55:5 57:21 59:20 60:9 62:3 63:5,13 64:12 65:1 69:17 70:11 71:16 72:14,21 73:13 82:14 84:17 86:6, 13,15

the 4:7,8,9,15,18,19 5:15,25 6:1,2,8,12, 23 7:3,13,15,19,21, 24 8:4,8,9,11,13, 14,17,20,25 9:10, 17,18,24 10:2,3,7, 8,10,12,13,25 11:1, 4,9,12,13,17,19 12:16,17,25 13:6, 10,18,19,23,24,25 14:23,25 15:10,11, 12,13,16,19,20,25 16:9,25 17:2,3,9, 14,23 18:1,5,8,9, 10,13,14,15,17,20 19:9,17,21,22 20:1, 5,8,14,16,17,21,23 21:11,16,20,25 22:2,4,7,10,15,16,

22 23:10,15,25 24:2,4,9,13,18,21, 23 25:2,7,10,12,21 26:6,12,13,17,18, 19,23,24,25 27:2,3, 6,8,9,13,20,22 28:2,5,11,12,14,18, 19,20,21,22 29:3,7, 8,9,14,21,22 30:2, 4,8,9,13,14,19,22 31:2,3,4,5,6,8,10, 11,12,14,21,22,25 32:2,4,6,12,15,16, 17,21 33:1,8,11,12, 15,17,19,21,24,25 34:6,7,11,13,14,17, 22 35:1,2,3,6,10, 12,15,16,17,20,22, 24 36:4,11,13,14, 15,18 37:1,4,11,16, 20,23,24 38:3,5,6, 11,13,14,15,17,19, 21,22,23 39:1,2,5, 6,7,9,13,15,16,18, 20,21,23,24 40:2,4, 5,7,14,15,22,24 41:1,2,12,14,16,19, 21,22,23 42:9,19, 23,24 43:6,8,11,12 44:1,12,13,17,19, 21 45:1,2,3,6,9,16, 17,18,21,23,24 46:4,5,11,13,14,15, 16,19,20,21 47:1,2, 3,6,8,12,20,23,24, 25 48:12,13,17,24, 25 49:8,14,15,17, 22,25 50:1,2,3,9, 12,14,15,24 51:2,4, 6,7,10,15,17,18,20, 21,25 52:3,7,9,10, 13,14,16,18,20,22, 23 53:1,3,7,9,10, 15,18,20,21,22,24 54:1,2,3,4,8,15,16,

18,19,20,25 55:1,3, 4,5,8,9,11,16,17, 18,19,20,24 56:4,5, 7,8,11,14,15,21,22 57:2,4,6,7,8,10,11, 17,18,20,25 58:4,6, 7,8,12,14,15,17,18, 23,24 59:1,4,5,6, 14,18,21,24 60:3,7, 8,11,12,13,18,21, 23,24,25 61:1,3,4, 7,9,12,13,18,19,20, 23,24,25 62:1,2,3, 8,10,12,13,15,18, 19,21 63:1,8,13,17, 18,19,25 64:3,5,7, 9,10,14,16,17,18, 19,22,24,25 65:2,3, 7,9,10,11,12,21,23 66:2,4,6,7,9,12,13, 14,21,25 67:7,8,9, 12,16,17,18,19,21, 23,24 68:1,2,3,5,6, 7,13,14,15,17,21 69:1,9,10,15,18,19, 21,22 70:5,6,7,8, 15,16 71:1,3,8,9, 10,11,12,16,17,23, 24 72:1,2,3,8,9,15, 18,24 73:2,5,12,14, 16,18,23 74:3,4,6, 11,19,22,23,24,25 75:2,3,8,11,13,14, 18,25 76:1,2,5,7,9, 17,18,21,24 77:2,8, 9,18,23,24 78:2,5, 11,12,13,14,15,17, 19,23 79:7,8,10,11, 13,14,17,20,22,23, 25 80:1,4,9,11,12, 20,21,25 81:2,7,9, 11,15,16,22,23,24 82:8,11,14,25 83:2, 9,10,13,15,22,23,

10,21,22,24,25 85:1,2,3,5,6,8,9,11, 12,13,15 86:11,16 **their** 10:9,11 12:5 15:9 26:1 42:21 52:19 53:4,16 56:9, 10 58:2 60:6,15 61:9 62:14 63:21 84:11 **them** 4:13 5:16 6:8, 19 11:15,20 14:7,8 19:15 27:14 28:9 40:11 45:4 46:10 55:13 61:25 74:20 83:9,22 86:1,13 themselves 23:10 37:5 79:13 **then** 4:9,12,14,16 5:1,14,18 6:24 7:11,15,23 9:13,15 10:9,23,25 14:4 15:13,19,25 18:7 21:1 23:9 26:9 28:18 30:13 34:21 35:2,3 36:3,23 37:15 38:22 40:3 43:16 45:21,24 46:8 47:16 48:3,19 49:16,17,22 51:11 54:23 55:3,7 57:1 58:12 62:12 63:11, 19 64:3,8 65:2 68:6,7 71:4,25 74:15,25 79:22 81:9 82:12 83:5 **theory** 26:3 44:17 58:14 there 7:23 9:16 11:22 14:7 17:7,10 18:15,21 21:3,7,19, 25 22:23,24 23:4,5, 7,11,12,20,24 24,25 84:1,2,5,7,9,

24:16,20 25:8 27:15,19,21 28:6 29:12,15,16,18 30:8 31:1 32:1 33:6,9,15 36:8,11 41:16,23 43:10 44:3 47:7 48:14,16, 19,21 52:8,9,14 53:16,18 55:12 57:3 59:8,9 62:25 63:12 64:21 66:14, 16,17 68:12,16 69:23 70:10 72:4, 11,20 75:10,11 76:3,14,15 78:19, 21 79:19 80:3 81:14,19,21 82:1,3 83:10,12,18 84:7,8, 9,10 85:7

there's 5:4 21:13 23:16 24:24,25 26:14 27:23 29:1 35:2,9,10 37:8 47:22 48:3 52:17 54:17 56:3 58:4,22 63:11 66:5 67:5,16, 21

thereafter 51:12 64:9 75:2

Therefore 10:8

these 4:20 7:9 23:8 24:1 25:1,9 30:7 34:2 39:12,13 59:6, 25 60:7,16 61:2 65:19 73:9

they 4:18 11:23 13:14,15,25 14:2, 18 15:5 16:11 21:14 22:9 23:9 24:7 27:21 31:4 33:3,5 34:3 37:23 43:11,12,17 51:23 52:5,11,15,22,24

54:20 55:25 56:8, 24,25 57:3 59:13 60:3,5,15,22 61:25 62:5,23 69:25 70:1 76:13,15 77:8 83:19 84:13 85:2 86:2,4,14 **they're** 6:7 13:12 20:14 22:16 27:15 30:23 40:1 56:10. 18 61:8 62:13 71:19 **thing** 6:13 8:5 26:8 57:19 **things** 4:21 6:11 8:22 33:17 34:1 37:20 39:22 59:2, 14 65:5 68:9 69:24 82:7 83:11,13 **think** 7:3 8:25 9:9, 16 10:16,17 11:14, 16 12:8,12 13:10 14:6 16:19 18:13

think 7:3 8:25 9:9, 16 10:16,17 11:14, 16 12:8,12 13:10 14:6 16:19 18:13 23:23 26:2,4,14,24 27:9 32:15,16 37:17 41:15,16,18 46:7 48:18 53:23 54:17 55:11,20 56:13,18 57:7 58:22 62:5,8,18 71:14 76:20 82:21 83:9

third 28:18 53:13 59:24

this 7:12,25 8:9
9:3,4,13 11:2 12:2
15:2 16:12,13,22
17:5 18:1,3 19:23
20:1,2,8,11 21:11
24:21 26:21,23
27:4 28:25 29:10,
11 30:5,24 31:16

33:19,20,22 35:4, 13 36:9,16 37:21 42:5,7 44:3,4 48:7, 23 49:5 51:7 55:7, 16 57:24 58:1 59:11 60:1 62:5,7, 9,21 64:23 65:23, 24 68:19 70:14,21 71:12,15 75:19 76:7,18,20 77:19, 24 78:6 79:10 82:5 83:7,8

those 6:5,11 13:8 15:14 19:11 20:23 22:8 26:24 29:22 32:7 33:18 34:1,17, 20 37:13 38:24 39:2,7 58:11 59:10 60:3,4 61:6 62:23 67:6 68:9 69:24 71:18 73:12 74:13 77:2 78:3 79:17,20 80:22 82:13 85:8

though 40:20 thought 10:21 58:5 thoughts 32:9,11, 13

three 7:7 11:25 52:2

thousand 40:15

through 4:21 5:2, 23 6:22 7:6 8:24,25 9:2 13:20 21:25 22:8 23:25 28:9 30:24 31:13,16,19 32:14 33:1,8 35:6, 13 36:13,17,23 39:2,13 41:2,11 50:3 54:4 56:21 57:24 62:7 63:9,10 66:15 83:22 throughout 40:2 thumbing 63:10

tie 18:20,25

time 7:4 15:15 20:1 32:12 40:19 61:17 63:9 80:21

title 35:1,2 47:3 64:10 75:3

titled 44:12 81:16

to 4:17,20 5:3 6:6 7:2,13,22,24 8:24, 25 9:2,6,15,24 10:9 11:9,16,20 12:4,6, 10,25 13:2,4,5,6,9, 11 14:1,10,20 15:16 16:9,20 17:2, 11,15,23 18:8,12, 13,15,17,20,25 19:11,14,22,24,25 20:4,6,7,12,17,19, 22 21:5,15,16 22:1, 3,4,10,11 23:23,25 24:20 25:9,10,25 26:7,9,11,20,21,22, 25 27:3,4,5,6,15,25 29:5 30:10,17,21 31:4,5,10 32:3,7,9, 13 33:5,8 34:8,21, 22,23 35:6,19 36:8, 9,10,14,19,24 37:7, 11,19,25 38:1,3,4, 5,9,17,18,25 39:6, 12,20 40:11,17 41:13,19,25 42:2,3, 7,15,20,21 43:3,4, 5,11,25 44:12,18, 24 45:5,6,7,9,10, 11,12,15,18 46:1, 11,21 47:1,5,8,22 48:22,23 49:2,5,9, 10 50:11,12 51:6,7, 10,15 52:9,11,12,

15,19,22 53:2,7,9, 13,24 54:14,15,24, 25 55:1,3,4,9,12, 13,14,17,19,25 56:1,9,23 57:3,16, 21,22,23 58:3,14, 17 59:7,9,15,20 60:4,5,10,11,14,15, 18,22,23,24 61:2, 14,15,16,19,24,25 62:9 63:1,3,10,11, 19 65:11,21 66:2 68:10,25 69:4,13 70:15,17 71:9,11, 25 72:2,9,18 73:9, 12 74:3,12,13,20 75:8,11,12,25 76:2, 18,21 77:1,9,13 78:13,22,23 79:1,3, 4,9,23 80:6,8,14,20 81:1,7,19 82:4,15, 19 83:1,2,5,6,15 84:20,22 85:5,15, 16,17 86:4,8

today 78:5 80:14, 19.22

today's 4:6 together 11:15 46:6

told 31:18 86:3,4

Tom 16:23

Tom's 16:24

too 56:7

took 8:15 32:16 36:20

toolkit 81:3

tools 80:25

top 10:10 70:7

topic 78:23

torture 30:6

total 10:11 15:16

totality 23:23

towards 74:23

Township 40:23

trace 42:9

tracing 6:9

track 81:1

traditionally 20:4

transaction 13:12 20:13 21:21 22:2 40:11,16 83:25 84:10

transactions 11:1, 9 22:7 23:10,11 25:1 31:1 32:1,25 33:6,11 37:4 66:21 68:8 81:3 83:12

transcript 14:25 33:20 86:11

transcripts 79:11, 12

transfer 47:2,8,12, 21 74:12 75:11 81:15 82:8

transferred 6:23 22:11 35:19 47:3 51:6,8 66:2 75:8 76:12

transferring 47:23

transfers 14:7 40:23 47:19 79:23 82:5,6

travel 33:17,25

tremendous 24:24,25

trending 67:11
trial 45:2
trick 8:1 61:14
triggering 48:3
troubling 75:19
true 69:25 70:1 71:19
trust 6:21 11:13 18:14,15 22:12,15 24:4,18 25:2 26:22, 23 31:4,11,25 32:3, 25 34:2 35:12 37:2 38:19 39:2 41:13, 14 44:13,18,19 46:20 47:2,6,13,20 51:6,7,10,15,17,18 52:9,17 53:9 54:10, 18,25 55:1,3,5,10, 17 57:6,8 59:4 60:8,11,24 61:19 62:4,9,11,19,22 63:1,21 64:4 65:7, 18 66:2 68:7 74:4,6 75:8,22 76:7,12 78:13,15,18 79:5, 24 81:16,22 83:22, 24,25 84:1,18,22, 25 85:2,8,11,13
trustee 10:1 72:11, 20 73:23 74:15,17
trustees 81:17
trusts 11:1,9 23:25 24:2 31:3 39:9,13, 20 51:21,24 65:9 81:22
truth 71:3
try 28:6 41:19
trying 19:22,25 39:20 40:17 45:15, 18,25 54:14 57:23

59:20 60:10 61:15 81:6 **two** 4:13,18 11:25 12:3,7 15:3 24:1 38:24 39:13 40:23, 24 51:17 69:23 71:9,10,22 78:3 82:22 **type** 6:13 49:11 57:19 **types** 6:5,11,14 **typical** 7:17 22:20

\mathbf{U}

typically 6:5 11:18

ultimate 36:14,15 81:7

under 18:1 28:12 30:2,9 44:1 45:16 58:13 59:22 71:12

underlying 9:25 26:17 57:18 71:3, 10,16 72:15 82:11

understand 10:24 22:18,20 24:13 27:11 32:3 36:22 41:19 50:12 54:14 57:22,23 60:10 61:14,15 71:6 76:9 83:6

understanding

25:7,14 26:12 48:8 49:1,9 57:23 65:23 69:22 70:18

understands 49:3

understood 37:3 41:21 49:2

Union 71:8

unique 6:17

United 4:7 28:20 29:21 77:24

units 71:10

unless 29:11,14

unquote 72:18

unreliable 13:23

up 4:14

upkeep 61:9

upon 23:19 25:24 27:1,17 28:24 69:25

US 71:8

usage 64:16

use 19:21 35:24 36:7 52:19,21 56:7 57:19 63:21 64:22, 25 77:2 78:2,5 83:2

used 20:24 30:17 32:16 35:17 36:5 37:11,23 38:13,14, 17 39:12 55:18 56:5 64:19 65:5,18 69:13 70:8 73:9 80:20,25 82:9,11 83:12

uses 18:5 20:14 21:17,20 27:7 31:6 66:7 67:24

using 12:3,7 56:10 78:8 79:24

utilities 59:14 61:4

utilize 42:1 46:18

utilized 31:9,10 32:7 37:7 50:4 54:5

\mathbf{V}

vague 12:13,23 28:1

Vahak 74:13

valuation 5:13 17:1

value 47:24 51:16 53:12,13 57:10 59:8,16 60:13 61:22 82:2

verbiage 29:14

verify 19:11 83:19

versus 33:10 39:16 78:12 82:4

very 10:22 37:18 80:8

via 52:23

Village 30:19 40:14 64:5,10,18 65:4,11,22 66:8,22 68:3 69:14 75:3 76:21,24 77:9 78:17 85:13,24

VSHPHH 11:13 18:15 36:11 37:2 38:19 39:2 64:4 66:2 68:7 76:7 78:17 84:1 85:5,11

\mathbf{W}

wait 56:24

waiver 49:6

want 8:24,25 19:24 20:6 30:10 34:8,22, 23 37:19 38:9 43:5 45:11 49:5,9 50:12 55:14 57:15 60:10 61:14 63:3 79:9 82:4 83:1

wants 7:22

was 4:2 5:3 8:11 10:21 11:4,6,7,16 12:12 14:4,24 15:3, 15,21 17:7,10,12, 17 18:21 19:20 21:1,3,7,16 22:3 23:5,7 24:19 25:9, 11 27:6 29:12 30:22 32:2,3,4,6,7 33:15,24 36:16,18 37:1 40:21,23 41:2, 7,15,16 42:11,12, 19,20,23 43:2 45:15,18 46:1,10 47:3,7,11,12 48:6,8 51:7,8,9,10 53:20 54:10 55:9,12 56:24,25 59:8,17, 20 60:23 64:18 65:4,12,17,18,25 66:1,2 67:9 72:1,4, 7,11,20,23 73:2,4, 8,18,23 74:15,17 75:11 76:5,10,13 78:9,13,16,17,18, 19,21 79:19,25 80:1,3,10 81:9,11, 15,16,19 82:3 83:18 84:10 85:5,7 86:4,16

wasn't 14:3 26:11, 25 27:14 73:20 81:21

Water 28:21 29:1

way 20:1 29:8,22 32:16 41:20 43:16 77:24

we 4:17 7:2,19,20,

22,23 9:15,19 10:14 16:6,19 18:14,17 19:11,12 20:1 22:22 23:24 24:19 27:4 28:8 29:10,11 31:3,25 32:3 34:18,19,25 35:3 36:10,13 38:13,23,24 39:3,5 43:18 46:17 47:24 51:7,8 54:11,18,23 56:22 57:1,3,23,25 58:1,5,10 59:22 61:21 69:3 70:22 73:11 74:25 77:18 79:16,22 82:11,12 84:14,25

We'd 86:8

We'll 40:13

we're 7:3,6 13:19 34:21 37:21 40:14 46:16 50:24 51:4 56:1 60:10 63:8 65:15 76:7 82:21 84:14

we've 37:7 41:22 60:16 62:7 69:1,3 72:16 81:5

weekly 77:1

well 4:6 6:15 11:3 20:13 21:9 23:3,6 24:16 26:6,17 27:12,14 29:10 35:13 36:17 37:18 44:7 45:6 50:20 55:24 56:3,23 57:4 58:1 60:9 61:21 67:7,22 68:9 78:13, 20 79:20 85:12

Wells 34:7

went 17:11 36:23 42:19 82:24

were 4:17 7:25 8:10,12 12:17 13:14,15,22,24,25 14:2 15:9,12 18:10, 16 19:17 20:24 21:14,18 22:8,11 23:24,25 24:7,19 25:2 29:15 30:15, 17 31:1,3,6,9,10 32:1,11 33:3,6,13, 17.18 34:1.2.3 35:12,25 36:12 37:9 39:2,3 42:10 43:8,10,11,12,17 51:21,23 52:3,5 62:20 67:8,17,19, 22,25 69:11,13 73:1,9 74:13 75:9, 10 76:3,13,15 77:3 78:6,8 79:7,17 80:9,21 81:14 82:7 83:10,13,20 84:7,8, 9,25 85:1,9,12 86:3

weren't 19:11 21:11 27:20

what 5:18,24 6:5 9:1,9 10:1,16,17,23 11:14,18,21 12:25 13:6,22 15:9 17:8, 20 18:22,23,24 19:8,13,17,23 20:11,12,15,17,19, 20 21:1,2,16 22:18, 19,20,21,22 24:14 25:14 26:12,16 27:4 28:6 29:3 30:22 31:5,7,8,18, 19,20 32:5,11,13 34:7,23 35:22 36:1 38:11,21 39:17 40:4,7,8 41:15,22 42:14,17 43:20 44:5,6,21 45:15,25 46:1,4,16 48:10,19, 24 49:1,2,10 50:12, 22 51:1 52:8,25 53:23 54:11,18 56:7,24 58:23,24 59:12,20 61:16 62:19,22 64:21,25 65:15 67:2,5,12 68:11,25 69:18,20 70:18 71:14,15 72:13 74:2 75:7,24 76:1,4 77:2,8 80:14 82:9 83:6,7,19

what's 4:22,23 5:12,14,18,25 6:4 7:13,15 16:7,24 25:14 36:22 37:16, 24 44:7 47:20 65:23

whatever 13:7 15:21 34:6 43:5 62:1 64:23 85:13

when 7:25 18:24 25:20 33:10 36:3, 10 37:10 38:12 39:5 50:22 52:6 53:16 67:2 69:17 70:22 73:24 74:2 76:4 78:2,4,6 79:7 80:11 81:6 82:6,10 83:25 84:14,18

where 5:24 8:6 9:3, 4 21:12 25:10 30:9 31:13 32:2 40:13 42:9 45:20 53:24 57:15,16,17 59:6, 18 60:9,22 63:15 65:2,6,14 67:17,25 68:22 72:21 83:18 84:25

whether 18:9 21:6 23:16,19 24:20 32:4 51:7 52:17 54:10 55:12 56:22

60:23 70:11 72:4, 10,20 85:16

which 10:6 19:1,14 22:11 30:6 31:3 33:13 34:9 36:12, 18 37:22 38:21 39:3 41:22 42:12, 20 44:3 49:14 51:24 57:8 59:23 61:1,3 65:21 66:12 68:11 72:17 75:16

whichever 4:16

while 9:19

white-collar 5:21

who 7:23 14:21,24 15:2,5,7 16:21 26:23 33:22 36:16 44:7 46:20 51:20, 23 52:14,18 61:12 73:2 81:2,7

whoever 73:15 84:11

whole 45:1,3 53:19 60:12

whom 26:20 36:16

whomever 7:15 33:23

why 12:8,10 19:19 23:4 25:2,3,15 27:21 30:24 31:15, 24 32:9,13,15,16 33:19 36:19 41:3, 10,12,19 42:8 46:8 51:11,13,14 53:4 57:8,21,23 73:7,9, 17,20 75:21 84:17

will 33:20 75:4 wire 43:14 wired 43:12 wise 54:24

with 5:15,16 6:1,19 7:21 9:9,10,16,17, 18 10:4,8,10,22 11:1 12:4,25 13:2,4 14:11,12 15:2,10, 12 16:9 17:11,12 18:1,13,15,17 20:2, 3,19,22 21:1,4,6,7, 13 23:23 24:17 25:21 26:8.15 28:19 29:19,20 35:1 36:10,25 38:18 41:22,24 42:7,12 43:8 44:22 45:8,17,23 46:1 47:17 48:20 49:10, 15 53:16 58:16 59:14 62:13,25 63:23 64:7,15 65:3, 4,11 66:21,25 67:5 68:12,14 69:8,14 70:7,21 71:24 72:25 73:14 74:24 75:2,8,13,14 78:4 82:19 83:1 84:24

withdraw 45:10,

within 6:24 7:24 9:13 11:7 15:4 18:6 19:21 21:17 27:7, 20 28:5 68:19,23, 24 69:2 70:23 71:4, 5 73:5

without 7:21 16:11 33:11,12 44:16 46:12 49:25 50:16 54:1 63:18 64:17 65:1 67:12

Withum 6:25 7:6 35:3 45:18,24 63:19 68:15

Withum's 28:13 59:22 68:13

WITNESS 12:16, 25 22:7 23:15 24:13 25:7 28:2 38:17 44:12 50:14 53:9 60:21

witnesses 8:21

word 43:7 45:10 71:25 83:2

words 9:19 10:8,10 28:19 45:17,23 49:15 64:7,9 68:14 70:8 74:24,25 75:2

work 5:21 10:18 25:5 38:4 65:7,9

worked 15:2 65:8

world 34:6,7 75:18

would 7:24 10:1 11:18,19,21 15:17, 23,24 19:12 20:15 21:12 22:22 28:10 29:16 32:18 33:8, 18,21,23 34:19 36:21 37:10 40:10 41:10,18 47:14 48:21 50:20 51:1, 11,13,14 52:18,22, 24 53:3 54:9,12,23, 24 55:11,18 56:20, 22 58:12 59:6,9 61:8 62:8,18 67:12 68:5 76:18 77:1 79:3 80:6,8 83:9 84:24 86:10

wouldn't 79:6

write 15:19,20

written 8:21 29:12

wrong 21:1,3,6,7, 13 26:15 37:20

wrote 50:22

Wyckoff 28:22 29:3

 \mathbf{X}

XYZ 11:6,7

 \mathbf{Y}

yeah 16:19 23:20 28:5 38:8 40:1 60:9 72:23 76:21

year 8:10 11:19,20 67:12,18

years 66:14 67:17, 21

Yep 9:8

ves 5:3,10 6:3 9:21, 23 10:20 11:13 12:21 13:21 14:15, 17 15:1 16:3,6 20:21 26:19 28:17 29:17,23 31:23 33:2,5,24 34:20 36:7 39:16 40:2 41:24 42:4 43:1 47:3,15,18 48:21 50:20,25 51:10,19, 22 52:1,5,24 54:21 56:18 61:10 62:17 63:16 64:2 66:23 68:16,24 70:13 71:14,21 73:6,21 74:5,7 75:20 76:12, 16,22 77:7 78:16, 24 79:2,16 80:17, 24 81:5,8 82:1,11 83:23 86:2,12

York 35:20 69:10

you 4:4,5,9,11,15, 17 5:2,7,15 6:2,5, 16,17,20 7:13,16, 23,25 8:5,6,8,10, 12,13,19,24 9:3,5, 20,22 10:4,6,16,17 11:3,5,10,14,19 12:8,12,13,20,22, 24 13:8,10,19 14:12,18,23,24 15:2,15,19,20 16:4, 16,18 17:4,14,15, 18 18:8,12,19,22, 24 19:1,3,8,25 20:8,10,12,15,17 21:9,12 22:5 23:1, 4,19,22 24:12 25:6, 15 26:2,8,9,14,20 27:3,9,12,13,20 28:1,2,16,24 29:6, 8,20,24 30:7,10 31:21 32:5,9,11,15, 16,24 33:7,10,12 34:1,4,7,8,14,16, 17,22,23 35:5,15 36:1,7,19,25 37:4, 11,13,15,18 38:5,8, 25 39:17,24 40:20 41:2,5,10,15,16 42:3,5,14,17 43:3, 4,5,16,20,22 44:5, 7,11 45:4,7,9,11, 20,24 46:8,19,22, 25 47:14,16 48:6, 11 49:16,19,23 50:9,22 51:1,6,17, 23,24 52:6,7,9 53:7,16,18,25 54:11,23 55:7,23 56:4,13,20,22,23, 25 57:5,16,22 58:8, 9,11,24 59:6,15,19, 24 60:20 61:23 62:5,21,22 63:3,15,

25 65:19 66:9,20, 24 67:2,3,4,6,11,24 68:4,12,17,22,25 69:17,18 70:17,22, 25 72:21 73:17,24 74:2,16 75:4 76:4 77:4,8,23 78:1,2,4, 6,8,12,13 79:7,9, 10,14,17 80:5,7,8, 12,13,14,18,19,20, 21,25 82:5,6,9,10, 18,24 83:6,8,16 84:4,18,19,20 85:9, 14,18,20,23 86:1,3, 11,12

You'd 40:11

you're 7:1,2 9:6 14:11 19:14 20:3, 20 22:18,21 24:14 26:7 27:14 31:13, 14 32:14 38:6 41:11 57:18 59:18 61:2,16 62:15 70:18 71:14 76:9 80:22 81:6 82:6,15

you've 7:17 30:9 48:11 54:19 66:20 69:20

your 4:10,11,21,22, 23 5:18,25 6:4,24 11:11,22 13:5,9 17:11,12 20:10 21:15 25:14 26:2 27:11 30:5,10,14, 15 32:13 34:13 35:5,22 37:21,22 38:25 40:19 41:8, 15 44:15 46:1,4 47:9 48:10 53:17, 25 55:18 56:16 57:15,20,21 58:10, 16 60:6,17 61:11, 15 63:1 64:8,12 65:23 66:6,13 67:14 68:11,23 69:8,17,21,24,25 70:18,22 71:4,5,6, 11,12 73:5 75:1 78:5 80:20 81:3 82:7,23

 \mathbf{Z}

Zargis 20:22 35:1, 2,11,13,17 36:13, 16,17,23 38:14,23 39:23 40:22 68:3 70:8 84:11 85:4,21

ZIMBERG 38:10

23,25 64:6,11,21,